

ACCELERATED DISTRIBUTION DEMONSTRATION SYSTEM

REGULATORY INFORMATION DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM (RIDS)

ACCESSION NBR:8812060114 DOC.DATE: 88/11/23 NOTARIZED: NO DOCKET #
 FACIL:50-250 Turkey Point Plant, Unit 3, Florida Power and Light C 05000250
 50-251 Turkey Point Plant, Unit 4, Florida Power and Light C 05000251
 AUTH.NAME AUTHOR AFFILIATION
 CONWAY,W.F. Florida Power & Light Co.
 RECIP.NAME RECIPIENT AFFILIATION
 ERNST,M.L. Region 2, Ofc of the Director

SUBJECT: Forwards mgt-on-shift weekly rept for wk starting 881111.

DISTRIBUTION CODE: D036D COPIES RECEIVED:LTR 1 ENCL 1 SIZE: 34
 TITLE: Turkey Point Management Onshift Program

NOTES:

	RECIPIENT		COPIES			RECIPIENT		COPIES	
	ID	CODE/NAME	LTTR	ENCL		ID	CODE/NAME	LTTR	ENCL
	DRP/ADR-2		1	1		NRR/DRP-1/2		1	1
	PD2-2 PD		1	1		EDISON,G		2	2
INTERNAL:	AEOD		1	1		DEDRO		1	1
	NRR DEPY		1	1		NRR DIR		1	1
	NRR MORISSEAU,D		1	1		NRR/ADP 12-G-18		1	1
	NRR/ADT 12-G-18		1	1		NRR/DLPQ/PEB		1	1
	NRR/DOEA DIR 11		1	1		NRR/DRIS DIR 9A		1	1
	NUDOCS-ABSTRACT		1	1		OE LIEBERMAN,J		1	1
	OGC/HDS2		1	1		REG FILE 01		1	1
	RGN2 FILE		1	1					
EXTERNAL:	LPDR		1	1		NRC PDR		1	1
	NSIC		1	1					

NOTE TO ALL "RIDS" RECIPIENTS:

PLEASE HELP US TO REDUCE WASTE! CONTACT THE DOCUMENT CONTROL DESK,
 ROOM P1-37 (EXT. 20079) TO ELIMINATE YOUR NAME FROM DISTRIBUTION
 LISTS FOR DOCUMENTS YOU DON'T NEED!

TOTAL NUMBER OF COPIES REQUIRED: LTTR 23 ENCL 23

R
I
D
S
/
A
D
D
S

R
I
D
S
/
A
D
D
S

1/11-3

5



FPL

P.O. Box 14000, Juno Beach, FL 33408-0420

NOVEMBER 23 1988

L-88-509

AG:52

Mr. Malcolm L. Ernst
Acting Regional Administrator, Region II
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
101 Marietta Street, N. W., Suite 2900
Atlanta, Georgia 30323

Dear Mr. Ernst:

Re: Turkey Point Units 3 and 4
Docket Nos. 50-250 and 50-251
Management-on-Shift Weekly Report

Pursuant to the Nuclear Regulatory Commission Order dated October 19, 1987, the attached summary of Management-on-Shift (MOS) reports is submitted. The Plant Supervisor-Nuclear Shift Reports are also being submitted.

Should there be any questions on this information, please contact us.

Very truly yours,

W. F. Conway
for

W. F. Conway
Senior Vice President - Nuclear

WFC/RHF/gp

Attachment

cc: J. Lieberman, Director, Office of Enforcement, USNRC
Dr. G. E. Edison, Project Manager, NRR, USNRC
Senior Resident Inspector, USNRC, Turkey Point Plant
R. E. Tallon, President, FPL

mos001

8812060114 881123
PDR ADOCK 05000250
PDC

an FPL Group company

34
11
D036

Y

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
66
67
68
69
70
71
72
73
74
75
76
77
78
79
80
81
82
83
84
85
86
87
88
89
90
91
92
93
94
95
96
97
98
99
100

101

102

103

104

105

106

107

MANAGEMENT ON SHIFT (MOS)

WEEKLY SUMARY REPORT

WEEK STARTING: 11/11/88

PAGE 1 OF 1

Four MOS Observers were on shift. M. H. Mosley, Quality Assurance, St. Lucie Plant (11/11-13/88, days); P. L. Walker, Westinghouse Electric Corporation (11/11-16/88, nights); M. Shepard, Training Supervisor, St. Lucie Plant (11/14-17/88, days); J. W. Patterson, Westinghouse Electric Corporation (11/16-18/88, nights).

