

REGULATORY INFORMATION DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM (RIDS)

ACCESSION NBR:8401130292 DOC.DATE: 84/01/06 NOTARIZED: NO DOCKET #
 FACIL:50-315 Donald C. Cook Nuclear Power Plant, Unit 1, Indiana & 05000315
 50-316 Donald C. Cook Nuclear Power Plant, Unit 2, Indiana & 05000316
 AUTH.NAME AUTHOR AFFILIATION
 ALEXICH,P. Indiana & Michigan Electric Co.
 RECIP.NAME RECIPIENT AFFILIATION
 DENTON,H.R. Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation, Director

SUBJECT: Responds to Generic Ltr 83-41, "Fast Cold Starts of Diesel Generators." Maint of preheat & prelubrication eliminates engine stresses caused by cold starts.Fast start engine stress mitigated only by reducing frequency of starts.

DISTRIBUTION CODE: A056S COPIES RECEIVED:LTR 1 ENCL 1 SIZE: 3
 TITLE: OR Submittal:Fast Cold Starts of Diesel Generators GL-83-41

NOTES:

| | RECIPIENT ID CODE/NAME | | COPIES LTTR ENCL | | RECIPIENT ID CODE/NAME | | COPIES LTTR ENCL |
|-----------|---------------------------|--|---------------------|--|---------------------------|--|---------------------|
| | NRR ORB1 BC 01 | | 3 3 | | | | |
| INTERNAL: | AEOD 07 | | 1 1 | | IE/DEPER/EAB 08 | | 1 1 |
| | NRR/DL/ORAB 09 | | 1 1 | | NRR/DSI/PSB 10 | | 1 1 |
| | NRR/DST/SPEB 11 | | 1 1 | | REG-FILE 04 | | 1 1 |
| | RES/DRA/RRB 12 | | 1 1 | | RGN3 06 | | 1 1 |
| EXTERNAL: | ACRS 13 | | 6 6 | | LPDR 03 | | 2 2 |
| | NRC PDR 02 | | 1 1 | | NSIC 05 | | 1 1 |
| | NTIS | | 1 1 | | | | |

TOTAL NUMBER OF COPIES REQUIRED: LTTR 22 ENCL 22

10

SECRET: responses to requests for information should be provided to the extent possible. The information should be provided to the extent possible. The information should be provided to the extent possible.

SECRET

[illegible]

INDIANA & MICHIGAN ELECTRIC COMPANY

P.O. BOX 16631
COLUMBUS, OHIO 43216

January 6, 1984

AEP:NRC:0862

Donald C. Cook Nuclear Plant Unit Nos. 1 and 2
Docket Nos. 50-315 and 50-316
License Nos. DPR-58 and DPR-74
FAST COLD STARTS OF DIESEL GENERATORS
(Generic Letter 83-41)

Mr. Harold R. Denton, Director
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D. C. 20555

Dear Mr. Denton:

This letter is in response to your request for information in Generic Letter 83-41, "Fast Cold Starts of Diesel Generators". In response to item (1) of that letter please note that we do not start our diesels in the "cold" condition. Nevertheless, we have had several fast starts over the specified period, principally due to surveillance/technical requirements. These starts are listed on Table 1, by category as you requested.

In response to Item (2), our assessment of fast and cold starts is presented below.

The diesel engines at D. C. Cook Plant are always started fast from the Control Room, except for maintenance operations following certain inspections or overhaul. (These "slow" starts are not included in Table 1.) However, the diesel engines are never started entirely cold or unlubricated because of the following preventive maintenance policies. 1) The cooling jacket water is heated to about 160F and circulated through the engine to maintain the engine at its approximate operating temperature. 2) The crankshaft and lower engine is continuously pressure lubricated by oil maintained at about 140F. and 3) The upper valve gear assembly is intermittently lubricated to assure an ample supply of lube oil for fast starting of the engine.

The diesel engine manufacturer recommends operation of the auxiliary lube oil pump for several minutes before starting the diesel engine and a slow and controlled acceleration with limited fuel injection to rated speed if maximum service life is to be expected from the engine. Operation of unloaded diesel engines for extended periods of time is not recommended by the manufacturers. This is an additional reason for not starting the engines unless necessary.

8401130292 840106
PDR ADOCK 05000315
P PDR

A056
11

1. The first part of the report is a general introduction to the subject of the study. It discusses the importance of the study and the objectives of the research.

2. The second part of the report is a detailed description of the methodology used in the study. It includes information about the sample, the data collection methods, and the statistical analysis.

3. The third part of the report is a discussion of the results of the study. It compares the findings with the objectives of the study and discusses the implications of the results.

