

ACCELERATED DISTRIBUTION DEMONSTRATION SYSTEM

REGULATORY INFORMATION DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM (RIDS)

ACCESSION NBR: 9209090167 DDC DATE: 92/08/21 NOTARIZED: NO DOCKET #
 FACIL: 50-250 Turkey Point Plant, Unit 3, Florida Power and Light C 05000250
 50-251 Turkey Point Plant, Unit 4, Florida Power and Light C 05000251
 AUTH. NAME AUTHOR AFFILIATION
 PLUNKETT, T. F. Florida Power & Light Co.
 RECIP. NAME RECIPIENT AFFILIATION
 Document Control Branch (Document Control Desk)

SUBJECT: Forwards "Turkey Point Plant Units 3 & 4 Semiannual
 Radioactive Effluent Release Rept, Jan-June 1992" Rev 10 to
 Procedure PT-51-WS, "Solidification Process Control
 Procedure."

DISTRIBUTION CODE: IE48D COPIES RECEIVED: LTR 1 ENCL 1 SIZE: 1+113
 TITLE: 50.36a(a)(2) Semiannual Effluent Release Reports

NOTES: NRR RAGHAVAN, L
 NRR RAGHAVAN, L

05000250
 05000251

See Rpts

	RECIPIENT ID CODE/NAME	COPIES LTTR ENCL	RECIPIENT ID CODE/NAME	COPIES LTTR ENCL
	PD2-2 LA	3 3	PD2-2 PD	1 1
	AULUCK, R	1 1		
INTERNAL:	NRR/DREP/PRPB11	2 2	<u>REG FILE</u> 01	1 1
	RGN2 DRSS/RPB	2 2	RGN2 FILE 02	1 1
EXTERNAL:	BNL TICHLER, JO3	1 1	EG&G SIMPSON, F	2 2
	NRC PDR	1 1		
NOTES:		1 1		

NOTE TO ALL "RIDS" RECIPIENTS:

PLEASE HELP US TO REDUCE WASTE! CONTACT THE DOCUMENT CONTROL DESK.
 ROOM P1-37 (EXT. 504-2065) TO ELIMINATE YOUR NAME FROM DISTRIBUTION
 LISTS FOR DOCUMENTS YOU DON'T NEED!

TOTAL NUMBER OF COPIES REQUIRED: LTTR 16 ENCL 16

EC
CB



L-92-240
10 CFR 50.36(a)(2)

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Attn: Document Control Desk
Washington, D. C. 20555

AUG 21 1992

Gentlemen:

Re: Turkey Point Units 3 and 4
Docket Nos. 50-250 and 50-251
Semiannual Radioactive Effluent Release Report

Attached is the Radioactive Effluent Release Report for the period of January 1, 1992, through June 30, 1992, for Turkey Point Units 3 and 4, as required by Technical Specification 6.9.1.4 and 10 CFR 50.36(a)(2).

No effluent monitoring equipment was inoperable during the reporting period for times in excess of that allowed by Technical Specifications 3.3.3.5 or 3.3.3.6. No liquid or gas storage tanks exceeded the limits allowed by Technical Specification 3.11.2.6 during the reporting period. No changes were made to the Offsite Dose Calculation Manual pursuant to Technical Specification 6.14. No major changes were made to the Liquid, Gaseous, or Solid Radwaste Treatment Systems pursuant to Technical Specification 6.15. No new locations for dose calculations and/or environmental monitoring were identified by the Land Use Census pursuant to Technical Specification 3.12.2.

Should there be any questions regarding this information, please contact us.

Very truly yours,

T. F. Plunkett
Vice President
Turkey Point Nuclear

TFP/JEK/jk

Attachment

cc: Stewart D. Ebnetter, Regional Administrator, Region II, USNRC
Ross C. Butcher Senior Resident Inspector, USNRC, Turkey Point Plant

030050

9209090167 920821
PDR ADDCK 05000250
R PDR

IE48
11

9209090167

REQUEST FOR PROCEDURE REVIEW

RTS No. 92-0964

1. Procedure Title: Solidification Process Control ProceduresProcedure Number: PT-51-~~88~~WSCurrent Revision Date: 9-25-91 5/21/92

Check One:



Safety Related



Quality Related



Non-Safety Related

2. Request
Type:

Proc Chg Req.



TP No.



POP



Other:

OTSC No.:



One Time Only

Incorporate



Prior PNSC Required

3. Commitment
Source:

NRC



INPO



CTRAC



Incorp. OTSC

Commitment Date:



QA/QC



OTHER



PC/M No.



No.

for:



PM/N Approval/PNSC Review



Distribution

Reason for Request:

Provide test methodology and process controls required to ensure proper solidification of radioactive waste, as required by TS 3/4.11.3.

4. Describe Details of Request: (• List affected pages or attach entire procedure.)

(• If no changes are recommended as a result of a periodic review, write NONE).

Vendor procedure attached.

