

REGULATORY INFORMATION DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM (RIDS)

ACCESSION NBR: 7904160160 DOC. DATE: 79/04/13 NOTARIZED: NO DOCKET #
 FACIL: 50-250 TURKEY POINT PLANT, UNIT 3, FLORIDA POWER AND LIGHT C 05000250
 50-251 TURKEY POINT PLANT, UNIT 4, FLORIDA POWER AND LIGHT C 05000251
 AUTH. NAME AUTHOR AFFILIATION
 UHRIG, R.E. FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT CO.
 RECIP. NAME RECIPIENT AFFILIATION
 CASE, E.G. OFFICE OF NUCLEAR REACTOR REGULATION

SUBJECT: CONFIRMS PERFORMANCE OF REACTOR COOLANT LOOP REANALYSIS.
 REANALYSIS RESULTS WERE ESSENTIALLY THE SAME AS IN THE FSAR
 & ARE THEREFORE ACCEPTABLE.


DISTRIBUTION CODE: A001S COPIES RECEIVED: LTR 2 ENCL 1 SIZE: 1+3
 TITLE: GENERAL DISTRIBUTION FOR AFTER ISSUANCE OF OPERATING LIC


NOTES: -----

	RECIPIENT ID CODE/NAME	COPIES LTTR ENCL	RECIPIENT ID CODE/NAME	COPIES LTTR ENCL
ACTION:	05 BC ORB#1	7 7		
INTERNAL:	01 REG FILE	1 1	02 NRC PDR	1 1
	12 I&E	2 2	14 TA/EDO	1 1
	15 CORE PERF BR	1 1	16 AD SYS/PROJ	1 1
	17 ENGR BR	1 1	18 REAC SFTY BR	1 1
	19 PLANT SYS BR	1 1	20 EEB	1 1
	21 EFLT TRT SYS	1 1	22 BRINKMAN	1 1
EXTERNAL:	03 LPDR	1 1	04 NSIC	1 1
	23 ACRS	16 16		

APR 17 1979

TIAH
CCP





April 13, 1979

Mr. Edson G. Case, Deputy Director
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D. C. 20555

Dear Mr. Case:

RE: Turkey Point Units 3 and 4
Docket Nos. 50-250 and 50-251

As we informed you at our meeting today, this will confirm that at our request Westinghouse has reviewed its files concerning the piping analyses it had performed for Turkey Point Units 3 and 4 and has conducted a reanalysis of the reactor coolant loop.

The results of Westinghouse's review and reanalysis are contained in the enclosed letter from Mr. T. M. Anderson to you of April 12, 1979. With the reanalysis of the reactor coolant loop, all analyses of piping systems at Turkey Point Units 3 and 4 performed by Westinghouse have utilized the absolute summation technique for intramodal responses. The results of the reanalysis of the reactor coolant loop were essentially the same as those reported in the Turkey Point Units 3 and 4 Final Safety Analysis Report, and are therefore acceptable.

Very truly yours,

Robert E. Uhrig
Vice President

REGULATORY DOCKET FILE COPY

REU:jb

Enclosure

cc: Robert Lowenstein, Esquire
J. P. O'Reilly, Region II

App
5/11

7904160160

Figure 1. The effect of the concentration of the *Agrobacterium* suspension on the transformation efficiency of *Agrobacterium* strains. The number of transformed cells was determined by the number of colonies obtained on the selective medium. The results are the mean of three independent experiments. Error bars represent the standard deviation.