

REGULATOR INFORMATION DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM (RIDS)

ACCESSION NBR: 8504040304 DOC. DATE: 85/03/29 NOTARIZED: NO DOCKET #
 FACIL: 50-315 Donald C. Cook Nuclear Power Plant, Unit 1, Indiana & 05000315
 50-316 Donald C. Cook Nuclear Power Plant, Unit 2, Indiana & 05000316
 AUTH. NAME AUTHOR AFFILIATION
 ALEXICH, M.P. Indiana & Michigan Electric Co.
 RECIP. NAME RECIPIENT AFFILIATION
 DENTON, H.R. Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation, Director

SUBJECT: Forwards hydrogen control program schedule, info re MARCH 2
 code input deck & "Hydrogen Combustion & Control Analysis
 Assumptions," per 850206 meeting. Complete loss of offsite
 power for significant periods unlikely.

DISTRIBUTION CODE: A001D COPIES RECEIVED: LTR 1 ENCL 1 SIZE: 5+24
 TITLE: OR Submittal: General Distribution

NOTES:

OL: 10/25/74

05000315

OL: 12/23/72

05000316

RECIPIENT
 ID CODE/NAME
 NRR ORB1 BC 01

COPIES
 LTTR ENCL
 7 7

RECIPIENT
 ID CODE/NAME

COPIES
 LTTR ENCL

INTERNAL: ACRS 09
 ELD/HDS3
 NRR/DL DIR
 NRR/DL/TSRG
 NRR/DSI/RAB
 RGN3

6 6
 1 0
 1 1
 1 1
 1 1
 1 1

ADM/LFMB
 NRR/DE/MTEB
 NRR/DL/ORAB
 NRR/DSI/METB
 REG FILE 04

1 0
 1 1
 1 0
 1 1
 1 1

EXTERNAL: EG&G BRUSKE, S
 NRC PDR 02

1 1
 1 1

LPDR 03
 NSIC 05

2 2
 1 1

INDIANA & MICHIGAN ELECTRIC COMPANY

P.O. BOX 16631
COLUMBUS, OHIO 43216

March 29, 1985

AEP:NRC:05000

Donald C. Cook Nuclear Plant Unit Nos. 1 and 2
Docket Nos. 50-315 and 50-316
License Nos. DPR-58 and DPR-74
HYDROGEN CONTROL PROGRAM (10 CFR 50.44(c))

Mr. Harold R. Denton, Director
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D.C. 20555

Dear Mr. Denton:

On February 6, 1985, personnel from the American Electric Power Service Corporation (AEPSC) and Battelle Columbus Laboratories (BCL) met with NRC staff, to discuss the D.C. Cook Nuclear Plant program for final resolution of the hydrogen control issue (10 CFR 50.44(c)). As a result of the discussions, we committed to provide the following information for NRC staff review and approval.

- MARCH 2 computer code input deck(s) applicable to the D.C. Cook containment and systems;
- A hydrogen combustion model inferred from available Nevada Test Site (NTS) data; and
- Justification for scenario selection

Attachments 2 and 3 to this letter contain the requested information. As a supplement to Attachment 2 we have included detailed information on the AEP grid system and the D.C. Cook substation. This information shows that the offsite power system supplying the Donald C. Cook Nuclear Plant to be very extensive, making the occurrence of a complete loss of offsite power for significant periods of time highly unlikely. For this reason we consider such events to be out of the scope of the hydrogen control rule promulgated in 10 CFR 50.44(c).

This completes phase I of our program. As we discussed with your staff, we will begin phase II after you have completed your review of the initial conditions and assumptions submitted in this document. A schedule for time required to complete phase II is included as attachment 1. We understand that your review and approval of the schedule in Attachment 1 is what is required for compliance with 10 CFR 50.44(c). Also, page 8 of Attachment 2 provides four key modeling assumptions. Assumption 1 is based on documents such as NSAC 32, "Workshop on Hydrogen Burning and Containment Building Integrity," and experimental work done by the ice condenser utilities. Assumptions 2, and 4 are based on review of preliminary Nevada Test Site data. Assumption 3 is currently undergoing comparison to experimental data, and it is anticipated

8504040304 850329
PDR ADCK 05000315
P PDR

A001
11

1944: 1

1. The first part of the report deals with the general situation of the country and the progress of the work of the Commission.

2. The second part of the report deals with the work of the Commission in the field of the economy and the progress of the work of the Commission.

3. The third part of the report deals with the work of the Commission in the field of the culture and the progress of the work of the Commission.

4. The fourth part of the report deals with the work of the Commission in the field of the education and the progress of the work of the Commission.

5. The fifth part of the report deals with the work of the Commission in the field of the health and the progress of the work of the Commission.

6. The sixth part of the report deals with the work of the Commission in the field of the social and the progress of the work of the Commission.

7. The seventh part of the report deals with the work of the Commission in the field of the foreign and the progress of the work of the Commission.

8. The eighth part of the report deals with the work of the Commission in the field of the internal and the progress of the work of the Commission.

9. The ninth part of the report deals with the work of the Commission in the field of the international and the progress of the work of the Commission.

once this comparison is completed, the value of 17.5 ft/sec given for flame speed may be readjusted.

It should be noted that in describing the MARCH model, we are only describing the code capabilities, not their final utilization. As we discussed with your staff, we intend to use MARCH only for hydrogen source term and scoping tool. The CLASIX code will be used for containment capability purposes.

This document has been prepared following Corporate procedures which incorporate a reasonable set of controls to ensure its accuracy and completeness prior to signature by the undersigned.

Very truly yours,



M. P. Alexich
Vice President

RBK
3/29/85

cc: John E. Dolan
W. G. Smith, Jr. - Bridgman
R. C. Callen
G. Bruchmann
G. Charnoff
NRC Resident Inspector - Bridgman

... ..

... ..

... ..

... ..

... ..

... ..

ATTACHMENT 1 TO AEP:NRC:05000

HYDROGEN CONTROL PROGRAM SCHEDULE

D. C. COOK NUCLEAR PLANT

SCHEDULE OF ACTIVITIESD. C. COOK NUCLEAR PLANT HYDROGEN CONTROL PROGRAM

<u>ACTIVITY</u>	<u>WEEKS AFTER START OF PROGRAM</u>
Review Proposed Program Approach With NRC Staff	0
Review MARCH 2 Analysis Input With AEPSC Staff	4
Perform Initial Set of MARCH 2 Analyses For In-Vessel Hydrogen Generation	7
Define Effect Of Reactor Coolant System Vent Routing	9
Define Effect Of Air Return/Hydrogen Skimmer System Fan Operability	11
AEPSC And BCL Complete And Verify Input Decks for CLASIX Analyses (Three Accident Scenarios)	9, 11, 13
Assess Effects Of Spray Impingement And Related Factors On Glow Plug Igniter Effectiveness	15
Interim Program Review With NRC Staff	16
Determine If Possibility Of Local Detonations Exists; If So, Develop Detonation Loads For Dynamic Containment Analysis	23
Draft Report Issued By BCL	26
AEPSC Review Of Draft Report	36
Final Report Issued By BCL	40
NRC Notified Of Final Resolutions Of BCL Work And Containment Dynamic Analysis	44

ATTACHMENT 2 TO AEP:NRC:05000

ANALYSIS ASSUMPTIONS

D. C. COOK NUCLEAR PLANT