

# REGULATORY INFORMATION DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM (RIDS)

ACCESSION NBR: 8703160131 DOC. DATE: 87/03/06 NOTARIZED: NO DOCKET #  
 FACIL: 50-315 Donald C. Cook Nuclear Power Plant, Unit 1, Indiana & 05000315  
 50-316 Donald C. Cook Nuclear Power Plant, Unit 2, Indiana & 05000316  
 AUTH. NAME AUTHOR AFFILIATION  
 ALEXICH, M. P. Indiana & Michigan Electric Co.  
 RECIP. NAME RECIPIENT AFFILIATION  
 Document Control Branch (Document Control Desk)

SUBJECT: Submits addl info & requests concurrence re compliance w/  
 requirements in NUREG-0737 Section II.F.1, Attachments 1, 2 &  
 3 concerning radiation monitoring sys.

DISTRIBUTION CODE: A046D COPIES RECEIVED: LTR 1 ENCL 0 SIZE: 2  
 TITLE: OR Submittal: TMI Action Plan Rgmt NUREG-0737 & NUREG-0660

## NOTES:

RECIPIENT		COPIES		RECIPIENT		COPIES	
ID	CODE/NAME	LTTR	ENCL	ID	CODE/NAME	LTTR	ENCL
PWR-A	ADTS	1	1	PWR-A	EB	1	1
PWR-A	EICSB	2	2	PWR-A	FOB	1	1
PWR-A	PD4 LA	1	0	PWR-A	PD4 PD 04.	5	5
WIGGINGTON, D		1	1	PWR-A	PSB	1	1
PWR-A	RSB	1	1				
INTERNAL:	ADM/LFMB	1	0	AEOD/PTB		1	1
	IE/DEPER DIR 33	1	1	IE/DEPER/EPB		3	3
	NRR BWR ADTS	1	1	NRR PAULSON, W.		1	1
	NRR PWR-A ADTS	1	1	NRR PWR-B ADTS		1	1
	NRR/DSRO EMRIT	1	1	OGC/HDS1		1	0
	<u>REG FILE</u> 01	1	1				
EXTERNAL:	LPDR 03	2	2	NRC PDR 02		1	1
	NSIC 05	1	1				

TOTAL NUMBER OF COPIES REQUIRED: LTTR 31 ENCL 0



# INDIANA & MICHIGAN ELECTRIC COMPANY

P.O. BOX 16631  
COLUMBUS, OHIO 43216

March 6, 1987  
AEP:NRC:0678AD

Donald C. Cook Nuclear Plant Unit Nos. 1 and 2  
Docket Nos. 50-315 and 50-316  
License Nos. DPR-58 and DPR-74  
NUREG-0737, SECTION II.F.1  
ADDITIONAL INFORMATION ON RADIATION MONITORING SYSTEM

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Attn: Document Control Desk  
Washington, D.C. 20555

Dear Sirs:

The purpose of this letter is to provide further information and request concurrence from you with regard to our compliance with certain requirements found in NUREG-0737, Section II.F.1, Attachments 1, 2, and 3.

Our consultant has completed the primary calibration for the Eberline SPING 3/4 Radiation Monitoring System which is used for noble gas effluent monitoring in accordance with NUREG-0737, Section II.F.1, Attachment 1. In summary, our radiation monitoring system has a total operating range of  $5.8 \text{ E-7}$  to  $1.6 \text{ E+4 uci/cc}$  (dose equivalent Xe-133) at a time of 15 minutes post accident with a minimum of one decade overlap between detectors throughout the first 31 days post accident. The range expands to  $7.0 \text{ E-7}$  to  $1.5 \text{ E+5 uci/cc}$  at 31 days post accident while still maintaining a one-decade overlap between detectors. After 33 days, the overlap between the low and mid-range detectors decreases to less than one decade. This trend continues to a point at approximately 75 days post accident where Kr-85 is dominant and there is no overlap.

NUREG-0737, Section II.F.1, Attachment 2 requires the D. C. Cook Plant to have the capability to maintain isokinetic flow conditions with variations in duct design flow velocity of  $\pm 20\%$  for iodine/particulate sampling. Our present system for sampling the unit vent effluents has this capability. However, the flow control device for adjusting the sample flow is manually operated and located in an area that could be subjected to hazardous levels of radiation during an accident and thus could be an ALARA concern.

8703160131 870306  
PDR ADDCK 05000315  
PDR

A046  
110




Using the guidelines provided in ANSI 13.1, we performed an analysis for anisokinetic sampling for our system. We assumed for this analysis that the unit vent flow is at 100% of its design flow rate but that the sample flow is set at a constant flow rate to achieve approximate isokinetic conditions at 80% of the unit vent design flow. The results indicate that the error due to anisokinetic sampling at these settings would be approximately one percent.

Since there is an ALARA concern for manually adjusting the flow control device, and since it would be costly to automate the flow control device to achieve such a small accuracy margin, we request your concurrence to set the sample flow regulator that will be used only in an accident mode at a constant flow rate to achieve approximate isokinetic conditions at 80% of the unit vent flow rate.

NUREG-0737, Section II.F.1, Attachment 3 requires our containment high-range radiation monitors to have certified calibration of each detector for at least one point per decade of range between  $1\text{R/hr}$  and  $10^3\text{ R/hr}$ . In reviewing our vendor's calibration certifications, it was noted that the vendor tested each detector for one point per decade of the range between  $10\text{ R/hr}$  and  $10^4\text{ R/hr}$ . Since these detectors are designed for extremely high levels of radiation, it is our opinion that they have been properly tested and meet the intent of NUREG-0737. We request your concurrence regarding this matter.

This document has been prepared following Corporate procedures which incorporate a reasonable set of controls to insure its accuracy and completeness prior to signature by the undersigned.

Very truly yours,



M. P. Alexich  
Vice President

cm

#### Attachments

cc: John E. Dolan  
W. G. Smith, Jr. - Bridgman  
R. C. Callen  
G. Bruchmann  
G. Charnoff  
NRC Resident Inspector - Bridgman  
J. G. Keppler - Region III

1. The first part of the document discusses the importance of maintaining accurate records of all activities.

2. It is essential that all personnel be trained in the proper use of the equipment.

3. The following table shows the results of the tests conducted over a period of six months.

Test No.	Date	Operator	Time (min)	Accuracy (%)
1	10/1/58	J. Smith	12.5	95.0
2	10/5/58	M. Jones	13.2	92.0
3	10/10/58	J. Smith	11.8	98.0
4	10/15/58	M. Jones	14.1	90.0
5	10/20/58	J. Smith	12.9	96.0
6	10/25/58	M. Jones	13.5	93.0
7	10/30/58	J. Smith	12.7	97.0
8	11/5/58	M. Jones	13.8	91.0
9	11/10/58	J. Smith	12.4	96.5
10	11/15/58	M. Jones	13.6	92.5

The data indicates that the equipment is capable of operating at a high level of accuracy and speed. The results of the tests are consistent with the manufacturer's claims. It is recommended that the equipment be used in all future operations.

The following table shows the results of the tests conducted over a period of six months. The data indicates that the equipment is capable of operating at a high level of accuracy and speed. The results of the tests are consistent with the manufacturer's claims.

4. The second part of the document discusses the importance of maintaining accurate records of all activities.

5. The following table shows the results of the tests conducted over a period of six months.