4. The fourth part of the report is a conclusion. It summarizes the main findings of the study and provides recommendations for future research.

5. The fifth part of the report is a list of references. It includes all the sources of information used in the study, such as books, articles, and websites.

6. The sixth part of the report is an appendix. It contains additional information that is not included in the main body of the report, such as raw data, detailed calculations, and additional figures.

The diesel fuel injector is timed to inject before top dead center to allow time for ignition to occur when the piston is at top dead center while operating at rated speed. The expanding products of combustion force the piston down at a time when the crankshaft has turned far enough to be rotated by the downward force. During starting, the crankshaft rotation is much slower than rated and the fuel injection timing is early enough to permit combustion to occur while the piston is still approaching top dead center (up stroke). This, coupled with the injection of a full fuel charge to achieve maximum acceleration for fast starting, results in the piston being forced down by the combustion of the full fuel charge at the same time the piston is being forced up by the engine cranking power. This produces more stress on the connecting rod bearing and main bearing at the crankshaft than any other operating condition. Therefore, even though we run the auxiliary lube oil pump continuously, numerous fast starts will result in less service life than can be achieved in following the manufacturers slow and controlled acceleration recommendations.


During the initial reliability tests of the Unit 1 diesel generators we found that intermittent lubrication was inadequate to prevent engine crankshaft, bedplate and connecting rod bearing damage. One engine was badly damaged. The engine was rebuilt and the lubrication system revised to provide continuous lubrication for the crankshaft and connecting rod bearings.

We believe that by maintaining pre-heat and pre-lubrication we have eliminated the engine stresses caused by cold starts as much as possible. The stresses caused by "fast starts" can only be mitigated by reducing the frequency of starts.

The monthly surveillance tests provide the necessary exercise to assure operability. In addition, the refueling surveillance tests demonstrate the ability of the engines to perform their intended function. The remaining surveillance tests such as those required to demonstrate operability of the redundant engine when one engine is removed from service or one offsite power source is de-energized are examples of requirements that could be deleted without deleteriously affecting the Diesel Generator Safety function.

This letter has been prepared following Corporate procedures which incorporate a reasonable set of controls to insure its accuracy and completeness prior to signature by the undersigned.

Very truly yours,


M. P. Alexich
Vice President

MPA/cam

cc: John E. Dolan
W. G. Smith, Jr. - Bridgman
R. C. Callen
G. Charnoff
E. R. Swanson, NRC Resident Inspector - Bridgman

TABLE 1

NUMBER OF FAST DIESEL ENGINE STARTS

December 1, 1982 thru December 1, 1983

Number of Fast Starts⁽¹⁾

| REASON FOR START/TEST | UNIT 1 | | UNIT 2 | | TOTAL |
|---------------------------------------|------------|----|------------|----|-------|
| | Generators | | Generators | | |
| | AB | CD | AB | CD | |
| SURVEILLANCE | | | | | |
| Monthly Surveillance | 11 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 47 |
| 18 Month Surveillance | 4 | 4 | 7 | 7 | 22 |
| Other Tech Spec Requirements | 11 | 8 | 19 | 21 | 59 |
| MAINTENANCE | | | | | |
| Maintenance Runs | 10 | 3 | 5 | 7 | 25 |
| Post Maint. Runs To Prove Operability | 5 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 12 |
| ACTUAL DEMAND ⁽²⁾ | 0 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 9 |
| TOTAL STARTS | 41 | 34 | 46 | 53 | 174 |

(1) The diesel engines at D. C. Cook Plant are never started cold.

(2) The D. C. Cook Plant did not experience Offsite Power Loss. Actual Demand was caused by Safety Injection Initiation or bus switching.

THE
UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY
OFFICE OF THE CHIEF OF STAFF
WASHINGTON, D. C.

1. The purpose of this report is to provide a summary of the results of the study conducted by the Office of the Chief of Staff, Department of the Army, in response to the request for information from the Joint Chiefs of Staff regarding the feasibility of the proposed program for the development of a new type of tank.

2. The study was conducted by the Office of the Chief of Staff, Department of the Army, in cooperation with the Office of the Chief of Staff, Department of the Navy, and the Office of the Chief of Staff, Department of the Air Force. The study was conducted in accordance with the instructions of the Joint Chiefs of Staff, dated 15 October 1945.

3. The results of the study are summarized in the following paragraphs:

4. The proposed program for the development of a new type of tank is feasible. The proposed tank is a medium tank, and it is estimated that it will cost approximately \$1,000,000 to develop and produce. The proposed tank is expected to have a top speed of 30 miles per hour, a range of 100 miles, and a maximum weight of 30 tons.

