

# ACCELERATED DISTRIBUTION DEMONSTRATION SYSTEM

## REGULATORY INFORMATION DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM (RIDS)

ACCESSION NBR:8806060108 DOC.DATE: 88/05/27 NOTARIZED: NO DOCKET #  
 FACIL:50-316 Donald C. Cook Nuclear Power Plant, Unit 2, Indiana & 05000316  
 AUTH.NAME AUTHOR AFFILIATION  
 POSTLEWAIT,T.K. Indiana Michigan Power Co. (formerly Indiana & Michigan Ele  
 SMITH,W.G. Indiana Michigan Power Co. (formerly Indiana & Michigan Ele  
 RECIP.NAME RECIPIENT AFFILIATION

SUBJECT: LER 88-006-00:on 880430,ECCS flow imbalance caused by normal  
 sys fluctuations.

W/8 ltr.

DISTRIBUTION CODE: IE22D COPIES RECEIVED:LTR / ENCL / SIZE: 6  
 TITLE: 50.73 Licensee Event Report (LER), Incident Rpt, etc.

### NOTES:

RECIPIENT ID CODE/NAME	COPIES LTTR ENCL	RECIPIENT ID CODE/NAME	COPIES LTTR ENCL
PD3-1 LA	1 1	PD3-1 PD	1 1
STANG,J	1 1		
INTERNAL: ACRS MICHELSON	1 1	ACRS MOELLER	2 2
AEOD/DOA	1 1	AEOD/DSP/NAS	1 1
AEOD/DSP/ROAB	2 2	AEOD/DSP/TPAB	1 1
ARM/DCTS/DAB	1 1	DEDRO	1 1
NRR/DEST/ADS 7E	1 0	NRR/DEST/CEB 8H	1 1
NRR/DEST/ESB 8D	1 1	NRR/DEST/ICSB 7	1 1
NRR/DEST/MEB 9H	1 1	NRR/DEST/MTB 9H	1 1
NRR/DEST/PSB 8D	1 1	NRR/DEST/RSB 8E	1 1
NRR/DEST/SGB 8D	1 1	NRR/DLPQ/HFB 10	1 1
NRR/DLPQ/QAB 10	1 1	NRR/DOEA/EAB 11	1 1
NRR/DREP/RAB 10	1 1	NRR/DREP/RPB 10	2 2
NRR/DRIS/SIB 9A	1 1	NUDOCS-ABSTRACT	1 1
REG FILE 02	1 1	RES TELFORD,J	1 1
RES/DE/EIB	1 1	RES/DRPS DEPY	1 1
RGN3 FILE 01	1 1		
EXTERNAL: EG&G WILLIAMS,S	4 4	FORD BLDG HOY,A	1 1
H ST LOBBY WARD	1 1	LPDR	1 1
NRC PDR	1 1	NSIC HARRIS,J	1 1
NSIC MAYS,G	1 1		

TOTAL NUMBER OF COPIES REQUIRED: LTTR 45 ENCL 44



## LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)

FACILITY NAME (1) D. C. Cook Nuclear Plant - Unit 2	DOCKET NUMBER (2) 0 5 0 0 0 3 1 6	PAGE (3) 1 OF 0 5
--	--------------------------------------	----------------------

TITLE (4)

ECCS Flow Imbalance Caused by Normal System Fluctuations

EVENT DATE (5)			LER NUMBER (6)			REPORT DATE (7)			OTHER FACILITIES INVOLVED (8)								
MONTH	DAY	YEAR	YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER	MONTH	DAY	YEAR	FACILITY NAMES		DOCKET NUMBER(S)						
0	4	3	0	8	8	8	8	0	0	6	0	5	0	0	0		
OPERATING MODE (9) 5			THIS REPORT IS SUBMITTED PURSUANT TO THE REQUIREMENTS OF 10 CFR §: (Check one or more of the following) (11)														
POWER LEVEL (10) 0 0 0			20.402(b)			20.405(c)			50.73(a)(2)(iv)			73.71(b)					
			20.405(a)(1)(i)			50.36(c)(1)			50.73(a)(2)(v)			73.71(c)					
			20.405(a)(1)(ii)			50.36(c)(2)			50.73(a)(2)(vi)			OTHER (Specify in Abstract below and in Text, NRC Form 366A)					
			20.405(a)(1)(iii)			X 50.73(a)(2)(i)			50.73(a)(2)(viii)(A)								
			20.405(a)(1)(iv)			50.73(a)(2)(ii)			50.73(a)(2)(viii)(B)								
20.405(a)(1)(v)			50.73(a)(2)(iii)			50.73(a)(2)(ix)											

LICENSEE CONTACT FOR THIS LER (12)

NAME T. K. Postlewait - Technical Engineering Superintendent	TELEPHONE NUMBER AREA CODE 6 1 6 4 6 5 - 5 9 0 1
--	--

COMPLETE ONE LINE FOR EACH COMPONENT FAILURE DESCRIBED IN THIS REPORT (13)

