

REGULATORY INFORMATION DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM (RIDS)

ACCESSION NBR: 8103060622 DOC. DATE: 81/02/27 NOTARIZED: YES DOCKET #
 FACIL: 50-250 Turkey Point Plant, Unit 3, Florida Power and Light C 05000250
 50-251 Turkey Point Plant, Unit 4, Florida Power and Light C 05000251
 AUTH. NAME AUTHOR AFFILIATION
 UHRIG, R.E. Florida Power & Light Co.
 RECIP. NAME RECIPIENT AFFILIATION
 NOVAK, T.M. Assistant Director for Operating Reactors

SUBJECT: Responds to NRC 810211 partial review of environ
 qualification of safety-related electrical equipment.
 Meetings should be held between NRC & util to identify each
 concern.

DISTRIBUTION CODE: A001S COPIES RECEIVED: LTR 3 ENCL ϕ SIZE: 5
 TITLE: General Distribution for after Issuance of Operating License

NOTES:

ACTION:	RECIPIENT ID CODE/NAME		COPIES		RECIPIENT ID CODE/NAME		COPIES	
			LTTR	ENCL			LTTR	ENCL
ACTION:	VARGA, S.	04	13	13				
INTERNAL:	D/DIR, HUM FAC08		1		DIR, DIV OF LIC		1	
	I&E	06	2	2	NRC PDR	02	1	
	QFLD	11	1	0	OR ASSESS BR 10		1	0
	REG FILE	01	1					
EXTERNAL:	ACRS	09	16	16	LPDR	03	1	
	NSIC	05	1					

MAR 09 1981


TOTAL NUMBER OF COPIES REQUIRED: LTTR 39 ENCL ϕ

1. The first part of the document is a letter from the President of the United States to the Congress, dated January 3, 1862. It is a very important document, as it contains the President's annual message to Congress. The letter is written in a very formal and dignified style, and it is one of the most important documents in the history of the United States.

2. The second part of the document is a report from the Secretary of the Treasury, dated January 3, 1862. It is a very important document, as it contains the Secretary's annual report to Congress. The report is written in a very formal and dignified style, and it is one of the most important documents in the history of the United States.

3. The third part of the document is a report from the Secretary of the Interior, dated January 3, 1862. It is a very important document, as it contains the Secretary's annual report to Congress. The report is written in a very formal and dignified style, and it is one of the most important documents in the history of the United States.