5. Submitted by: R.K. Rowe R.K. Rowe HP Date: 5-2-92
Signature Print DepartmentCheck One: ☒ No Basis Document ☐ No Basis Document change necessary ☐ Basis Document change necessary and attached

6. Safety Review:

- A. Does this request affect the facility or procedures as described in the FSAR?
 B. Does this request involve a test or experiment not described in the FSAR?
 C. Could this request affect nuclear safety in a way not previously evaluated in the FSAR?
 D. Is a change in Technical Specifications involved?

YES

NO



(If YES is checked for any question above, then refer to the instructions for necessary actions.)

Safety Review by:

A.A. Horvath
SignatureA.A. Horvath
PrintDate: 5/20/927. Approved by: N/A N/A Date: N/A
Signature Print

Responsible Dept. Plant Staff member for OTSCs; Responsible System Engineer for others (See instructions)

8. Approved by: J.D. Lindsay J.D. LINDSAY Date: 6-2-92
Signature Print

Nuclear Plant Supervisor for OTSCs; Responsible Department Head for others.

9. Admin. Procedures Group

Final Review by:

Dale R. Wells
SignatureDale R. Wells
PrintDate: 6-2-92

10. Reviewed by PNSC Subcommittee No. _____

Approved by:

Date: _____

Technical Manager

Reviewed by PNSC No. 92-091

Approved by:

LWP
Plant General Manager-Nuclear or Services Manager (for SecurityDate: 6-4-92

Implementing Procedures)

11. Disposition: ☐ Cancelled ☒ Approved ☐ Tabled to: _____
Other: _____

Immediate Distribution Required (as required per AP-0190.86)

Date: _____

Immediate Implementation Required as required per AP-0190.86

Date: _____





FPL

Inter-Office Correspondence

REVIEWS ARE REQUIRED BY THE FOLLOWING:

DATE 5-20-92

☐ R. Steinke (CHEM)
☐ J. D. Lindsay (HP)
☐ M. Wayland (MAIN)
☐ J. Gianfrancesco (M-SUP)
☐ M. O. Pearce (M-ELEC)
☐ E. Hayes (M-J&C)
☐ G. Heisterman (M-MECH)
☐ D. H. Taylor (OPS)
☐ A. R. Licalzi (OPS)
☐ V. Kaminskas (OPS)

☐ C. V. Rossi (QA)
☒ G. A. Warriner (QC)
☐ G. L. Marsh (RXENG)
☐ G. Hollinger (TRNG)
☐ F. R. Timmons (SEC)
☐ M. E. Powers (SYSP)
☐ D. R. Powell (SVCS)
☐ D. E. Jernigan (TECH)
☐ G. Traczyk (FP)

☐ J. Kirkpatrick (E. PLAN)
☐ A. S. Mann (E.Q. Coord.)
☐ G. Salamon (INPO Coord.)
☐ K. L. Remington (IST)
☐ System Engineer (TECH)
☐ M. L. Lacal (PCC)
☐ J. Marchese (CONST)
☐ G. Casto (JB) (EPIPs Only)
☐ _____ (Other)
☐ _____ (Other)

Q1/PROCEDURE NUMBER: Vol. 1 # PT-51-WS

RTS NUMBER: _____

RETURN FORM TO: Al HorvathDEPT: HPEXT 4309

COMMENTS: <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> YES (please document below and attach additional sheets if necessary.)			
ITEM NO.	PAGE/PARA NO.	REVIEWER COMMENTS	ORIGINATOR RESPONSE OR RESOLUTION
1.	2.8 PAGE 3 ADDENDUM B	LAST SENTENCE "SHOULD" SHOULD READ SHALL.	INCORPORATED (PT-51-WS)
2.	2.9 PAGE 3 ADDENDUM C	SAME AS ABOVE	
3.	2.15 PAGE 4 ADDENDUM D	SAME AS ABOVE	
4.	2.14 PAGE 4 ADDENDUM E	SAME AS ABOVE	
5.	2.12 PAGE 3 ADDENDUM F	SAME AS ABOVE	
6.	2.14 PAGE 4 ADDENDUM G	SAME AS ABOVE	
7.	2.10 PAGE 4 ADDENDUM H	SAME AS ABOVE	
8.		VARIOUS SIGNATURES LIST "UTILITY REPRESENTATIVE" WHO IS THIS PERSON? Does this procedure meet TS 3/4.11.3 in all respects?	RADIWASTE SUPERVISOR OR DESIGNEE CHANGED TO CLARIFY AND MEET T.S.
Reviewed/Approved by: <u>G A WARRINER</u> (Signature) <u>[Signature]</u> (Print) <u>5/22/92</u> (Date)			
The comments above have been satisfactorily resolved. *Resolution Sign-Off: <u>[Signature]</u> (Signature) <u>G A Warriner</u> (Print) <u>6/1/92</u> (Date)			
<small>*(The signature above may be provided by the reviewer's department head or the Plant General Manager, depending on the level at which final resolution occurs.)</small>			