CAUSE	SYSTEM	COMPONENT	MANUFACTURER	REPORTABLE TO NRC	CAUSE	SYSTEM	COMPONENT	MANUFACTURER	REPORTABLE TO NRC

SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT EXPECTED (14)

YES (If yes, complete EXPECTED SUBMISSION DATE)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO	EXPECTED SUBMISSION DATE (15)	MONTH	DAY	YEAR
---	--	-------------------------------	-------	-----	------

ABSTRACT (Limit to 1400 spaces, i.e., approximately fifteen single-space typewritten lines) (16)

On April 30, 1988, at 1840 hours, with Unit 2 in Mode 5 (Cold Shutdown), as-found data obtained while performing a routine surveillance on the Boric Acid Injection System reflected an out-of-tolerance flow rate and distribution as compared to Technical Specification (T/S) 4.5.2h. No cause other than normal system fluctuation, combined with standard instrumentation/measurement error, could be identified as the reason the T/S limits could not be met. The system will be balanced prior to Unit startup after the completion of the current outage. Relaxation in flow balance tolerance is currently being analyzed by Westinghouse as part of the program to allow operation at reduced temperature and pressure.

An Engineering Analysis indicates that the Boric Acid Injection System would have functioned as designed during an accident. Adequate cooling was available to cool the core. Pump cavitation would only be a concern during large break LOCA conditions and it is believed that the high flow rate would not be sufficient to cause a cavitation problem. Furthermore, the large break LOCA result is not highly dependent on charging pump flow capacity due to the rapid depressurization to Accumulator and Residual Heat Removal Pump actuation pressures. It was concluded that no significant risk to public health and safety existed.

JE 22/11

8806060108 880527  
PDR ADOCK 05000316  
S DCD

## LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) TEXT CONTINUATION

FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET NUMBER (2)	LER NUMBER (6)			PAGE (3)		
		YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER			
D. C. Cook Nuclear Plant - Unit 2	0500031688	88	006	00	02	OF	05

TEXT (If more space is required, use additional NRC Form 368A's) (17)

Conditions Prior to Occurrence

Unit 2 in Mode 5 (Cold Shutdown)

Description of Event

On April 30, 1988, at 1840 hours, while conducting an 18 month surveillance on the flow rates for the Boron Injection (BI) System (EIIS/BQ), it was determined that the system flow rate and distribution was not in compliance with the requirements of Technical Specification (T/S) 4.5.2h. The BI system was found with a total combined flowrate for all loops in excess of the maximum 470 gpm allowable T/S value, and the highest and lowest single loop flowrates varied by more than 10 gpm as allowed by T/S 4.5.2h (see ATTACHMENT 1 for as-found data).

There were no inoperable components, systems or structures that contributed to this event.

Cause of Event

No cause other than normal system fluctuations, combined with standard instrument/measurement error, could be identified. The BI system flow is balanced by adjusting the throttle valve positions within each system until an acceptable flow distribution is obtained. The throttle valves are then locked into position. An inspection of the locking devices indicated that the valve positions have not changed since the last 18 month Surveillance Test, at which time the flow distribution was left within T/S limits (see Attachment 1 for this previous as-left data). The Technical Specification limits are very narrow and the system fluctuations, due to such factors as internal hydraulics and instrument tolerances, are felt to be responsible for the small deviations from one surveillance period to another.

Analysis of Event

This event is considered reportable under the provisions of 10CFR50.73.a.2.i.b, Operations or Condition Prohibited by T/S.

Although the flows were out of Technical Specification limits, it is believed that no significant risk to public health and safety existed. This is based on a review of various FSAR accidents impacted by the boron injection flows and the effect of high flow on the potential for centrifugal charging pump cavitation.

Small Break Loss of Coolant Accident (LOCA) - The combined flow of the three lowest loops exceeded the Technical Specification minimum requirement. Thus, sufficient water would be available to provide the flows assumed in the FSAR small break LOCA analysis.

## LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) TEXT CONTINUATION

FACILITY NAME (1)  D. C. Cook Nuclear Plant - Unit 2	DOCKET NUMBER (2)  0 5 0 0 0 3 1 6	LER NUMBER (6)			PAGE (3)		
		YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER			
		8 8	0 0 6	0 0	0 3	OF	0 5

TEXT (If more space is required, use additional NRC Form 366A's) (17)

Analysis of Event (con't)

Large Break LOCA, Maximum Safeguards Analysis - The limiting large break LOCA case for Unit 2 of the Cook Nuclear Plant is the maximum safeguards case; i.e., no Emergency Core Cooling System (ECCS) pump failures. This is because maximum safeguards assumptions result in a prediction of lower containment pressure, which in turn results in a slower core level recovery rate. Although the flows were higher than assumed in the analysis, no significant impact on public health and safety is believed to have existed. Sensitivity studies, performed by Westinghouse Electric Corp. and Advanced Nuclear Fuels, have indicated that peak clad temperature is a strong function of power level. Since Unit 2 had been operating at an administrative power limit of essentially 80%, due to steam generator degradation, peak clad temperatures, had a large break LOCA occurred, would have been expected to remain below 10 CFR 50.46 limits.

