

REGULATORY INFORMATION DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM (RIDS)

ACCESSION NBR:8004230369 DOC.DATE: 80/04/21 NOTARIZED: NO DOCKET #
 FACIL:50-315 Donald C. Cook Nuclear Power Plant, Unit 1, Indiana & 05000315
 50-316 Donald C. Cook Nuclear Power Plant, Unit 2, Indiana & 05000316
 AUTH.NAME AUTHOR AFFILIATION
 DOLAN,J.E. Indiana & Michigan Power Co.
 RECIP.NAME RECIPIENT AFFILIATION
 DENTON,H.R. Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

SUBJECT: Forwards revised safeguard contingency plan.Plan withheld.
 (ref 10CFR2.790).

DISTRIBUTION CODE: S001S COPIES RECEIVED:LTR 1 ENCL 1 SIZE: 1
 TITLE: Security Plans

NOTES: I&E - 3 CYS ALL MATL.

	RECIPIENT ID CODE/NAME	COPIES LTTR ENCL	RECIPIENT ID CODE/NAME	COPIES LTTR ENCL
ACTION:	BC ORB #1	1 0	LA ORB #1	1 0
INTERNAL:	01 REG FILE #1	1 1	02 I&E #2-3	2 2
	AD/S&S-DOR #4	1 1	MCCORKLE,G. #5	1 1
	NRC PDR	1 0		
EXTERNAL:	05 ACRS #6	1 1	LPDR	1 0

H. Smith - LTR

APR 25 1980

GP

APP
4

TOTAL NUMBER OF COPIES REQUIRED: LTTR 10 ENCL 6

INDIANA & MICHIGAN ELECTRIC COMPANY

P. O. BOX 18
BOWLING GREEN STATION
NEW YORK, N. Y. 10004

April 21, 1980
AEP:NRC:00360

Donald C. Cook Nuclear Plant Units 1 and 2
Docket Nos. 50-315 and 50-316
License Nos. DPR-58 and DPR-74
Re: Safeguard Contingency Plan

Mr. Harold R. Denton, Director
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D.C. 20555

Dear Mr. Denton:

This letter responds to Mr. A. Schwencer's letter of January 8, 1980 containing your Staff's comments on the subject plan for the Donald C. Cook Nuclear Plant, and transmits five (5) revised copies of the Appendix C to our Modified Amended Security Plan. A request for an extension of 45 days to answer Mr. Schwencer's letter was made via our letter No. AEP:NRC:00360A dated February 29, 1980. In that letter we also expressed our concern that several of the NRC Staff's comments and requests would not make our contingency plan more effective. We still hold this view.

The attachment to this letter outlines where changes were made in the Contingency Plan to accommodate certain of your Staff's comments. The attachment also details the disposition of the remaining comments made by your Staff. In accordance with 10 CFR 2.790 both the attachment to this letter and the enclosures are considered proprietary and therefore it is requested that they be withheld from public disclosure.

Very truly yours,

John E. Dolan
John E. Dolan
Vice President

JED:em

cc: R. C. Callen
G. Charnoff
R. S. Hunter
R. W. Jurgensen
D. V. Shaller -Bridgman

5001
S
1/1

8004230369

DOCKET NO. 50-315/316
DATE: 4-24-80

NOTE TO NRC AND/OR LOCAL PUBLIC DOCUMENT ROOMS

The following item submitted with letter dated 4-21-80
from INDIANA + Mich. is being withheld from public
disclosure in accordance with Section 2.790.

PROPRIETARY INFORMATION

Rev. #1 TO Security PLAN

Dore Goulard
016

Distribution Service's Branch

MARCH 26 1980

William O. Miller, Chief
License Fee Management Branch, ADM

Date: _____
Amended Form Date: _____

FACILITY AMENDMENT CLASSIFICATION - DOCKET NO(S) 50-316

Licensee: INDIANA & MICHIGAN ELECTRIC CO

Plant Name and Unit(s): D.C. COOK UNIT 2

License No(s): DPR 74 Mail Control No: _____

Request Dated: JAN 9, 1980 Fee Remitted: Yes _____ No X

Assigned TAC No: 11336

Licensee's Fee Classification: Class I _____, II _____, III _____, IV _____, V _____, VI _____, None X

Subject: ECCS ANALYSIS

Amendment No. 17 Date of Issuance JAN 11, 1980

☒ 1. This request has been reviewed by DOR/DPM in accordance with Section 170.22 of Part 170 and is properly categorized.

☐ 2. This request is incorrectly classified and should be properly categorized as Class _____. Justification for classification or reclassification: _____

☐ 3. Additional information is required to properly categorize the request: _____

RECEIVED BY FTO
Date 3/26/80
Leg. AM
By RS
Orig. To _____
Action Compl. _____

☒ 4. This request is a Class III type of action and is exempt from fees because:

- (a) _____ was filed by a nonprofit educational institution,
- (b) _____ was filed by a Government agency and is not for a power reactor,
- (c) _____ is for a Class _____ (can only be a I, II, or III) amendment which results from a written Commission request dated _____ for the application and the amendment is to simplify or clarify license or technical specifications, has only minor safety significance, and is being issued for the convenience of the Commission, or

(d) X other (state reason therefor): RESULT OF COMMISSION ORDER DATED 6/6/78

cc by LFMB 4/2/80:
☒ Docket File
☐ Reactor File
☐ Exemption File
ASchwenger, ORB-1
DWigington, ORB-1

W. O. Miller 2/27/80
Division of Operating Reactors/Project Management

☒ THE INITIAL FEE DETERMINATION HAS BEEN REASSESSED AND IS HEREBY AFFIRMED 4/27/80
☐ The above request has been reviewed and is exempt from fees.

W. O. Miller
William O. Miller, Chief
License Fee Management Branch
Date 4/2/80