

REGULATOR INFORMATION DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM (RIDS)

ACCESSION NBR: 8405160248 DOC. DATE: 84/05/11 NOTARIZED: NO DOCKET #
 FACIL: 50-315 Donald C. Cook Nuclear Power Plant, Unit 1, Indiana & 05000315
 50-316 Donald C. Cook Nuclear Power Plant, Unit 2, Indiana & 05000316
 AUTH. NAME AUTHOR AFFILIATION
 HERING, R.F. Indiana & Michigan Electric Co.
 RECIP. NAME RECIPIENT AFFILIATION
 DENTON, H.R. Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation, Director

SUBJECT: Requests that inservice insp/in service testing second 10-yr
 internal for both units will begin on 860701, 1 yr earlier
 than stated in 840315 ltr, contingent upon acceptance of
 listed code relief requests.

DISTRIBUTION CODE: A047S COPIES RECEIVED: LTR 1 ENCL 0 SIZE: 2
 TITLE: OR Submittal: Inservice Inspection/Testing

NOTES:

	RECIPIENT ID CODE/NAME		COPIES LTTR ENCL		RECIPIENT ID CODE/NAME		COPIES LTTR ENCL
	NRR ORB1 BC 01		7 7				
INTERNAL:	ELD/HDS3		1		NRR/DE/MEB 15		1 1
	NRR/DE/MTEB 14		1		NRR/DL/TAPMG		1
	<u>REG FILE</u> 04		1		RGN3		1
EXTERNAL:	ACRS 16	10	10		LPDR 03	2	2
	NRC PDR 02	1	1		NSIC 05	1	1
	NTIS	1	1				

TOTAL NUMBER OF COPIES REQUIRED: LTTR 28 ENCL 2

REPORT OF THE COMMISSIONER OF THE GENERAL LAND OFFICE
IN RESPONSE TO A RESOLUTION OF THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
PASSED MAY 1, 1890, RELATIVE TO THE LANDS BELONGING TO THE
UNITED STATES IN THE STATE OF TEXAS

THE LANDS BELONGING TO THE UNITED STATES IN THE STATE OF TEXAS
ARE CLASSIFIED AS FOLLOWS:

1. LANDS BELONGING TO THE UNITED STATES IN THE STATE OF TEXAS
ARE CLASSIFIED AS FOLLOWS:

TABLE

CLASS OF LAND	ACRES	VALUE	REMARKS
Public Domain	1,000,000	\$1,000,000	
Land in the hands of the Government	1,000,000	\$1,000,000	
Land in the hands of the State	1,000,000	\$1,000,000	
Land in the hands of the County	1,000,000	\$1,000,000	
Land in the hands of the Individual	1,000,000	\$1,000,000	
Land in the hands of the Corporation	1,000,000	\$1,000,000	
Land in the hands of the Church	1,000,000	\$1,000,000	
Land in the hands of the School	1,000,000	\$1,000,000	
Land in the hands of the University	1,000,000	\$1,000,000	
Land in the hands of the Hospital	1,000,000	\$1,000,000	
Land in the hands of the Prison	1,000,000	\$1,000,000	
Land in the hands of the Government	1,000,000	\$1,000,000	
Land in the hands of the State	1,000,000	\$1,000,000	
Land in the hands of the County	1,000,000	\$1,000,000	
Land in the hands of the Individual	1,000,000	\$1,000,000	
Land in the hands of the Corporation	1,000,000	\$1,000,000	
Land in the hands of the Church	1,000,000	\$1,000,000	
Land in the hands of the School	1,000,000	\$1,000,000	
Land in the hands of the University	1,000,000	\$1,000,000	
Land in the hands of the Hospital	1,000,000	\$1,000,000	
Land in the hands of the Prison	1,000,000	\$1,000,000	

INDIANA & MICHIGAN ELECTRIC COMPANY

P.O. BOX 16631
COLUMBUS, OHIO 43216

May 11, 1984
AEP:NRC:0730D

Donald C. Cook Nuclear Plant Unit Nos. 1 and 2
Docket Nos. 50-315 and 50-316
License Nos. DPR-58 and DPR-74
INSERVICE INSPECTION SCHEDULE


Mr. Harold R. Denton, Director
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D.C. 20555

Reference: Our Letter No. AEP:NRC:0730A dated March 15, 1984

Dear Mr. Denton:

In the referenced letter, the American Electric Power Service Corporation and the Indiana & Michigan Electric Company requested that the Inservice Inspection/Inservice Testing (ISI/IST) second ten-year interval for both Cook Nuclear Plant units commence on a common date, July 1, 1987. At the present time, the second inspection interval is scheduled to begin August 23, 1985 for Unit 1 and July 1, 1988 for Unit 2. Based upon discussions with your staff during a meeting on March 27-28, 1984 and subsequent telephone conversations, we now request that the second ten-year interval for both units begins on July 1, 1986, one year earlier than stated in our previous letter. This request is contingent upon acceptance of the following code relief requests:

1. The Unit 2 reactor vessel beltline examination for the first ten-year inspection interval will be conducted during the mid-1987 refueling outage as presently scheduled, maintaining the 1974 edition with addenda through summer 1975 of the ASME B&PV Code Section XI as the governing code.
2. Because the Unit 2 first ten-year interval is being shortened by two years, the scheduled examination of some Class 1 and 2 welds will not be completed by July 1, 1986 requiring code relief for the first interval. These welds will be examined at or near the beginning of the second ten-year interval to the code edition in effect at that time. These examinations will be credited toward the second inspection interval.