Unit 3 remained in mode 5 and Unit 4 remained defueled for the duration of the reporting period.

The independent observers did not note any questionable work practices. They did note two areas for improvement, as follows:

- One item recommending training on the specific differences between current Technical Specifications and O-ADM-021 (Technical Specification Implementation).
- One item on a change to control panel labels not being reflected on the simulator.

The Plant Supervisors-Nuclear reported two questionable work practices. One was about failure to report an injury to the PSN in a timely manner. The second had to do with a possible failure to maintain two fully operable coolant loops per Technical Specification 3.4.1(e). This event is being investigated to determine reportability. They also noted two areas for improvement, as follows:

- One item on deficiency identification
- One item on procedure updating

ATTACHMENT: MOS DAILY REPORTS



5

10

1. 1. 1.

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

ONSHIFT OVERSIGHT PROGRAM
DAILY REPORT

Page

1

Date: 11/10-11/88

P. L. Walker
(Observer)

Shift: Night

A. Plant Evolutions Observed

- Unit 3 in mode 5
- Unit 4 defueled
- Toured containment (unit 4)
- Watched movement of rotor

B. Immediate Safety Problems

None

C. Questionable Work Practices

None

D. Areas for Improvement

Technical Specification training needs to be expanded to include a point-by-point comparison study. Questioning of operators yielded the fact that all the training is covering is the mechanics of the ADM-021 procedure, not on new (to the operators) material.
(88-3020)

E. Professionalism, Summary of Shift, Comments

Quiet shift. Operators kept busy addressing concerns as they came up.

Reviewed By:

P. L. Walker
Operations Superintendent- Nuclear

Date:

11/14/88

Management
Review By:

JSC
M.N.

11/14/88
Date

JSC
SVP

11/14/88
Date

JSC
VP

11/14/88
Date

Date 11/11/88

Shift Report

Shift Mids

Shift Management

PSN Anderson

APSN Dallau

NWE Spence

A. Questionable Work Practices/Actions Taken/Recommendations

None

B. Areas for Improvement/Recommendations/Actions Taken

None

C. Good Practices/Professionalism Observed

Dual unit outage operations support.

Reviewed By R. B. Pass

Date 11/14/88

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

Date 11/11/88

Shift Report

Shift Days

Shift Management

PSN Schimkus APSN Reese NWE Newton

A. Questionable Work Practices/Actions Taken/Recommendations

None

B. Areas for Improvement/Recommendations/Actions Taken

None

C. Good Practices/Professionalism Observed

Routine operations

Reviewed By *L. J. Pearson*

Date 11/14/88

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

Date 11/11/88

Shift Report

Shift Peaks

Shift Management

PSN Salkeld

APSN Guyer

NWE Bddinger

A. Questionable Work Practices/Actions Taken/Recommendations

A Bechtel electrician was cut while working inside containment. He was not contaminated, however he did require stitches and was transported to the hospital. Contrary to plant procedures, no one involved (i.e., his supervisor, HP, or First Aid) notified the control room. The control room was told when they investigated a second injury approximately 2½ hours later. This has occurred several times in the past and previous attempts to correct this problem are apparently inadequate.
(88-3021)

B. Areas for Improvement/Recommendations/Actions Taken

None

C. Good Practices/Professionalism Observed

Routine operations

Reviewed By R.W. Daise

Date 11/14/88

ONSHIFT OVERSIGHT PROGRAM
DAILY REPORT

Page

1

Date: 11/11/88

M. H. Mosley
(Observer)

Shift:

Day

A. Plant Evolutions Observed

- o 6 A.M. outage meeting
- o Ops Mid/day Shift turnover
- o Day ops shift briefing
- o 2 P. M. outage meeting
- o Day/peak ops turnover
- o Peak ops shift briefing
- o Control room operations
- o High Pressure Turbine shaft bolt torquing for shaft "trueing"
- o Toured:
 - Containment, all levels
 - Radiation Control Area (RCA)
 - Auxiliary building
 - Discharge
 - Intake
 - Secondary, all levels