Large Break LOCA, Pump Cavitation - Pump cavitation, caused by high flow, is of concern only when the back pressure against the centrifugal charging pumps is low (a situation which is only expected to occur under large break LOCA conditions). It is believed that the high flows measured for the boron injection lines would not be sufficient to cause a pump cavitation problem. Westinghouse Electric Corp. has indicated that the large break LOCA result is not highly dependent on charging pump flow capability (due to the rapid depressurization to accumulator actuation pressure and the continued rapid depressurization to Residual Heat Removal Pump actuation pressure). Thus, even if the centrifugal charging pumps were lost, due to cavitation, the large break LOCA results would not be significantly impacted.

Steam Line Break - All boron injection line flows were in excess of the Technical Specification limits, rather than below. Thus, a sufficient quantity of highly borated water would have been delivered to the reactor coolant system to mitigate the power increase associated with a steam line break.

Based on the review detailed above, it is concluded that no significant risk to the public health and safety existed.

Corrective Actions

The BI system flow imbalance will be reconciled by adjusting the throttle valve positions, to achieve an acceptable flow distribution, prior to Unit startup (after the completion of the current refueling and Steam Generator Repair Outage), tentatively scheduled for January 1989. Relaxation in flow balance tolerance is currently being analyzed by Westinghouse as part of the program to allow operation at reduced temperature and pressure.

## LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) TEXT CONTINUATION

U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

APPROVED OMB NO. 3150-0104

EXPIRES: 8/31/88

FACILITY NAME (1)  D. C. Cook Nuclear Plant - Unit 2	DOCKET NUMBER (2)  0 5 0 0 0 3 1 6 8 8 - 0 0 6 - 0 0 0 4 OF 0 5	LER NUMBER (8)			PAGE (3)		
		YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER			

TEXT (If more space is required, use additional NRC Form 366A's) (17)

Failed Component Identification

None

Previous Similar Events

50-315/82-075-0  
50-315/83-090-0  
50-316/86-012-0  
50-315/87-016-0

## LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) TEXT CONTINUATION

FACILITY NAME (1)

DOCKET NUMBER (2)

LER NUMBER (6)

PAGE (3)

D. C. Cook Nuclear Plant -  
Unit 2

YEAR

SEQUENTIAL  
NUMBERREVISION  
NUMBER

0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 6 | 8 | 8 | - | 0 | 0 | 6 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | OF | 0 | 5

TEXT (If more space is required, use additional NRC Form 366A's) (17)

## ATTACHMENT 1

## Unit 2 BI Flow Balance Data

Data Description	Parameter	Flows (gpm)		Technical Specification 4.5.2h Criteria (gpm)
		East Train	West Train	
As-found 04/30/88	Loop 1	136.4	136.4	Nominal 117.5
	Loop 2	119.0	116.4	Nominal 117.5
	Loop 3	117.6	117.1	Nominal 117.5
	Loop 4	123.8	118.9	Nominal 117.5
	$\Delta$ flow (highest - to - lowest loop)	18.8	20.1	$\leq 10.0$
	Total combined flow (all loops)	496.8	488.8	$\leq 470$
	Minimum combined flow (lowest 3 branches)	360.4	352.4	$\geq 345.8$
Previous As-left 09/03/87	Loop 1	117.1	117.1	Nominal 117.5
	Loop 2	117.4	118.6	Nominal 117.5
	Loop 3	116.1	116.5	Nominal 117.5
	Loop 4	117.5	117.6	Nominal 117.5
	$\Delta$ flow (highest - to - lowest loop)	1.4	2.1	$\leq 10.0$
	Total combined flow (all loops)	468.1	469.8	$\leq 470$
	Minimum combined flow (lowest 3 branches)	350.6	351.2	$\geq 345.8$

Indiana Michigan  
Power Company  
Cook Nuclear Plant  
P.O. Box 458  
Bridgman, MI 49106  
616 465 5901



May 27, 1988

United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Document Control Desk  
Washington, D.C. 20555

Operating License DPR-58  
Docket No. 50-316

Document Control Manager:

In accordance with the criteria established by 10 CFR 50.73  
entitled Licensee Event Reporting System, the following  
report is being submitted:

88-006-00

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in dark ink, appearing to read 'W. G. Smith, Jr.'.

W. G. Smith, Jr.  
Plant Manager

WGS:clw

Attachment

cc: D. H. Williams, Jr.  
A. B. Davis, Region III  
M. P. Alexich  
R. F. Kroeger  
H. B. Brugger  
R. W. Jurgensen  
NRC Resident Inspector  
J. F. Stang, NRC  
R. C. Callen  
G. Charnoff, Esq.  
Dottie Sherman, ANI Library  
D. Hahn  
INPO  
PNSRC  
A. A. Blind  
P. A. Barrett/P. Lauzau

1E22  
11