

## REGULATORY INFORMATION DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM (RIDS)

SESSION NBR: 8907190033 DOC. DATE: 89/07/13 NOTARIZED: NO DOCKET #  
 FILE: 50-315 Donald C. Cook Nuclear Power Plant, Unit 1, Indiana & 05000315  
 50-316 Donald C. Cook Nuclear Power Plant, Unit 2, Indiana & 05000316

AUTH. NAME AUTHOR AFFILIATION  
 ALEXICH, M.P. Indiana Michigan Power Co. (formerly Indiana & Michigan Ele  
 RECIP. NAME RECIPIENT AFFILIATION  
 Document Control Branch (Document Control Desk)

SUBJECT: Informs of changes in implementation of commitments in util  
 830124 response to Insp Repts 50-315/82-17 & 316/82-17.

DISTRIBUTION CODE: IE01D COPIES RECEIVED: LTR 1 ENCL 0 SIZE: 3  
 TITLE: General (50 Dkt) - Insp Rept/Notice of Violation Response

NOTES: Can not locate Rpt

	RECIPIENT ID CODE/NAME	COPIES LTTR ENCL	RECIPIENT ID CODE/NAME	COPIES LTTR ENCL
	PD3-1 PD	1 1	STANG, J	1 1
INTERNAL:	AEOD	1 1	AEOD/DEIIB	1 1
	AEOD/TPAD	1 1	DEDRO	1 1
	NRR SHANKMAN, S	1 1	NRR/DEST DIR	1 1
	NRR/DLPQ/PEB	1 1	NRR/DOEA DIR 11	1 1
	NRR/DREP/EPB 10	1 1	NRR/DREP/RPB 10	2 2
	NRR/PMAS/ILRB12	1 1	NUDOCS-ABSTRACT	1 1
	OE LIEBERMAN, J	1 1	OGC/HDS1	1 1
	REG FILE 02	1 1	RES MORISSEAU, D	1 1
	RGN3 FILE 01	1 1		
EXTERNAL:	LPDR	1 1	NRC PDR	1 1
	NSIC	1 1		

## NOTE TO ALL "RIDS" RECIPIENTS:

PLEASE HELP US TO REDUCE WASTE! CONTACT THE DOCUMENT CONTROL DESK,  
 ROOM P1-37 (EXT. 20079) TO ELIMINATE YOUR NAME FROM DISTRIBUTION  
 LISTS FOR DOCUMENTS YOU DON'T NEED!

TOTAL NUMBER OF COPIES REQUIRED: LTTR 23 ENCL 0 -23-

R  
I  
D  
S  
/  
A  
D  
S  
  
R  
I  
D  
S  
/  
A  
D  
D  
S



AEP:NRC:0752A

Donald C. Cook Nuclear Plant Units 1 and 2  
Docket Nos. 50-315 and 50-316  
License Nos. DPR-58 and DPR-74  
IEIR NOS. 50-315/82-17; 50-316/82-17 STATUS UPDATE

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Attn: Document Control Desk  
Washington, D.C. 20555

Attn: A. B. Davis

July 13, 1989

Dear Mr. Davis:

The purpose of this letter is to inform you of changes in our implementation of certain commitments made in our original response to the subject inspection report, which was sent to the Performance Appraisal Section, Office of Inspection and Enforcement on January 24, 1983. Several actions were implemented that were appropriate at the time but have since become unnecessary or superseded by other programs. Below are the specific commitments being addressed and a description of how they are now being implemented.

Commitment

"PMI-1040 (Plant Nuclear Safety Review Committee, PNSRC) will be modified to reflect the requirements for review of Quality Assurance (QA) and Nuclear Safety and Design Review committee (NSDRC) audit reports as well as NRC Inspection Reports (which contain citations for Technical Specification violations) and the licensee's responses thereto. The PNSRC will also review NSDRC meeting minutes, NSDRC reports...."

Change

Our improved Corrective Action Program (PMI-7030, Condition Reports and Plant Reporting) requires that a problem report be generated on all QA and NSDRC audit findings and NRC inspection report violations. All problem reports are reviewed by the "Problem Assessment Group." Significant audit findings and NRC inspection report violations are classified as "Significant Problem Reports" and require PNSRC review of the event investigation and the corrective action.

Obligations to review audits performed by QA or the NSDRC, as discussed in ANSI N18.7-1976, are fulfilled by the NSDRC Subcommittee on Audits. Therefore, any additional review of these audits by PNSRC would be unnecessarily redundant.

PNSRC review of NSDRC meeting minutes and NSDRC reports will be discontinued. Formal review of these documents by the PNSRC is unnecessarily burdensome, and the documents are being reviewed by appropriate management personnel outside of the PNSRC arena.

#### Commitment


"...the PNSRC will also review...reports on training programs for licensed and non-licensed personnel...."

#### Change

Training activities at Cook Nuclear Plant have undergone significant improvements since the Performance Appraisal Inspection. Training programs in ten key areas have been formalized, structured, and recognized by INPO through the accreditation process. The effectiveness of this training is evaluated regularly in order to ensure that accreditation status is maintained. This formal evaluation process results in a comprehensive Accreditation Self Evaluation Report that is submitted to INPO at four-year intervals in order to renew the training program accreditation status. The utility's ability to perform regular programmatic evaluations, identify deficiencies, and implement corrective action is the cornerstone for maintaining accreditation. Additionally, INPO performs an annual plant performance evaluation. The evaluation includes an assessment of how well the plant continues to meet the accreditation objectives. The formalization of training activities at Cook Nuclear Plant, along with the resultant INPO accreditation and the inherent evaluation criteria associated with that process, we believe, negates the need to produce an annual training summary for the PNSRC.

This document has been prepared following Corporate procedures that incorporate a reasonable set of controls to ensure its accuracy and completeness prior to signature by the undersigned.

Sincerely,

  
M. P. Alexich  
Vice President

ldp

Mr. A. B. Davis

-3-

AEP:NRC:0752A

cc: D. H. Williams, Jr.  
W. G. Smith, Jr. - Bridgman  
R. C. Callen  
G. Charnoff  
NFEM Section Chief  
NRC Resident Inspector - Bridgman

