

# REGULATORY INFORMATION DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM (RIDS)

ACCESSION NBR: 8402140122 DOC DATE: 84/02/10 NOTARIZED: NO DOCKET #  
 FACIL: 50-315 Donald C. Cook Nuclear Power Plant, Unit 1, Indiana & 05000315  
 50-316 Donald C. Cook Nuclear Power Plant, Unit 2, Indiana & 05000316  
 AUTH. NAME: ALEXICH, M.P. AUTHOR AFFILIATION: Indiana & Michigan Electric Co.  
 RECIP. NAME: DENTON, H.R. RECIPIENT AFFILIATION: Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation, Director

SUBJECT: Provides commitment dates re emergency operating procedures upgrade program. Table 1 of AEP:NRC:0773D modified to reflect dates. Revised table encl.

DISTRIBUTION CODE: A0458 COPIES RECEIVED: LTR 1 ENCL 1 SIZE: 2  
 TITLE: OR Submittal Emergency Prep Correspondence

## NOTES:

	RECIPIENT ID CODE/NAME	COPIES LTTR ENCL		RECIPIENT ID CODE/NAME	COPIES LTTR ENCL	
	NRR ORB1 BC	1	1	NRR ORB1 LA	1	0
	WIGGINGTON, D 01	1	1			
INTERNAL:	IE/DEPER/EPB 06	2	2	IE/DEPER/IRB 12	1	1
	NRR/DSI/AEB	1	1	NRR/DSI/RAB	1	1
	REG FILES 04	1	1	RGN3	1	1
EXTERNAL:	FEMA TECH HAZ	1	1	LPDR 03	2	2
	NRC PDR 02	1	1	NSIC	1	1
	NTIS 05	1	1			

TOTAL NUMBER OF COPIES REQUIRED: LTTR 16 ENCL 15

1. The first step is to identify the problem. This involves understanding the current situation and the goals that need to be achieved.

**CONFIDENTIAL**

10-10-68

1. The following information is being furnished to you for your information only. It is not to be used for any other purpose.

SECRET

311

DATE	TIME	LOCATION	ACTIVITY	PERSONNEL	REMARKS
10/10/54	0800	LAKE MEAD	BOAT RIDE	1	BOAT RIDE TO LAKE MEAD
10/10/54	0900	LAKE MEAD	BOAT RIDE	1	BOAT RIDE TO LAKE MEAD
10/10/54	1000	LAKE MEAD	BOAT RIDE	1	BOAT RIDE TO LAKE MEAD
10/10/54	1100	LAKE MEAD	BOAT RIDE	1	BOAT RIDE TO LAKE MEAD
10/10/54	1200	LAKE MEAD	BOAT RIDE	1	BOAT RIDE TO LAKE MEAD
10/10/54	1300	LAKE MEAD	BOAT RIDE	1	BOAT RIDE TO LAKE MEAD
10/10/54	1400	LAKE MEAD	BOAT RIDE	1	BOAT RIDE TO LAKE MEAD
10/10/54	1500	LAKE MEAD	BOAT RIDE	1	BOAT RIDE TO LAKE MEAD
10/10/54	1600	LAKE MEAD	BOAT RIDE	1	BOAT RIDE TO LAKE MEAD
10/10/54	1700	LAKE MEAD	BOAT RIDE	1	BOAT RIDE TO LAKE MEAD
10/10/54	1800	LAKE MEAD	BOAT RIDE	1	BOAT RIDE TO LAKE MEAD
10/10/54	1900	LAKE MEAD	BOAT RIDE	1	BOAT RIDE TO LAKE MEAD
10/10/54	2000	LAKE MEAD	BOAT RIDE	1	BOAT RIDE TO LAKE MEAD
10/10/54	2100	LAKE MEAD	BOAT RIDE	1	BOAT RIDE TO LAKE MEAD
10/10/54	2200	LAKE MEAD	BOAT RIDE	1	BOAT RIDE TO LAKE MEAD
10/10/54	2300	LAKE MEAD	BOAT RIDE	1	BOAT RIDE TO LAKE MEAD
10/10/54	2400	LAKE MEAD	BOAT RIDE	1	BOAT RIDE TO LAKE MEAD

# INDIANA & MICHIGAN ELECTRIC COMPANY

P.O. BOX 16631  
COLUMBUS, OHIO 43216

February 10, 1984

AEP:NRC:0773E

Donald C. Cook Nuclear Plant Unit Nos. 1 and 2.  
Docket Nos. 50-315 and 50-316  
License Nos. DPR-58 and DPR-74  
Status Report on Emergency Operating Procedures Upgrade

Mr. Harold R. Denton, Director  
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation  
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Washington, DC 20555

Dear Mr. Denton:

Pursuant to our conversation with members of your staff regarding our submittal of AEP:NRC:0773D, American Electric Power Service Corporation agrees to the following commitment dates regarding our Emergency Operating Procedures Upgrade Program.

1. We commit to have a procedure generation package completed by the end of September, 1984, and
2. We commit to having our EOP's completed and implemented by the end of 1985.

We have modified Table 1 to AEP:NRC:0773D to reflect these two commitment dates. The revised Table is attached to this letter.

This document has been prepared following Corporate Procedures which incorporate a reasonable set of controls to ensure its accuracy and completeness prior to signature by the undersigned.

