

USNRC REGION I
ATLANTA, GEORGIA



80 JUL 1 A 9:41

June 26, 1980
L-80-202

Mr. James P. O'Reilly, Director, Region II
Office of Inspection and Enforcement
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
101 Marietta Street, Suite 3100
Atlanta, Georgia 30303

Dear Mr. O'Reilly:

Re: RII:RVL
50-250/80-16
50-251/80-14

Florida Power & Light Company has reviewed the subject inspection report and a response is attached.

There is no proprietary information in the report.

Very truly yours,

Robert E. Uhrig
Vice President
Advanced Systems & Technology

REU/MAS/cph

Attachment

cc: Harold F. Reis, Esquire

OFFICIAL COPY

8007240263

PEOPLE...SERVING PEOPLE



RESPONSE TO NRC INSPECTION REPORT 80-16/80-14

Finding A

As required by 10 CFR 50, Appendix B, Criterion XVI, and Topical Quality Requirement 16.0 of the Topical Quality Assurance Report No. FPL TQAR 1-76, as implemented in part by paragraph 5.2.2.3 of Quality Procedure 16.1, Corrective Action for Items of Noncompliance identified in NRC inspection reports shall be tracked by the plant.

Contrary to the above, the corrective action described in your December 18, 1979, response to the item of noncompliance described in paragraph 7 of Report 50-250/251/79-31 was not tracked by the plant thereby contributing to the lack of implementation of the corrective action by your stated due date.

Response

Upon our commitment to complete the corrective action as described in our letter of December 18, 1979, we began tracking this open item. It was tracked as an open item until responsibility for the corrective action status report (CASR) was transferred from one individual to another. At this time the items which had been included in the CASR were being divided into two categories, the first category being those items that still had outstanding commitments and the second category being those items for which we had fulfilled our commitments. Items in the second category were awaiting NRC review prior to being closed out. During this period, the item regarding the Select-A-Torque maintenance instruction commitment was inadvertently and erroneously moved from the open item category to the second category. Tracking of the item ceased at that point.

To prevent recurrence a review of all items that were awaiting NRC review to close was conducted to insure that there were not other outstanding commitments which had not been completed. Further action to preclude recurrence has been taken so that the CASR is now the primary responsibility of one individual rather than a collateral duty of another, so that open items can be more reliably and consistently tracked to resolution.

Compliance was achieved on April 28, 1980.



Finding B

As stated in your December 18, 1979, response to the item of noncompliance described in paragraph 7 of report 50-250/251/79-31, a maintenance instruction detailing the operation of the Select-A-Torq machine would be issued and full compliance would be achieved prior to March 15, 1980.

Contrary to the above, this instruction was not issued until April 25, 1980, subsequent to the resident inspector's followup in this area.

Response

Due to the inadvertent misfiling of this item into the "NRC review to close" category, (see first response), this commitment was not tracked through to resolution. Upon the NRC resident inspector's followup of this noncompliance, it was found that our commitment for the writing and issuance of this instruction had not been completed by the commitment date.

When this deviation was brought to our attention, the instruction was written and issued for use before any work had been performed using the Select-A-Torq machine. This instruction was written and issued on April 25, 1980.

To prevent recurrence, as answered in the response to Finding A of this reply, responsibility for tracking commitments has been assigned to an individual within the Quality Control Department as a primary duty to insure that items can be better tracked to resolution.

Full compliance was achieved on April 25, 1980.

