

ACCELERATED DISTRIBUTION DEMONSTRATION SYSTEM

REGULATORY INFORMATION DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM (RIDS)

ACCESSION NBR: 8808230311 DOC. DATE: 88/08/15 NOTARIZED: NO DOCKET #
 FACIL: 50-250 Turkey Point Plant, Unit 3, Florida Power and Light C 05000250
 50-251 Turkey Point Plant, Unit 4, Florida Power and Light C 05000251
 AUTH. NAME: CONWAY, W.F. AUTHOR AFFILIATION: Florida Power & Light Co.
 RECIP. NAME: RECIPIENT AFFILIATION: Document Control Branch (Document Control Desk)

SUBJECT: Discusses schedule for submittal of proof & review comments.
 Review & comments re subj will be submitted on 880923.

DISTRIBUTION CODE: A001D COPIES RECEIVED: LTR 1 ENCL 0 SIZE: 2
 TITLE: OR Submittal: General Distribution

NOTES:

	RECIPIENT	COPIES			RECIPIENT	COPIES	
	ID CODE/NAME	LTTR	ENCL		ID CODE/NAME	LTTR	ENCL
	PD2-2 LA	1	0		PD2-2 PD	5	5
	EDISON, G	1	1				
INTERNAL:	ARM/DAF/LFMB	1	0		NRR/DEST/ADS 7E	1	1
	NRR/DEST/CEB 8H	1	1		NRR/DEST/ESB 8D	1	1
	NRR/DEST/MTB 9H	1	1		NRR/DEST/RSB 8E	1	1
	NRR/DOEA/TSB 11	1	1		NRR/PMAS/ILRB12	1	1
	NUDQCS-ABSTRACT	1	1		OGC/HDS2	1	0
	REG FILE 01	1	1		RES/DSIR/EIB	1	1
EXTERNAL:	LPDR	1	1		NRC PDR	1	1
	NSIC	1	1				

TOTAL NUMBER OF COPIES REQUIRED: LTTR 22 ENCL 19

R
I
D
S
/
A
D
D
S

R
I
D
S
/
A
D
D
S



AUGUST 15 1988

L-88-338

U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Attn: Document Control Desk
Washington, D. C. 20555

Gentlemen:

Re: Turkey Point Units 3 and 4
Docket Nos. 50-250 and 50-251
Schedule for Submittal of Proof and
Review Comments (TAC Nos. 65038 and 65039)

By letter dated June 9, 1988, the NRC Staff transmitted for review and comment the "Proof and Review" version of revised technical specifications for Turkey Point Units 3 and 4. That letter requested that Florida Power & Light Company provide comments by July 8, 1988.

Although we had initially expected that we could meet the requested four week review period, we have determined that the scope of the review will require considerably more effort than originally projected. This was discussed with your staff at a meeting at the Turkey Point Plant on August 4, 1988, and previously with the Project Manager. We now expect to issue our comments by September 23, 1988. As also discussed in that meeting, partial submittals of comments may be provided before this date. We understand that this delay may cause a comparable delay in the ultimate issuance of the revised technical specifications. Because it is critical to the safe operation of these units that these revised specifications accurately reflect the current design, we have to accept the schedule loss associated with this enhanced review effort.

PLPSSPRC.LTR

8808230311 880815
PDR ADDCK 05000250
P PNU

A001
110

Age Group	1997	2000	2003
18-29	~75%	~80%	~85%
30-49	~65%	~70%	~75%
50-69	~55%	~60%	~65%
70+	~45%	~50%	~55%

U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
L-88-338
Page two

In parallel with the submittal and resolution of proof and review comments, we will be continuing our review of the document to ensure the setpoints and specific values in the document accurately reflect the design analyses of the units. While not likely, it is possible that subsequent changes to the document may be necessary prior to our certification in accordance with the staff's transmittal letter.

Once the proof and review comments are resolved, we will better understand the schedule impacts of the certification process, and will be better able to establish a milestone schedule for issuance and implementation of the revised Technical Specifications. As we discussed in our August 4, 1988 meeting, we will schedule a management meeting in early September to review the progress and schedule for this project.

Should there be any questions on this information, please contact us.

Very truly yours,



W. F. Conway
Senior Vice President - Nuclear

WFC/PLP/gp

cc: Dr. J. Nelson Grace, Regional Administrator, Region II,
USNRC
Senior Resident Inspector, USNRC, Turkey Point Plant

PLPSSPRC.LTR