AOA7
~~4001~~
1/0



10-10-10

10-10-10

10-10-10

10-10-10

10-10-10

10-10-10

10-10-10

10-10-10

10-10-10

10-10-10

10-10-10

10-10-10

10-10-10

10-10-10

10-10-10

10-10-10

10-10-10

10-10-10

10-10-10

10-10-10

10-10-10

10-10-10

10-10-10

10-10-10

10-10-10

10-10-10

10-10-10

10-10-10

10-10-10

10-10-10

10-10-10

10-10-10

10-10-10

10-10-10

10-10-10

10-10-10

10-10-10

10-10-10

10-10-10

10-10-10

10-10-10

10-10-10

10-10-10

10-10-10

10-10-10

10-10-10

10-10-10

10-10-10

10-10-10

10-10-10

10-10-10

10-10-10

10-10-10

10-10-10

10-10-10

10-10-10

10-10-10

10-10-10

10-10-10

10-10-10

10-10-10

10-10-10

10-10-10

10-10-10

10-10-10

10-10-10

10-10-10

10-10-10

10-10-10

10-10-10

10-10-10

10-10-10

10-10-10

10-10-10

10-10-10

10-10-10

3. The system pressure tests for Unit 2 for the first ten-year interval will be conducted during the mid-1987 refueling outage as presently scheduled, maintaining the 1974 edition with addenda through summer 1975 of the ASME B&PV Code Section XI as the governing code.

The change in the second interval start date for Unit 1 should have no effect on ISI required by ASME Section XI because there is no refueling outage scheduled between August 1985 and July 1986. The effect on the IST program is that Unit 1's pumps and valves would continue to be tested in accordance with the 1974 Code edition with addenda through summer 1975 during the extension from August 1985 to July 1986.

Upon receiving the requested relief, we plan to submit an ISI program covering both units. The timely review and approval of these requests by the Staff will allow us to allocate our manpower resources in a more efficient manner. We would appreciate the expeditious handling of this request.

This document has been prepared following Corporate procedures which incorporate a reasonable set of controls to insure its accuracy and completeness prior to signature by the undersigned.

Very truly yours,



R. F. Hering
Vice President

9/11/84

RFH/cm

cc: John E. Dolan
M. P. Alexich
W. G. Smith, Jr. - Bridgman
R. C. Callen
G. Charnoff
E. R. Swanson, NRC Resident Inspector - Bridgman

1. The first part of the report is a general description of the project and its objectives. It includes a brief history of the project and a statement of the problem being studied. The second part of the report is a description of the methods used in the study. This includes a description of the experimental design, the subjects, and the procedures used to collect and analyze the data. The third part of the report is a description of the results of the study. This includes a summary of the findings and a discussion of their implications. The fourth part of the report is a conclusion and a list of references.

2. The first part of the report is a general description of the project and its objectives. It includes a brief history of the project and a statement of the problem being studied. The second part of the report is a description of the methods used in the study. This includes a description of the experimental design, the subjects, and the procedures used to collect and analyze the data. The third part of the report is a description of the results of the study. This includes a summary of the findings and a discussion of their implications. The fourth part of the report is a conclusion and a list of references.

3. The first part of the report is a general description of the project and its objectives. It includes a brief history of the project and a statement of the problem being studied. The second part of the report is a description of the methods used in the study. This includes a description of the experimental design, the subjects, and the procedures used to collect and analyze the data. The third part of the report is a description of the results of the study. This includes a summary of the findings and a discussion of their implications. The fourth part of the report is a conclusion and a list of references.

4. The first part of the report is a general description of the project and its objectives. It includes a brief history of the project and a statement of the problem being studied. The second part of the report is a description of the methods used in the study. This includes a description of the experimental design, the subjects, and the procedures used to collect and analyze the data. The third part of the report is a description of the results of the study. This includes a summary of the findings and a discussion of their implications. The fourth part of the report is a conclusion and a list of references.

5. The first part of the report is a general description of the project and its objectives. It includes a brief history of the project and a statement of the problem being studied. The second part of the report is a description of the methods used in the study. This includes a description of the experimental design, the subjects, and the procedures used to collect and analyze the data. The third part of the report is a description of the results of the study. This includes a summary of the findings and a discussion of their implications. The fourth part of the report is a conclusion and a list of references.