B. Immediate Safety Problems

None

C. Questionable Work Practices

None

D. Areas for Improvement

None

E. Professionalism, Summary of Shift, Comments

Routine operations

Reviewed By:

L. W. Paine
Operations Superintendent - Nuclear

Date:

11/14/88

Management
Review By:

PM-N

11/14/88
Date

SVP

11/14/88
Date

J. P.

11/14/88
Date

ONSHIFT OVERSIGHT PROGRAM
DAILY REPORT

Page

1

Date: 11/11-12/88

P. L. Walker

(Observer)

Shift:

Night

A. Plant Evolutions Observed

- Unit 3 in mode 5
- Unit 4, defueled
- 2335 shift turnover meeting with remarks by Mr. Dave Taylor
- Toured unit 4 containment
- Watched PSN handle the procedural violation [late notification to PSN of transportation to hospital of a potentially (not actually) contaminated worker] which occurred around 8 P.M. PSN Salkeld was notified at 11:10 P.M.

B. Immediate Safety Problems

None

C. Questionable Work Practices

An individual working in unit 3's containment suffered a cut on his hand which required stitches at a local hospital. The PSN was notified approximately 3 hours after the event. Two emergency procedures should have been consulted immediately and were not, due to the late notification. I have heard that this is not an isolated incident. A strong, reliable check point for notification of the PSN needs to be developed. One suggestion - the first aid station, (See Salkeld's MOS report). (88-3021)

D. Areas for Improvement

None

E. Professionalism, Summary of Shift, Comments

The procedural violation incident was handled well by Salkeld. Work on the turbine deck is proceeding smoothly and safely. The control room door has been fixed again.

Reviewed By:

P. L. Walker

Operations Superintendent-Nuclear

Date: 11/14/88

Management
Review By:

PM-N 11/14/88
Date

SVP 11/14/88
Date

VP 11/14/88
Date

Date 11/12/88

Shift Report

Shift Mids

Shift Management

PSN Anderson A'PSN Dallau NWE Spence

A. Questionable Work Practices/Actions Taken/Recommendations

None

B. Areas for Improvement/Recommendations/Actions Taken

None

C. Good Practices/Professionalism Observed

Operations support of dual unit outage.

Reviewed By L.W. Spence Date 11/14/88

SHIFT OVERSIGHT PROGRAM
DAILY REPORT

Page

1

Date: 11/12/88

M. H. Mosley

(Observer)

Shift:

Days

A. Plant Evolutions Observed

- 8 A.M. outage meeting
- Ops mid/day turnover
- Ops day shift briefing
- 2 P.M. outage meeting
- Ops day/peak turnover
- Ops peak shift briefing
- Toured entire plant
- Interviewed supervisory personnel:
 - Operations
 - FPL safety

B. Immediate Safety Problems

None

C. Questionable Work Practices

None

D. Areas for Improvement

None

E. Professionalism, Summary of Shift, Comments

Routine operations

Completed By:

Observer

Date:

Reviewed By:

Operations Superintendent - Nuclear

Date:

Management
Review By:

M-N

Date

SVP

Date

Date

Date: 11/12-13/88

ONSHIFT OVERSIGHT PROGRAM
DAILY REPORT

Page

1

P. L. Walker

(Observer)

Shift:

Night

A. Plant Evolutions Observed

- Unit 3, mode 5
- Unit 4, defueled
- 2330 shift turnover meeting
- Unit 4 turbine work
- Toured unit 3 containment

B. Immediate Safety Problems

None

C. Questionable Work Practices

None

D. Areas for Improvement

None

E. Professionalism, Summary of Shift, Comments

Quiet shift

Reviewed By:

P. L. Walker

Operations Superintendent - Nuclear

Date:

11/14/88

Management
Review By:

PM-N

11/14/88
Date

SVP

JD

11/14/88
Date

VP

11/14/88
Date

Date 11/13/88

Shift Report

Shift Mids

Shift Management

PSN Anderson

APSN Dallau

NWE Spence

A. Questionable Work Practices/Actions Taken/Recommendations

None

B. Areas for Improvement/Recommendations/Actions Taken

None

C. Good Practices/Professionalism Observed

Operations support of dual unit outage.

