

# REGULATORY INFORMATION DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM (RIDS)

ACCESSION NBR: 8711200111      DOC. DATE: 87/11/13      NOTARIZED: NO      DOCKET #  
 FACIL: 50-250 Turkey Point Plant, Unit 3, Florida Power and Light C      05000250  
       50-251 Turkey Point Plant, Unit 4, Florida Power and Light C      05000251  
 AUTH. NAME      AUTHOR AFFILIATION  
 WOODY, C. O.      Florida Power & Light Co.  
 RECIP. NAME      RECIPIENT AFFILIATION  
                          Document Control Branch (Document Control Desk)

SUBJECT: Revised response to violations noted in Insp Repts  
           50-250/87-41 & 50-251/87-41 per util 871112 ltr. Rev corrects  
           inaccurate dates inadvertently provided in Section 5 of  
           original response. Mods performed in 1981-82 tested 870902.

DISTRIBUTION CODE: IE01D      COPIES RECEIVED: LTR 1 ENCL 1 SIZE: 3  
 TITLE: General (50 Dkt)-Insp Rept/Notice of Violation Response

## NOTES:

	RECIPIENT ID CODE/NAME	COPIES LTTR ENCL	RECIPIENT ID CODE/NAME	COPIES LTTR ENCL
	PD2-2 PD	1 1	McDONALD, D	2 2
INTERNAL:	AEDD	1 1	DEDRO	1 1
	NRR MORISSEAU, D	1 1	NRR/DLPQ/PEB	1 1
	NRR/DOEA DIR	1 1	NRR/DREP/EPB	1 1
	NRR/DREP/RPB	2 2	NRR/DRIS DIR	1 1
	NRR/PMAS/ILRB	1 1	<del>BE</del> FIEBERMAN, J	1 1
	OGC/HDS2	1 1	REG FILE	1 1
	RES DEPY GI	1 1	RGN2 FILE .01	1 1
EXTERNAL:	LPDR	1 1	NRC PDR	1 1
	NSIC	1 1		

**FPL**

NOVEMBER 13 1987

L-87-471

U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Attn: Document Control Desk  
Washington, D. C. 20555


Gentlemen:

Re: Turkey Point Units 3 and 4  
Docket Nos. 50-250 and 50-251  
Inspection Report 87-41 Revised Response

The attached revised response is submitted to correct inaccurate dates that inadvertently were provided in Section 5 of our original response of November 12, 1987.

There is no proprietary information in the report.

Very truly yours,

  
C. O. Woody  
Group Vice President  
Nuclear Energy Department

COW/SDF/gp

Attachment

cc: Dr. J. Nelson Grace, Regional Administrator, Region II, USNRC  
Senior Resident Inspector, USNRC, Turkey Point Plant

8711200111 871113  
PDR ADOCK 05000250  
Q PDR

IE01  
11

an FPL Group company



## ATTACHMENT

RE: TURKEY POINT UNITS 3 AND 4  
DOCKET NO. 50-250, 50-251  
IE INSPECTION REPORT 250-87-41 & 251-87-41

### FINDING:

10 CFR 50 Appendix B, Criterion XI, as implemented by Florida Power and Light Topical Quality Assurance Report FPLTQAR 1-76A and TQR 11.0, entitled Test Control, requires in part that testing be performed to assure that structures, systems, and components be tested following modification to assure satisfactory performance in service.

Contrary to the above, modifications performed in 1981-1982 designated as PC/M 80-51, PC/M 80-52, PC/M 80-167, and PC/M 80-168 were not tested under routine surveillance procedures until September 2, 1987.

### RESPONSE:

- 1) FPL concurs with the finding.
- 2) The reason for the finding was that post-modification testing was governed under the existing construction administrative procedures, with no single organization being accountable for the documentation of the testing being performed. A portion of the testing in this time frame was performed by the cognizant maintenance departments. These tests were documented on Plant Work Orders, (PWO's), however the PWO's did not necessarily reference the PC/M being implemented. This has made the retrieval of any testing which was performed by PWO's extremely difficult.
- 3) A documentation search was initiated to locate any testing which may have been performed. The search covered the original PC/M's and related construction documents. Concurrently, testing of the Normal Containment Cooler (NCC) modifications, Containment Sump Pump (CSP) modifications, and certain containment isolation valves was initiated. It was determined that CV-2201 did not require any additional testing as procedures 3/4-OSP-203, "Integrated Safeguards Test", adequately tested this valve. The search failed to identify any documentation of the required testing. Testing of the above components was performed satisfactorily on both units, except for the Unit 4 NCC's, which failed to trip upon receipt of a Containment Isolation Phase A (CIA) signal. This failure did not result in a condition which would have initiated a restart of the NCC upon a post-safeguards-initiation reset of the CIA signal.

- 4) Since the time the cited PC/M's were implemented, FPL has instituted major improvements in assuring and controlling post-modification testing. These include:
- a) Revision of Quality Instruction 3.1, "Control of Design Performed by JPE", to require engineering design packages to contain a startup testing section. That section provides guidelines for startup testing procedures as recommended by manufacturers, required by Technical Specifications, or desired by engineering. Testing requirements must be specified in the design package when necessary to assure the modification does not alter the plant's design basis.
  - b) A Startup Department was organized as part of the Performance Enhancement Program (PEP). That department is responsible for system acceptance walkdowns, system acceptance testing and other duties to assure a system will work as designed before being turned over to the plant for operation.
  - c) Administrative Procedure AP 0190.15, "Plant Changes and Modifications", requires the plant technical staff perform an engineering review following a PC/M implementation and that quality control perform a post-implementation review.
  - d) 3/4-OSP-203, "Engineered Safeguards Integrated Test", will be revised to require train-by-train safeguards tests to assure proper function of components powered by Telemand transferred Motor Control Centers.
- 5) a) Full compliance for item 3 above was achieved by September 2, 1987.
- b) Full compliance for items 4a, 4b, and 4c was achieved by October 31, 1987.
- c) Full compliance for item 4d above will be achieved prior to the next refueling outages for each unit, respectively.