

ACCELERATED DOCUMENT DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM

REGULATORY INFORMATION DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM (RIDS)

ACCESSION NBR: 9304220164 DOC. DATE: 93/04/16 NOTARIZED: NO DOCKET #
 FACIL: 50-315 Donald C. Cook Nuclear Power Plant, Unit 1, Indiana M 05000315
 50-316 Donald C. Cook Nuclear Power Plant, Unit 2, Indiana M 05000316
 AUTH. NAME AUTHOR AFFILIATION
 FITZPATRICK, E. Indiana Michigan Power Co. (formerly Indiana & Michigan Ele
 RECIP. NAME RECIPIENT AFFILIATION
 MURLEY, T. Document Control Branch (Document Control Desk)

SUBJECT: Application for amends to Licenses DPR-58 & DPR-74, revising
 TS Section 6 re radioactive effluent reporting & Nuclear
 Safety & Design Review Committee membership to comply w/NRC
 final ruling in FR, Vol 57, Number 169, dtd 920831.

DISTRIBUTION CODE: A001D COPIES RECEIVED: LTR 1 ENCL 1 SIZE: 5+22
 TITLE: OR Submittal: General Distribution

NOTES:

	RECIPIENT		COPIES			RECIPIENT		COPIES	
	ID CODE/NAME		LTTR	ENCL		ID CODE/NAME		LTTR	ENCL
	PD3-1 LA		1	1		PD3-1 PD		1	1
	DEAN, W		2	2					
INTERNAL:	NRR/DE/EELB		1	1		NRR/DORS/OTSB		1	1
	NRR/DRCH/HICB		1	1		NRR/DSSA/SCSB		1	0
	NRR/DSSA/SPLB		1	1		NRR/DSSA/SRXB		1	1
	NUDOCS-ABSTRACT		1	1		OC/EFMB		1	0
	OGC/HDS2		1	0		REG FILE 01		1	1
EXTERNAL:	NRC PDR		1	1		NSIC		1	1

NOTE TO ALL "RIDS" RECIPIENTS:

PLEASE HELP US TO REDUCE WASTE! CONTACT THE DOCUMENT CONTROL DESK,
 ROOM P1-37 (EXT. 504-2065) TO ELIMINATE YOUR NAME FROM DISTRIBUTION
 LISTS FOR DOCUMENTS YOU DON'T NEED!

TOTAL NUMBER OF COPIES REQUIRED: LTTR 16 ENCL 13

AA-2
 26P



AEP:NRC:0659V

Donald C. Cook Nuclear Plant Units 1 and 2
Docket Nos. 50-315 and 50-316
License Nos. DPR-58 and DPR-74
SECTION 6 TECHNICAL SPECIFICATIONS CHANGE REQUEST
(RAD. EFF. REPORTING AND NSDRC MEMBERSHIP)

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Document Control Desk
Washington, D.C. 20555

ATTN: T. E. Murley

April 16, 1993

Dear Dr. Murley:

This letter and its attachments constitute an application for amendment of the license conditions and technical specifications (T/Ss) for the Donald C. Cook Nuclear Plant Units 1 and 2. Specifically, the changes proposed in this letter are to accommodate the NRC final rulings, published in Federal Register, Volume 57, Number 169, August 31, 1992, entitled "Reducing the Regulatory Burden on Nuclear Licensees." In addition, changes were made to the NSDRC charter to reflect a recent corporate reorganization.

We believe the proposed changes to the T/Ss meet the regulatory requirements for the Radioactive Effluent Release Report. The NRC final ruling that addresses the reduction of the regulatory burden on nuclear licensees allows the licensee to submit their radioactive effluent release report annually in lieu of semi-annually.

The reasons for the proposed changes are to comply with the NRC final ruling and to reflect a corporate reorganization; we have, therefore, not included further justification nor do we believe any significant hazard considerations are necessary. We believe that the proposed changes will not result in (1) a significant change in the types of effluents or a significant increase in the amount of any effluents that may be released offsite, or (2) a significant increase in individual or cumulative occupational radiation exposure. These changes do not have any significant environmental impact.

The proposed changes have been reviewed by the Plant Nuclear Safety Review Committee and by the Nuclear Safety and Design Review Committee.

220028

9304220164 930416
PDR ADDCK 05000315
PDR

A001
11

Dr. T. E. Murley

-2-

AEP:NRC:0659V

In compliance with the requirements of 10CFR50.91(b)(1), copies of this letter and its attachments have been transmitted to Mr. J. R. Padgett of the Michigan Public Service Commission and to the Michigan Department of Public Health.

Attachment 1 contains the extent of the proposed, revised T/Ss pages. Attachment 2 contains the current T/Ss pages marked-up to reflect the proposed changes. Attachment 3 contains the revised T/Ss pages.

Sincerely,



E. E. Fitzpatrick
Vice President

edg

Attachment

cc: A. A. Blind
J. R. Padgett
G. Charnoff
NFEM Section Chief
A. B. Davis - Region III
NRC Resident Inspector - Bridgman

ATTACHMENT 1 TO AEP:NRC:0659V

THE EXTENT OF PROPOSED

TECHNICAL SPECIFICATIONS CHANGES

Attachment 1 to AEP:NRC:0659V

Section to be Changed

A. Unit 1 (Section 6 - Administrative Controls)

1. Paragraph 6.5.2.2 - page 6-7
2. Paragraph 6.5.2.3 - page 6-7
3. Paragraph 6.5.2.9 - page 6-10
4. Paragraph 6.5.2.10 - page 6-10
5. Paragraph 6.9.1.8 - page 6-15
6. Paragraph 6.9.1.9 - page 6-15
7. Paragraph 6.9.1.9 - page 6-16
8. Paragraph 6.9.1.9 - page 6-17

B. Unit 2 (Section 6 - Administrative Controls)

1. Paragraph 6.5.2.2 - page 6-7
2. Paragraph 6.5.2.3 - page 6-7
3. Paragraph 6.5.2.9 - page 6-10
4. Paragraph 6.5.2.10 - page 6-10
5. Paragraph 6.9.1.8 - page 6-15
6. Paragraph 6.9.1.9 - page 6-15
7. Paragraph 6.9.1.9 - page 6-16
8. Paragraph 6.9.1.9 - page 6-17

Extent of Change

The changes to these pages will allow the radioactive effluent release report to be submitted to the NRC once a year in lieu of twice a year. This requested change is due to the NRC final ruling published in the Federal Register, Volume 57, Number 169, August 31, 1992, entitled "Reducing the Regulatory Burden on Nuclear Licensees."

Presently, it is required that this report be submitted within 60 days after January 1 and July 1 of each year. Since the two reports are being combined, it is being requested to have the annual report submitted 90 days after January 1 of each year. This report will now require additional time to prepare.

Additionally, changes have been made to reflect changes in our corporate organization.

Discussion

The reason for the proposed changes is to comply with the NRC final ruling published in the Federal Register, Volume 57, Number 169, August 31, 1992, entitled "Reducing the Regulatory Burden on Nuclear Licensees." Also changes were made to reflect the change in our

corporate organization caused by the retirement of the Senior Executive Vice President, Engineering & Construction and the delegation of his duties and responsibilities.

We have therefore not included further justification nor do we believe any significant hazard considerations are necessary. We believe that the proposed changes will not result in (1) a significant change in the types of effluents or a significant increase in the amount of any effluents that may be released offsite, or (2) a significant increase in individual or cumulative occupational radiation exposure.