Reviewed By L. Spence

Date 11/14/88

Shift Report

Date 11/13/88

Shift Days

Shift Management

PSN Schimkus APSN Reese NWE Newton

A. Questionable Work Practices/Actions Taken/Recommendations

None

B. Areas for Improvement/Recommendations/Actions Taken

None

C. Good Practices/Professionalism Observed

Operators on all shifts are putting in extra effort to keep the work progress going. Over the weekend, some major evolutions were successful (unit 3 condenser-recirc., unit 4 ICW Header "A" isolation efforts, unit 3 seal oil system flush, etc.). In the same light, the plant maintenance support groups have readily assisted and started work on various critical projects when clearances have been hung.

Reviewed By R. Pearce

Date 11/14/88

Date 11/13/88

Shift Report

Shift Peaks

Shift Management

PSN Schmkus/Anderson A'PSN Singer NWE Eddinger

A. Questionable Work Practices/Actions Taken/Recommendations

At 2230 B. Schmkus notified T. Anderson that with the 3A ICW pump out-of-service (for divers working in the circulating water well), the 3A Reactor Heat Removal (RHR) loop was out-of-service. This is because the criteria for RHR loop operability requires electrical equipment from the same bus and emergency power supply. Technical Specifications requires that two operable Reactor Coolant System (RCS) loops be available with the unit in mode 5. Operations notified Mechanical Maintenance who quickly responded and released the ICW pump. The RHR loop was out-of-service from 11/11/88 at 1345 until 11/13/88 at 2315. Closer attention should be paid to Technical Specification requirements in all modes of operation.
(88-3057)

B. Areas for Improvement/Recommendations/Actions Taken

None

C. Good Practices/Professionalism Observed

Routine operations

Reviewed By RW Pearce Date 11/14/88

SHIFT OVERSIGHT PROGRAM
DAILY REPORT

Page

1

Date: 11/13/88

M. H. Mosley

(Observer)

Shift:

Days

A. Plant Evolutions Observed

- ° 8 A.M. outage meeting
- ° Ops turnover/briefing mid/day
- ° 2 P.M. outage meeting
- ° Ops day/peak turnover/briefing
- ° Toured entire plant and containment
- ° Control room operations

B. Immediate Safety Problems

None

C. Questionable Work Practices

None

D. Areas for Improvement

None

E. Professionalism, Summary of Shift, Comments

Over the past week I have observed the general overall operation of a plant in a dual unit outage. I sat in on meetings, turnovers, briefings and control room operations. With the exception of those requiring respirators, I visited every job on site at least once. I have interviewed personnel from all departments and outside contractors ranging from the plant manager to helpers.

Since my last visit the week of May 16-22, 1988, there have been significant changes. Examples are as follows:

- ° Excuses why it can't be done are no longer acceptable, only plans and ideas on "how to make it happen."
- ° The plant no longer has the appearance of being "maintenance oriented." All departments are actively coordinating their jobs to Operations requirements per Technical Specifications and procedures and directly supporting Operations in the goal of safely putting the units on line and staying there.

Completed By:

Observer

Date:

Reviewed By:

Operations Superintendent - Nuclear

Date:

11/14/88

Management
Review By:

PM-N

11/14/88
Date

SVP

VP

11/14/88
Date

VP

11/14/88
Date

DAILY REPORT

Page

Date 11/13/88Name: M. H. Mosley

2

Continuation Page

- The PSNs and APSNs now have more authority to go with their responsibility and are using it to professionally track and facilitate all jobs in the plant. In a matter of minutes they can be on the scene of a problem with the expertise and management backing to get things done. They are also insistent on an updated status of all jobs underway.
- Upper management, in particular the plant manager and operations superintendent, are providing dynamic, positive leadership rather than general guidance. They maintain a complete awareness of plant status and are insistent on updates of any changes. They may also appear at any time on any job to gather first hand information.
- At outage and plant status meetings everyone is expected to know what, why, when, and how his/her job is progressing with no excuses for problem areas, only plans and ideas for resolution. Definite assignments, responsibilities, and expected completion dates are given out by management and willingly accepted by supervision.
- Proper implementation and use of procedures is noticeable throughout the entire plant.
- "Committee Responsibility" attitudes are apparently a thing of the past. From plant manager to helper, everyone is readily accepting responsibility and "ownership" of jobs under his/her control.
- In-house vehicles (i.e., procedures, NCRs, DEEPS, REAs, PSN reports, chain of command, etc.) are being utilized to flag and track items requiring immediate attention of supervision or management within or outside a department.
- Surveillances and PMs are no longer routinely deferred far into the grace period. Deferrals are used only when plant status make it impossible, unsafe, or outside Technical Specifications, and are completed immediately after status is changed to an allowable configuration.
- All departments are cooperating and orientated toward a common goal; the safe, economical professional operation of a nuclear power plant.

