

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT

CONT'D. BLOCK

PLEASE PRINT OR TYPE ALL REQUIRED INFORMATION

01	M: 1 D C C 2	7	0 0 1 - 1 0 0 0 0 0 1 - 0 0	3	4 1 1 1 1 1	4	1	5
	LICENSEE CODE	16	LICENSE NUMBER	25	LICENSE TYPE	30	ST CAT	51

צדק

REPORT SOURCE 11 8 0 5 0 0 0 3 1 6 7 0 7 1 1 9 8 1 8 9
DOCKET NUMBER EVENT DATE REPORT DATE

EVENT DESCRIPTION AND PROBABLE CONSEQUENCES (10)

0 2 DURING NORMAL OPERATION, A PINHOLE LEAK WAS DISCOVERED ON THE SUCTION STRAINER FOR,

THE WEST CONTAINMENT SPRAY (CTS) PUMP. THE PUMP WAS REMOVED FROM SERVICE.

64 | CONSTITUTING AN INOPERABLE CTS TRAIN PER T.S. 3.6.2.1 THE ACTION REQUIREMENTS |

05 | WERE MET. PUBLIC HEALTH AND SAFETY WERE NOT AFFECTED

06 _____

07 _____

08 _____

0 9 2 8

SYSTEM CODE 9 10 S H 11

CAUSE CODE 11 E 12

CAUSE SUBCODE 12 C 13

COMP. SUBCODE 13 14 P I P E X X 15

VALVE SUBCODE 15 16 D Z 17

(17) LER/RO REPORT NUMBER	EVENT YEAR 21 8 22	SEQUENTIAL REPORT NO. 23 0 24 1 25 6 26	OCCURRENCE CODE 27 0 28 3 29	REPORT TYPE 30 X 31	REVISION NO. 32 2
ACTION TAKEN 33 B 34	FUTURE ACTION 35 X 36	EFFECT ON PLANT 37 7 38	SHUTDOWN METHOD 39 7 40	HOURS 41 0 42 0 43 0 44 0 45	ATTACHMENT SUBMITTED 46 Y 47
				APRD-4 FORM BUS. 48 N 49	PRIME COMP. SUPPLIER 50 A 51
					COMPONENT MANUFACTURER 52 X 53 9 54 9 55 9 56

CAUSE DESCRIPTION AND CORRECTIVE ACTIONS (27)

10 A CRACK WAS FOUND IN THE AREA OF THE PINHOLE AND IT WAS REMOVED BY GRINDING AND

REWELDING. THE PIPING WAS THEN SUCCESSFULLY HYDROSTATICALLY TESTED. NO ANALYSIS

112 | OF THE CAUSE WAS MADE AT THIS TIME BUT IT IS ASSUMED THAT IT RESULTED FROM .

FATIGUE SIMILAR TO THE RECENT LER ON THE SAME PIPING (LER 82-003/01T-0).

14 (SEE SUPPLEMENT)

FACILITY STATUS		% POWER		OTHER STATUS		METHOD OF DISCOVERY		DISCOVERY DESCRIPTION					
1	5	E	28	1	0	0	29	NA	30	A	31	OPERATOR OBSERVATION	32
1	6	9		10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19

ACTIVITY CONTENT
RELEASED OF RELEASE AMOUNT OF ACTIVITY (35) LOCATION OF RELEASE (36)

1 6 7 (33) 12 (34) NA NA

PERSONNEL EXPOSURES									
NUMBER			TYPE	DESCRIPTION					
1	7	0	0	0	37	Z	38	NA	

PERSONNEL INJURIES		DESCRIPTION	
NUMBER			
0	0	0	(40)

NA

		9	11	12		80
		LOSS OF OR DAMAGE TO FACILITY			(43)	
		TYPE DESCRIPTION				
1	2	7	(42)	NA		

7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59 60

PUBLICITY DESCRIPTION (45) NRC USE ONLY

7 4 3 10 NE 68 69 70

NAME OF PREPARER K. R. BAKER

PHONE: (616) 465-5901

~~8508260038~~

SUPPLEMENT TO LER 82-016/03A-1

27)

A CRACK WAS FOUND IN THE AREA OF THE PINHOLE AND IT WAS REMOVED BY GRINDING AND REWELDING. THE PIPING WAS THEN SUCCESSFULLY HYDROSTATICALLY TESTED. NO ANALYSIS OF THE CAUSE WAS MADE AT THIS TIME BUT IT IS ASSUMED THAT IT RESULTED FROM FATIGUE SIMILAR TO THE RECENT LER ON THE SAME PIPING (LER 82-003/01T-0). THE EVENT WAS NOT IDENTIFIED AS REPORTABLE AT THE TIME AS A CONDITION REPORT WAS NOT INITIATED TO ANALYZE THE EVENT FOR REPORTABILITY. THE OPERATOR WAS NOT AWARE OF THE SEVERITY OF THE LEAK WHICH HE HAD NOTED AS "PINHOLE."

THE PLANT MANAGERS INSTRUCTION ON REPORTING REQUIREMENTS HAS BEEN CHANGED TO REFLECT THE REQUIREMENTS OF NUREG-1022. THE INSTRUCTION WAS CHANGED ON 01/04/84 AND OPERATOR TRAINING WAS COMPLETED. DURING THE JOB ORDER POST-WORK REVIEW PROCESS, THE PROBLEM AND CORRECTIVE ACTIONS TAKEN ARE REVIEWED FOR REPORTABILITY. THE COMMITMENTS PREVIOUSLY STATED IN THIS LER HAVE BEEN DELETED BECAUSE OF THESE REASONS.