

## NRR-DMPSPeM Resource

---

**From:** Galvin, Dennis  
**Sent:** Thursday, December 07, 2017 10:14 AM  
**To:** Arthur.Zaremba@duke-energy.com  
**Subject:** Duke Energy Fleet - Acceptance of Requested Licensing Action Re: Amendment Request (EPID L-2017-LLA-0377)

SUBJECT: CATAWBA NUCLEAR STATION, UNITS 1 AND 2; SHEARON HARRIS NUCLEAR POWER PLANT, UNIT 1; MCGUIRE NUCLEAR STATION, UNITS 1 AND 2; OCONEE NUCLEAR STATION, UNIT NOS. 1, 2 AND 3; AND H. B. ROBINSON STEAM ELECTRIC PLANT, UNIT NO. 2 – ACCEPTANCE OF REQUESTED LICENSING ACTION RE: AMENDMENT REQUESTS TO REVISE TECHNICAL SPECIFICATIONS TO ADOPT TSTF-545 (EPID L-2017-LLA-0377)

Mr. Zaremba,

By letter dated November 7, 2017 (Agencywide Documents Access and Management System (ADAMS) Accession No. ML17312A362), Duke Energy Progress, LLC and Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC (Duke Energy, or the licensee) submitted a license amendment request (LAR) for the Catawba Nuclear Station, Units 1 and 2 (Catawba); McGuire Nuclear Power Plant, Units 1 and 2 (McGuire); Oconee Nuclear Station, Units 1, 2 and 3 (Oconee); Shearon Harris Nuclear Power Plant, Unit 1 (Harris); and H. B. Robinson Steam Electric Plant, Unit No. 2 (Robinson). The proposed amendments would revise the technical specifications (TSs) based on Technical Specification Task Force (TSTF) Traveler TSTF-545, Revision 3, "TS Inservice Testing Program Removal & Clarify SR Usage Rule Application to Section 5.5 Testing" (ADAMS Accession No. ML15294A555), with some variations. For each plant, the changes include deleting the current TS for the Inservice Testing Program, adding a new defined term, "INSERVICE TESTING PROGRAM," to the TSs, and revising other TSs to reference this new defined term instead of the deleted TS.

The purpose of this letter is to provide the results of the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) staff's acceptance review of this amendment request. The acceptance review was performed to determine if there is sufficient technical information in scope and depth to allow the NRC staff to complete its detailed technical review. The acceptance review is also intended to identify whether the application has any readily apparent information insufficiencies in its characterization of the regulatory requirements or the licensing basis of the plants.

Consistent with Section 50.90 of Title 10 of the *Code of Federal Regulations* (10 CFR), an amendment to the license (including the TSs) must fully describe the changes requested, and following as far as applicable, the form prescribed for original applications. Section 50.34 of 10 CFR addresses the content of technical information required. This section stipulates that the submittal address the design and operating characteristics, unusual or novel design features, and principal safety considerations.

The NRC staff has reviewed your application and concluded that it does provide technical information in sufficient detail to enable the NRC staff to complete its detailed technical review and make an independent assessment regarding the acceptability of the proposed amendment in terms of regulatory requirements and the protection of public health and safety and the environment. Given the lesser scope and depth of the acceptance review as compared to the detailed technical review, there may be instances in which issues that impact the NRC staff's ability to complete the detailed technical review are identified despite completion of an adequate acceptance review. If additional information is needed, you will be advised by separate correspondence.

Based on the information provided in your submittal, the NRC staff has estimated that this licensing request will take approximately 300 hours to complete. The NRC staff expects to complete this review in approximately 12

months, which is November 2018. If there are emergent complexities or challenges in our review that would cause changes to the initial forecasted completion date or significant changes in the forecasted hours, the reasons for the changes, along with the new estimates, will be communicated during the routine interactions with the assigned project manager.

These estimates are based on the NRC staff's initial review of the application, and they could change due to several factors, including requests for additional information, unanticipated addition of scope to the review, and review by NRC advisory committees or hearing-related activities. Additional delay may occur if the submittal is provided to the NRC in advance or in parallel with industry program initiatives or pilot applications.

If you have any questions, please contact me at (301) 415-6256.

Sincerely,

Dennis Galvin  
Project Manager  
U.S Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation  
Division of Operating Reactor Licensing  
Licensing Project Branch 2-2  
301-415-6256

Docket Nos. 50-413, 50-414, 50-369,  
50-370, 50-269, 50-270,  
50-287, 50-400, and 50-261

**Hearing Identifier:** NRR\_DMPS  
**Email Number:** 32

**Mail Envelope Properties** (Dennis.Galvin@nrc.gov20171207101400)

**Subject:** Duke Energy Fleet - Acceptance of Requested Licensing Action Re: Amendment Request (EPID L-2017-LLA-0377)  
**Sent Date:** 12/7/2017 10:14:15 AM  
**Received Date:** 12/7/2017 10:14:00 AM  
**From:** Galvin, Dennis

**Created By:** Dennis.Galvin@nrc.gov

**Recipients:**  
"Arthur.Zaremba@duke-energy.com" <Arthur.Zaremba@duke-energy.com>  
Tracking Status: None

**Post Office:**

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	4714	12/7/2017 10:14:00 AM

**Options**  
**Priority:** Standard  
**Return Notification:** No  
**Reply Requested:** No  
**Sensitivity:** Normal  
**Expiration Date:**  
**Recipients Received:**