Based on the above, this observer contends that the time has arrived to phase out the MOS program.

ONSHIFT OVERSIGHT PROGRAM
DAILY REPORT

Date: 11/13-14/88

P. L. Walker
(Observer)

Shift:

Nights

A. Plant Evolutions Observed

- Unit 3 in mode 5
- Unit 4, defueled
- 2330 shift turnover meeting
- Toured unit 4 containment

B. Immediate Safety Problems

None

C. Questionable Work Practices

None

D. Areas for Improvement

None

E. Professionalism, Summary of Shift, Comments

Quiet, sunday night shift.

Reviewed By:

P. L. Walker
Operations Superintendent - Nuclear

Date:

11/14/88Management
Review By:PM-N 11/14/88 SVP 11/14/88 11/14/88
Date Date Date

Date 11/14/88

Shift Report

Shift Peaks

Shift Management

PSN Wogan APSN Singer NWE Vetromile

A. Questionable Work Practices/Actions Taken/Recommendations

None

B. Areas for Improvement/Recommendations/Actions Taken

None

C. Good Practices/Professionalism Observed

Routine operations

Reviewed By *[Signature]* Date 11-17-88

Shift Report

Date 11/14/88

Shift Mids

Shift Management

PSN Anderson APSN Dallau NWE Eddinger/
Newton

A. Questionable Work Practices/Actions Taken/Recommendations

None

B. Areas for Improvement/Recommendations/Actions Taken

A situation currently exists that Operations personnel have been writing PWOs on equipment showing improper indication, to have them cancelled due to pre-existing PWOs. These pre-existing PWOs such as loop calibrations do not have a green tag hung in the control room to assist the operator in determining if the deficiency has been addressed. All control room indications that are showing incorrect readings need to be readily identified by PWOs or some other means to prevent multiple PWOs and to show that it is not an unrecognized instrumentation or equipment deficiency.
(88-3059)

C. Good Practices/Professionalism Observed

As for a dual unit outage - work is progressing steadily.

Reviewed By SW Peau

Date 11/15/88

Date: 11/14/88

ONSHIFT OVERSIGHT PROGRAM
DAILY REPORT

Page

1

M. D. Shepherd
(Observer)

Shift:

Day

A. Plant Evolutions Observed

- 0600 outage meeting
- Pre-shift meeting
- Plant tour
- Routine control room operations
- 1600 outage meeting

B. Immediate Safety Problems

None

C. Questionable Work Practices

None

D. Areas for Improvement

None

E. Professionalism, Summary of Shift, Comments

None

Reviewed By:

[Signature]

Operations Superintendent- Nuclear

Date:

11/15/88

Management
Review By:

[Signature]
PM-N

11/15/88
Date

[Signature]
SVP

11/15/88
Date

[Signature]
VP

11/15/88
Date

ONSHIFT OVERSIGHT PROGRAM
DAILY REPORT

Page

1

Date: 11/14-15/88

P. L. Walker
(Observer)

Shift:

Nights

A. Plant Evolutions Observed

- o Unit 3 in mode 5
- o Unit 4, defueled
- o Toured unit 4 containment
- o Toured turbine deck - watched reassembly activity

B. Immediate Safety Problems

NONE

C. Questionable Work Practices

None

D. Areas for Improvement

None

E. Professionalism, Summary of Shift, Comments

I have been impressed with the quality and the care which is being taken on the jobs I have witnessed. People are making a sincere effort to do the job right.

Abuse of the plant page is at a very low level (less than what I would expect during an extended outage), but I did hear one individual tell another to stop talking in a loud, crude and abusive manner. This is an isolated incident.

The control room door is sticking again.

Reviewed By:

[Signature]

Operations Superintendent- Nuclear

Date:

11/15/88

Management
Review By:

PM-N

11/15/88
Date

SVP

11/15/88
Date

VP

11/15/88
Date

Shift Report

Date 11/15/88

Shift Mids

Shift Management

Eddinger/
Newton

PSN Anderson

APSN Dallau

NWE

A. Questionable Work Practices/Actions Taken/Recommendations

None

B. Areas for Improvement/Recommendations/Actions Taken

None

C. Good Practices/Professionalism Observed

Work progressing steadily.

