

REGULATORY INFORMATION DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM (RIDS)

ACCESSION NBR:8003070366 DOC.DATE: 80/02/29 NOTARIZED: NO DOCKET #
 FACIL:50-315 Donald C. Cook Nuclear Power Plant, Unit 1, Indiana & 05000315
 50-316 Donald C. Cook Nuclear Power Plant, Unit 2, Indiana & 05000316
 AUTH.NAME AUTHOR AFFILIATION
 DOLAN,J.E. Indiana & Michigan Electric Co.
 RECIP.NAME RECIPIENT AFFILIATION
 DENTON,H.R. Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

SUBJECT: Responds to NRC 800108 request for addl info on safeguards contingency plan. Requests 45-day extension from 800305 submittal date required to resolve issues. NRC comments on plan not specific.

DISTRIBUTION CODE: S003S COPIES RECEIVED: LTR 1 ENCL 0 SIZE: 2
 TITLE: Non-Proprietary Info Re Security Plans

NOTES: I & E 3 CYS OF ALL MATERIAL

	RECIPIENT ID CODE/NAME	COPIES LTR ENCL	RECIPIENT ID CODE/NAME	COPIES LTR ENCL
ACTION:	BC <u>ORB#1</u>	1	LA <u>ORB#1</u>	1
INTERNAL:	01 REG FILE	1	02 NRC PDR	1
	04 AD/SES-DOR	1	05 I & E	2
	MCCORKLE,G.	1		
EXTERNAL:	03 LPDR	1	07 ACRS	1

MAR 11 1980

TOTAL NUMBER OF COPIES REQUIRED: LTR

13

10 ENCL

0

8

60
MA

1949 1949 1949 1949 1949 1949 1949 1949 1949 1949

1949 1949 1949 1949 1949 1949 1949 1949 1949 1949

1949 1949 1949 1949 1949 1949 1949 1949 1949 1949

INDIANA & MICHIGAN ELECTRIC COMPANY

P. O. BOX 18
BOWLING GREEN STATION
NEW YORK, N. Y. 10004

February 29, 1980
AEP:NRC:00360A

Donald C. Cook Nuclear Plant Units 1 and 2
Docket Nos. 50-315 and 50-316
License Nos. DPR-58 and DPR-74
Re: Safeguards Contingency Plan

Mr. Harold R. Denton, Director
Office of Nuclear Reactor Commission
Washington, D.C. 20555

Dear Mr. Denton:

This letter responds to Mr. A. Schwencer's letter of January 8, 1980, which we received on February 4, 1980. Mr. Schwencer's letter contained your Staff's comments and requests for additional information on the Donald C. Cook Nuclear Plant Safeguards Contingency Plan.

As you know, our plan was submitted to the NRC by the due date of March 23, 1979, almost one year ago. At that time we believed that the Plan would be found acceptable because comments, recommendations, and guidance were received from the NRC Staff and incorporated into the final submittal. We now find, however, that the attachment to Mr. Schwencer's letter contains substantial comments and that it requests many changes be made to the plan.

It should be noted that as requested by your Staff, the plan was written using guidance contained in Regulatory Guide 5.54 and developed to meet the requirements specified under 10 CFR Part 50.34 (d) and 50.54 (p), 10 CFR Parts 73.40 (a) (b) (c) (d), and 10 CFR Parts 73.50 (g) (1) and (2) as stated in the introduction to the plan. Yet, it appears that the NRC Staff now believes that the plan does not adhere to the requirements of Sections 73.40 (c) and (d) and requests that specific commitments thereto be added to the plan. We fail to understand the reasons behind the Staff's conclusion since the comments received are not sufficiently specific.

5003
5/10

8003070

366

F

[illegible]

Also. under "General Comments," Mr. Schwencer's letter states the following:


"It should be borne in mind that the plan as finally approved serves as a means of developing guidance for actions to be taken by members of your organization during periods of emergency and possible confusion. The plan and resulting procedures should therefore be expressed in clear-cut, direct, and logical terms."

We strongly agree with this comment and our plan, as submitted, does provide clear-cut guidance which would enable an efficient response to a security contingency. Our plan was written by the people who would use it, namely our Plant security and operations personnel.

In light of the above, we must request an extension of 45 days from the March 5, 1980 submittal date required by the NRC letter in order to resolve the issues, and make physical revisions if necessary.

Very truly yours,

JED:em


John E. Dolan
Vice President

cc: R. C. Callen
G. Charnoff
R. S. Hunter
R. W. Jurgensen
D. V. Shaller -Bridgman