RECEIVED		JAN 3 1862		U. S. DEPT. OF THE INTERIOR	
1	2	3	4	5	6
7	8	9	10	11	12
13	14	15	16	17	18
19	20	21	22	23	24
25	26	27	28	29	30
31	32	33	34	35	36
37	38	39	40	41	42
43	44	45	46	47	48
49	50	51	52	53	54
55	56	57	58	59	60
61	62	63	64	65	66
67	68	69	70	71	72
73	74	75	76	77	78
79	80	81	82	83	84
85	86	87	88	89	90
91	92	93	94	95	96
97	98	99	100	101	102
103	104	105	106	107	108
109	110	111	112	113	114
115	116	117	118	119	120
121	122	123	124	125	126
127	128	129	130	131	132
133	134	135	136	137	138
139	140	141	142	143	144
145	146	147	148	149	150
151	152	153	154	155	156
157	158	159	160	161	162
163	164	165	166	167	168
169	170	171	172	173	174
175	176	177	178	179	180
181	182	183	184	185	186
187	188	189	190	191	192
193	194	195	196	197	198
199	200	201	202	203	204
205	206	207	208	209	210
211	212	213	214	215	216
217	218	219	220	221	222
223	224	225	226	227	228
229	230	231	232	233	234
235	236	237	238	239	240
241	242	243	244	245	246
247	248	249	250	251	252
253	254	255	256	257	258
259	260	261	262	263	264
265	266	267	268	269	270
271	272	273	274	275	276
277	278	279	280	281	282
283	284	285	286	287	288
289	290	291	292	293	294
295	296	297	298	299	300
301	302	303	304	305	306
307	308	309	310	311	312
313	314	315	316	317	318
319	320	321	322	323	324
325	326	327	328	329	330
331	332	333	334	335	336
337	338	339	340	341	342
343	344	345	346	347	348
349	350	351	352	353	354
355	356	357	358	359	360
361	362	363	364	365	366
367	368	369	370	371	372
373	374	375	376	377	378
379	380	381	382	383	384
385	386	387	388	389	390
391	392	393	394	395	396
397	398	399	400	401	402
403	404	405	406	407	408
409	410	411	412	413	414
415	416	417	418	419	420
421	422	423	424	425	426
427	428	429	430	431	432
433	434	435	436	437	438
439	440	441	442	443	444
445	446	447	448	449	450
451	452	453	454	455	456
457	458	459	460	461	462
463	464	465	466	467	468
469	470	471	472	473	474
475	476	477	478	479	480
481	482	483	484	485	486
487	488	489	490	491	492
493	494	495	496	497	498
499	500	501	502	503	504
505	506	507	508	509	510
511	512	513	514	515	516
517	518	519	520	521	522
523	524	525	526	527	528
529	530	531	532	533	534
535	536	537	538	539	540
541	542	543	544	545	546
547	548	549	550	551	552
553	554	555	556	557	558
559	560	561	562	563	564
565	566	567	568	569	570
571	572	573	574	575	576
577	578	579	580	581	582
583	584	585	586	587	588
589	590	591	592	593	594
595	596	597	598	599	600
601	602	603	604	605	606
607	608	609	610	611	612
613	614	615	616	617	618
619	620	621	622	623	624
625	626	627	628	629	630
631	632	633	634	635	636
637	638	639	640	641	642
643	644	645	646	647	648
649	650	651	652	653	654
655	656	657	658	659	660
661	662	663	664	665	666
667	668	669	670	671	672
673	674	675	676	677	678
679	680	681	682	683	684
685	686	687	688	689	690
691	692	693	694	695	696
697	698	699	700	701	702
703	704	705	706	707	708
709	710	711	712	713	714
715	716	717	718	719	720
721	722	723	724	725	726
727	728	729	730	731	732
733	734	735	736	737	738
739	740	741	742	743	744
745	746	747	748	749	750
751	752	753	754	755	756
757	758	759	760	761	762
763	764	765	766	767	768
769	770	771	772	773	774
775	776	777	778	779	780
781	782	783	784	785	786
787	788	789	790	791	792
793	794	795	796	797	798
799	800	801	802	803	804
805	806	807	808	809	810
811	812	813	814	815	816
817	818	819	820	821	822
823	824	825	826	827	828
829	830	831	832	833	834
835	836	837	838	839	840
841	842	843	844	845	846
847	848	849	850	851	852
853	854	855	856	857	858
859	860	861	862	863	864
865	866	867	868	869	870
871	872	873	874	875	876
877	878	879	880	881	882
883	884	885	886	887	888
889	890	891	892	893	894
895	896	897	898	899	900
901	902	903	904	905	906
907	908	909	910	911	912
913	914	915	916	917	918
919	920	921	922	923	924
925	926	927	928	929	930
931	932	933	934	935	936
937	938	939	940	941	942
943	944	945	946	947	948
949	950	951	952	953	954
955	956	957	958	959	960
961	962	963	964	965	966
967	968	969	970	971	972
973	974	975	976	977	978
979	980	981	982	983	984
985	986	987	988	989	990
991	992	993	994	995	996
997	998	999	1000	1001	1002



February 27, 1981

Thomas M. Novak, Assistant Director
for Operating Reactors
Division of Licensing
United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D.C. 20555



Dear Mr. Novak:

RE: Turkey Point Units 3 & 4
Facility License Nos. DPR-31 & DPR-41
Environmental Qualification of Safety-Related
Electrical Equipment

Your letter of February 11, 1981 transmitted the preliminary results of the Staff's review of the environmental qualification of safety-related electrical equipment in harsh environments for the Turkey Point facility. It requests a 10 day response that assesses the safety significance of questions or concerns identified by the Staff to be followed by an item-by-item response at some later date. This letter constitutes our 10 day response.

The Staff's Partial Review Equipment Evaluation Report (PREER) lacks the technical details required for us to perform a comprehensive engineering evaluation of Staff concerns cited therein. To obtain further clarification of these concerns, the contents of the PREER were discussed with members of the NRC Staff on February 25, 1981. Based on these discussions and our review of the PREER, we believe that the Staff concerns relate to detailed engineering aspects of the environmental qualification methodology. The Staff concerns do not seem to identify any new or substantive safety issues that have not been previously considered by FPL or its consultant during our previous evaluations.

Our philosophical approach appears consistent with that utilized by the Staff. Thus your letter does not appear to provide a basis for altering the conclusions reached in our prior submittals to you. In our judgment the equipment qualification adequately addresses the NRC's environmental qualification requirements. On this basis we conclude that operation of the facility does not pose any undue risk to public health and safety.


The following is a discussion of some specific Staff concerns identified in the PREER. This discussion is provided to support our conclusions, and illustrate our contention that an item cannot be addressed until our engineers can meet with the staff and discuss in detail the technical concerns;

- (1) On page 3 of the PREER, the Staff suggests that a maximum containment temperature of 298 °F should be used in lieu of 276 °F to account for higher-than-average temperatures that might exist in the upper regions of the containment due to stratification. This is not considered relevant to Turkey Point since;

As of 3/10

8108060622

PEOPLE...SERVING PEOPLE



Thomas M. Novak, Asst. Director
Re: Docket No's 50-250 and 251
IE Bulletin 79-01B
2/27/81
Page Two


- (a) There is no safety related electrical equipment located in the upper regions of the containment. (This is not explicitly stated in our previous reports.)
 - (b) Operation of the containment spray system should negate any concerns over stratification of air within containment.
 - (c) The 276 °F criterion is based on conservative analytical methods. In the real world, lower peak temperatures would be expected.
- (2) On page 2 of the PREER, the Staff addresses display instrumentation used by the reactor operators. Our previous responses did not explicitly identify display information used by the operators. However in the attachment to our October 30, 1980 letter we identified criteria used for development of the Master Equipment List.