Very truly yours,

  
M. P. Alexich *ML*  
Vice President *2/10/84*

MPA/th

Attachment

cc: John E. Dolan  
W. G. Smith, Jr. - Bridgman  
R. C. Callen  
G. Charnoff  
E. R. Swanson, NRC Resident Inspector - Bridgman

*A045*  
*11*

8402140122 840210  
PDR ADOCK 05000315  
F PDR

TABLE 1

COMMITMENT AND TARGET DATES FOR EMERGENCY RESPONSE ACTIVITIESI. Safety Parameter Display System, Emergency Operating Facility, and Technical Support Center

- |   |                        |
|---|------------------------|
| A. Status Report                              | Commitment: April 1985 |
| B. SPDS, EOF and TSC Functional (Operational) | Target: September 1985 |

II. Detailed Control Room Design Review

- |                         |                            |
|-------------------------|----------------------------|
| A. Program Plan Report  | Commitment: December 1983  |
| B. Status Report        | Commitment: September 1984 |
| C. Final Summary Report | Target: December 1985      |

III. Regulatory Guide 1.97, Post-Accident Instrumentation

- |  |                           |
|--|---------------------------|
| A. Status Report on how we intend to meet Regulatory Guide 1.97 requirements | Commitment: February 1985 |
| B. Complete implementation phase of Regulatory Guide 1.97 work (MIDAS)       | Target: November 1985     |

IV. Emergency Operating Procedures (upgrade)

- |                                   |   |
|-----------------------------------|---|
| A. Status Report                  | Commitment: January 1984  |
| B. Procedures Generation Package  | Target: July 1984   |
| C. Procedures Generation Package  | Commitment: September 1984  |
| D. Validation & Verification      | Target: September 1984  |
| E. EOP's Complete and Implemented | Target: Training started<br>October 1984<br>Training finished<br>October 1985 |
| F. EOP's Complete and Implemented | Commitment: December 1985   |

10-11-68

1. The first part of the report deals with the general situation in the country. It is noted that the economy is in a state of stagnation and that the government is unable to meet its financial obligations. The report also mentions that the population is suffering from a lack of basic necessities and that there is a widespread feeling of hopelessness.

2. The second part of the report discusses the political situation. It is noted that the government is corrupt and that there is a lack of transparency in its operations. The report also mentions that there is a widespread feeling of distrust towards the government and that there is a lack of political participation by the citizens.

3. The third part of the report discusses the social situation. It is noted that there is a high level of unemployment and that there is a lack of social services. The report also mentions that there is a widespread feeling of poverty and that there is a lack of social mobility.

4. The fourth part of the report discusses the cultural situation. It is noted that there is a lack of cultural activities and that there is a lack of cultural heritage. The report also mentions that there is a widespread feeling of cultural stagnation and that there is a lack of cultural participation by the citizens.

5. The fifth part of the report discusses the environmental situation. It is noted that there is a lack of environmental protection and that there is a lack of environmental awareness. The report also mentions that there is a widespread feeling of environmental degradation and that there is a lack of environmental participation by the citizens.



UNITED STATES  
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION  
REGION III  
799 ROOSEVELT ROAD  
GLEN ELLYN, ILLINOIS 60137

NRR DOCKET  
FILE

Docket No. 50-315  
Docket No. 50-316

FEB 10 1984

Mr. John Dolan, Vice President  
Indiana and Michigan Electric Company  
C/o American Electric Power Service Corp.  
1 Riverside Plaza  
Columbus, OH 43216

Dear Mr. Dolan:

The NRC is in the process of conducting safeguards regulatory effectiveness reviews at certain nuclear power reactors. I wish to inform you that the D. C. Cook facility has been chosen for such a review tentatively scheduled for the week of August 13-17, 1984. An NRC Region III representative will contact Mr. Milioti of your staff to ascertain anticipated plant availability and to provide more detailed information concerning team composition.

The prime purpose of the review program is to evaluate the overall effectiveness of the D. C. Cook safeguards program and to determine whether existing safeguards regulations yield the level of protection intended by NRC. The team performing the review supports NRC's quality assurance program for safeguards, both as it applies to the D. C. Cook security system and to NRC's regulations. The review will complement but will be independent of the licensing and inspection functions.

The review at D. C. Cook will be conducted by a team with representatives from the Division of Safeguards, Region III, and U. S. Army Special Forces personnel from Fort Bragg, NC. The review team will be comprised of two groups. One group will look at the facility's safeguards from the perspective of an insider, while the other will look at it through the eyes of an external adversary group.

The overall plan of action will be initiated by an entrance briefing. You may wish to have a representative of your corporate staff present during this briefing as it will provide, in a capsulized form, an overview of the team's objectives and anticipated activities for the entire period of the visit. Any questions that may arise concerning the team's review technique or other matters will be addressed at that time. For the remainder of the first day, the team would like to have a plant orientation tour, with emphasis on safeguards areas and systems and begin our examination of plant vital areas. The second through fourth days will be dedicated to completing the review of vital areas and components, and reviewing the security force, access control system, and physical security systems. The last (fifth day) is reserved for any activities the team has been unable to accomplish earlier in the week and for a briefing of plant and corporate personnel on the preliminary results of the review.

Mr. John Dolan

2

FEB 10 1984

Please provide appropriate plant personnel support for the team during the scheduled review. Those chosen for this purpose should include a reactor operator, an instrument technician, an electrician, and a security officer. The team will make every effort to schedule its activities so as to minimize disruption of normal plant operations. The time that various team members will spend on site will vary, but generally will range from four to seven hours per day.

If you have any questions regarding the conduct of these regulatory effectiveness reviews, which you wish to have answered prior to the team's visit, please contact Liz Ten Eyck, SGPR, at (301) 427-4191 or James R. Creed, (312) 790-5643, of the Region staff.

Sincerely,



J. A. Hind, Director

for - Division of Radiological and Materials  
Safety Programs

cc: R. F. Burnett, NMSS  
NRR Docket File