Reviewed By

[Signature]

Date

11/15/88

Shift Report

Date 11/15/88

Shift Peaka

Shift Management

PSN Wogan APSN Singer NWE Vetromile

A. Questionable Work Practices/Actions Taken/Recommendations

None

B. Areas for Improvement/Recommendations/Actions Taken

None

C. Good Practices/Professionalism Observed

Routine operations

Reviewed By *RF Mendo* Date 11-17-88

ONSHIFT OVERSIGHT PROGRAM
DAILY REPORT

Page

1

Date: 11/15/88

M. D. Shepherd
(Observer)

Shift:

Day

A. Plant Evolutions Observed

- 0600 outage meeting
- Pre-shift meeting
- NRC review of PODs in control room
- Plant tour
- Routine control room operations
- 1400 outage meeting

B. Immediate Safety Problems

None

C. Questionable Work Practices

None

D. Areas for Improvement

None

E. Professionalism, Summary of Shift, Comments

None

Reviewed By:

KW Pearce
Operations Superintendent-Nuclear

Date:

11/16/88

Management
Review By:

MC
PM-N

11/16/88
Date

SVP

JO

11/16/88
Date

VP

11/16/88
Date

184

185

186

187

188

189

190

191

192

193

194

195

196

197

198

199

200

201

202

203

204

205

206

207

208

209

210

211

212

213

ONSHIFT OVERSIGHT PROGRAM
DAILY REPORT

Page

1

Date: 11/15-16/88

P. L. Walker
(Observer)

Shift:

Nights

A. Plant Evolutions Observed

- Unit 3 in mode 5
- Unit 4, defueled
- 2330 shift turnover meeting
- Turbine/generator assembly activities
- Toured unit 3 containment

B. Immediate Safety Problems

None

C. Questionable Work Practices

None

D. Areas for Improvement

None

E. Professionalism, Summary of Shift, Comments

A topic came up tonight that I am interested in, but have no time this week to pursue; operator system status awareness. During normal operation, with all systems aligned via procedural checklists, this is not a problem. During refuelling or extended shutdowns, this becomes much more difficult. I want to pursue this if I return in December.

Reviewed By:

P. L. Walker

Operations Superintendent - Nuclear

Date: 11/16/88

Management
Review By:

MC
PM-N

11/16/88
Date

MD
SVP

11/16/88
Date

VP
VP

11/16/88
Date

Date 11/16/88

Shift Report

Shift Peaks

Shift Management

PSN Wogan APSN Singer NWE Vetromile

A. Questionable Work Practices/Actions Taken/Recommendations

None

B. Areas for Improvement/Recommendations/Actions Taken

None

C. Good Practices/Professionalism Observed

Routine operations

Reviewed By *PA Mende* Date 11-17-88

Date 11/16/88

Shift Report

Shift Mids

Shift Management

PSN Salkeld APSN Murphy NWE Bddinger

A. Questionable Work Practices/Actions Taken/Recommendations

None

B. Areas for Improvement/Recommendations/Actions Taken

None

C. Good Practices/Professionalism Observed

Routine operations

Reviewed By *Art Pearce* Date 11/16/88

ONSHIFT OVERSIGHT PROGRAM
DAILY REPORT

Page

1

Date: 11/16/88

M. D. Shepherd
(Observer)

Shift: Day

A. Plant Evolutions Observed

- 0600 outage meeting
- Pre-shift meeting
- Routine control room operations
- Plant tour

B. Immediate Safety Problems

None

C. Questionable Work Practices

None

D. Areas for Improvement

None

E. Professionalism, Summary of Shift, Comments

None

Reviewed By:

Richard L. Hende
Operations Superintendent - Nuclear

Date: 11-17-88

Management
Review By:

KC
PM-N

11/17/88
Date

SVP

/
Date

VP

/
Date

Date: 11/16-17/88

ONSHIFT OVERSIGHT PROGRAM
DAILY REPORT

Page

1

P. L. Walker

(Observer)

Shift:

Nights

A. Plant Evolutions Observed

- Unit 3 in mode 5, unit 4, defueled
- Shift turnover/briefing
- Tour of intake structure
- 3-OP-041.4 Overpressure Mitigating System
- 3-OSP-041.4 Overpressure Mitigating System Nitrogen Backup Leak and Functional test
- OP 4004.4 Containment Isolation Racks QR 50 and QR 51 periodic tests

B. Immediate Safety Problems

None

C. Questionable Work Practices

None

D. Areas for Improvement

None

E. Professionalism, Summary of Shift, Comments

Routine shift operations.