Criterion 3 therein states "The item is relied upon by the operators in the emergency procedures for LOCA or HELB." Or stated in a more direct manner, if the operator needs it, the item's environmental qualification was addressed. Thus, to our knowledge, transmitters providing intelligence to the reactor operators were addressed in our previous submittals.

- (3) Pressure and levels transmitters, e.g.. PT-3-405 and LT-3-474 were qualification tested to very stringent requirements for the severe portion of the transient; viz., 75 psig compared to a required peak pressure of 50 psig. The Staff concern relates to the long term (after 24 hrs) low pressure (5 psig) aspect of the transient and not the severe initial phase of the transient. Since the components successfully passed a qualification test that conservatively envelopes the critical pressure stage of the transient, it was concluded that the essentially ambient long term pressure condition could be accommodated.

An "RT" (required time) Staff concern is identified for transmitters, PT-3-455, 456, and 457. The required qualification time is $1\frac{1}{2}$ hour and the qualification test duration was 2 hours. Thus, the Staff's concern doesn't readily manifest itself.

- (4) The Staff persists in attempting to backfit margin into the electrical design by imposing an arbitrary 1 hour plus RT qualification requirement. We specifically addressed this issue in the attachment to our October 30, 1980 letter. It is our contention that the Commission's regulation at 10 CFR 50.109 requires the backfitting of margin advocated by the Staff be supported by a



Thomas M. Novak, Asst. Director
Re: Docket No's 50-250 and 251
IE Bulletin 79-01B
2/27/81
Page Three

finding that such action results in "substantial, additional protection which is required for the public health and safety." Obviously 10 CFR 50.109 requires that the Staff perform this evaluation for each item so backfitted. The Staff's judgement would not appear to provide the basis required by 10 CFR 50.109.

- (5) Our previous submittals have addressed aging and have committed to developing a program for periodic replacement of electrical components. It is our belief that this program in conjunction with the periodic testing and inspection requirements imposed by the unit's Technical Specifications adequately addresses aging. In our February 25, 1981 discussions the Staff suggested that a surveillance program for cable may provide some benefit. We noted that this requirement would be in conflict with the Staff's fire protection requirements in that the cables are presently coated with Flamastic.
- (6) Appendix B to the PREER appears to contain some typographical errors and based on our February 25, 1981 discussions it would appear that the copy received by us is a different draft than that being utilized by your technical staff. We reach this conclusion since some apparent typographical errors in our version were corrected in the Staff version. This comment is substantive since the errors relate to application of the legend utilized to designate Staff concerns.
- (7) The Staff requested that we discuss single failure as it relates to the containment spray system. Although not stated in the qualification report, the containment spray system is composed of two separate and redundant systems. It is not subject to a disabling single failure.
- (8) It appears that our reference to proprietary information has been identified as a deficiency by the Staff. It was not possible for us to include proprietary data in the submittal. However, this data is available for Staff review at Turkey Point.



2014

2014

2014

Thomas M. Novak, Asst. Director
Re: Docket No's 50-250 and 251
IE Bulletin 79-01B
2/27/81
Page Four

In summary, we believe that the Staff concerns are resolvable. However, to accomplish this, each concern for each item must be explicitly identified. The most efficient means of accomplishing this is via a series of meetings between our engineers and your technical Staff. Subsequent to these meetings we can provide the clarifying technical data required to resolve any outstanding Staff concerns. We are willing to meet with your technical Staff at your convenience.

Very truly yours,



Robert E. Uhrig
Vice President
Advanced Systems & Technology

REU/JEM/mbd

Attachments

cc: Mr. James P. O'Reilly, Region II
Harold F. Reis, Esquire



10

STATE OF FLORIDA)


COUNTY OF DADE)

ss.

Robert E. Uhrig, being first duly sworn, deposes and says:


That he is a Vice President of Florida Power & Light Company,
the Licensee herein;

That he has executed the foregoing document; that the state-
ments made in this said document are true and correct to the
best of his knowledge, information, and belief, and that he
is authorized to execute the document on behalf of said
Licensee.


Robert E. Uhrig

Subscribed and sworn to before me this

27 day of February, 1981


NOTARY PUBLIC, in and for the county of Dade,
State of Florida

My commission expires:

Notary Public, State of Florida at Large
My Commission Expires October 30, 1983
Bonded thru Maynard Bonding Agency

NOTARY PUBLIC
STATE OF FLORIDA
COMMISSION EXPIRES
OCTOBER 30, 1983



[Illegible handwritten signature]

1990