Reviewed By:

Richard J. Menden
Operations Superintendent- Nuclear

Date: 11-17-88

Management
Review By:

PM-N 11/17/88 SVP VP
Date Date Date

Shift Report

Date 11/17/88

Shift Days

Shift Management

PSN Salkeld/Wogan

APSN Murphy/Singer

NWE Fernandez

A. Questionable Work Practices/Actions Taken/Recommendations

None

B. Areas for Improvement/Recommendations/Actions Taken

None

C. Good Practices/Professionalism Observed

Routine operations

Reviewed By

Date 11/18/88

Shift Report

Date 11/17/88

Shift Mids

Shift Management

SN Salkeld APSN Murphy NWE Eddinger

A. Questionable Work Practices/Actions Taken/Recommendations

None

B. Areas for Improvement/Recommendations/Actions Taken

None

C. Good Practices/Professionalism Observed

Routine operations

Reviewed By *R. W. Pearse* Date 11/18/88

ONSHIFT OVERSIGHT PROGRAM
DAILY REPORT

Page

1

Date: 11/17/88

M. D. Shepherd
(Observer)

Shift:

Day

A. Plant Evolutions Observed

- o 0600 outage meeting
- o Pre-shift meeting
- o Routine control room operations
- o Plant tour

B. Immediate Safety Problems

None

C. Questionable Work Practices

None

D. Areas for Improvement

None

E. Professionalism, Summary of Shift, Comments

Comment: "Reactor Make-up Selector" switch escutcheon on unit 4 was replaced under a PWO without a PC/M. Changing control panel layout this way makes it very difficult for the simulator engineers to track "physical fidelity" as required for ANSI Certification.

(88-3072)

Reviewed By:

J. W. Davis
Operations Superintendent - Nuclear

Date:

11/18/88

Management
Review By:

AC
PM-N

11/18/88
Date

JDO
SVP

11/18/88
Date

VP

1
Date

1000

1000

1000

1000

1000

1000

1000

1000

1000

1000

1000

1000

1000

1000

1000

1000

1000

1000

1000

1000

1000

1000

1000

1000

1000

1000

ONSHIFT OVERSIGHT PROGRAM
DAILY REPORT

Page

1

Date: 11/17-18/88

John Patterson
(Observer)

Shift: Nights

A. Plant Evolutions Observed

- Unit 3 in mode 5, unit 4, defueled
- Shift turnover/briefing
- Tour of intake structure
- Tour of unit 4 containment
- OP-0209.1 valve Inservice Test (IST) post maintenance test for MOV-3-744A

B. Immediate Safety Problems

None

C. Questionable Work Practices

None

D. Areas for Improvement

None

E. Professionalism, Summary of Shift, Comments

Plant personnel exhibit a strong desire to accomplish tasks scheduled on the "priority list." In many cases support group individuals stay well beyond their normal shift to see jobs to completion.

Reviewed By:

[Signature]
Operations Superintendent - Nuclear

Date:

11/18/88

Management
Review By:

[Signature] 11/18/88 *[Signature]* 11/18/88
PM-N Date SVP Date VP Date

2000

1000

1000

1000

1000

Shift Report

Date 11/18/88

Shift Mids

Shift Management

PSN Salkeld

APSN Murphy

NWE Eddinger

A. Questionable Work Practices/Actions Taken/Recommendations

None

B. Areas for Improvement/Recommendations/Actions Taken

Revision 58 of drawing 5610-TE-4510 (SI) was issued 11/15/88 deleting two valves which do not exist. However, 3-OSP-062, SI, was not revised to delete these valves from the normal alignment attachment. An OTSC will be generated to correct this deficiency. It is recommended that the controls to assure the affected procedures are revised when other design documents are changed be reviewed for adequacy.
(88-3062)

C. Good Practices/Professionalism Observed

Routine operations

Reviewed By R. S. Pearce

Date 11/18/88