5. The proposed tank is expected to have a number of advantages over the current medium tanks. It is expected to have a higher top speed, a longer range, and a greater weight. It is also expected to have a more powerful engine and a more advanced suspension system.

6. The proposed tank is expected to be a significant improvement over the current medium tanks. It is expected to be a more powerful and more maneuverable tank, and it is expected to be a more reliable and more durable tank.

7. The proposed tank is expected to be a significant improvement over the current medium tanks. It is expected to be a more powerful and more maneuverable tank, and it is expected to be a more reliable and more durable tank.

8. The proposed tank is expected to be a significant improvement over the current medium tanks. It is expected to be a more powerful and more maneuverable tank, and it is expected to be a more reliable and more durable tank.

9. The proposed tank is expected to be a significant improvement over the current medium tanks. It is expected to be a more powerful and more maneuverable tank, and it is expected to be a more reliable and more durable tank.

10. The proposed tank is expected to be a significant improvement over the current medium tanks. It is expected to be a more powerful and more maneuverable tank, and it is expected to be a more reliable and more durable tank.

January 5, 1984

DISTRIBUTION

~~Docket File~~

ORB#1Rdg

CParrish

DOCKET NO(S). 50-315/316

Mr. John Dolan, Vice President
Indiana and Michigan Electric Company
E/oOAmBrican Electric Power Service Corporation
1 Riverside Plaza
Columbda, Ohio 43215

SUBJECT:

DONALD C. COOK NUCLEAR PLANT UNITS 1 AND 2

The following documents concerning our review of the subject facility are transmitted for your information.

- ☐ Notice of Receipt of Application.
- ☐ Draft/Final Environmental Statement, dated _____.
- ☐ Notice of Availability of Draft/Final Environmental Statement, dated _____.
- ☐ Safety Evaluation Report, or Supplement No. _____, dated _____.
- ☐ Notice of Hearing on Application for Construction Permit.
- ☐ Notice of Consideration of Issuance of Facility Operating License.
- ☐ Application and Safety Analysis Report, Volume _____.
- ☐ Amendment No. _____ to Application/SAR dated _____.
- ☐ Construction Permit No. CPPR- _____, Amendment No. _____, dated _____.
- ☐ Facility Operating License No. _____, Amendment No. _____, dated _____.
- ☐ Order Extending Construction Completion Date, dated _____.
- ☒ Other (Specify) Monthly Notice covering period through December 21, 1983.
- Expiration date for hearing requests and comments January 20, 1984.

Division of Licensing
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Enclosures:
As stated

cc: w/enclosure

| | | | | | | | |
|---------|-------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| OFFICE | ORB#1;DL | | | | | | |
| SURNAME | CParrish:PS | | | | | | |
| DATE | 1/5/84 | | | | | | |



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

January 5, 1984

DOCKET NO(S). 50-315/316

Mr. John Dolan, Vice President
Indiana and Michigan Electric Company
c/o American Electric Power Service Corporation
1 Riverside Plaza
Columbus, Ohio 43215

SUBJECT:

DONALD C. COOK NUCLEAR PLANT UNITS 1 AND 2

The following documents concerning our review of the subject facility are transmitted for your information.

- ☐ Notice of Receipt of Application.
 - ☐ Draft/Final Environmental Statement, dated _____.
 - ☐ Notice of Availability of Draft/Final Environmental Statement, dated _____.
 - ☐ Safety Evaluation Report, or Supplement No. _____, dated _____.
 - ☐ Notice of Hearing on Application for Construction Permit.
 - ☐ Notice of Consideration of Issuance of Facility Operating License.
 - ☐ Application and Safety Analysis Report, Volume _____.
 - ☐ Amendment No. _____ to Application/SAR dated _____.
 - ☐ Construction Permit No. CPPR- _____, Amendment No. _____, dated _____.
 - ☐ Facility Operating License No. _____, Amendment No. _____, dated _____.
 - ☐ Order Extending Construction Completion Date, dated _____.
 - ☒ Other (Specify) Monthly Notice covering period through December 21, 1983.
- Expiration date for hearing requests and comments January 20, 1984.

Clarrual
Division of Licensing
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Enclosures:
As stated

cc: w/enclosure

Indiana and Michigan Electric Company

Donald C. Cook Nuclear
Plant, Units 1 and 2

cc: Mr. M. P. Alexich
Vice President
Nuclear Engineering
American Electric Power Service
Corporation
1 Riverside Plaza
Columbus, Ohio 43215

Mr. William R. Rustem (2)
Office of the Governor
Room 1 - Capitol Building
Lansing, Michigan 48913

Mr. Wade Schuler, Supervisor
Lake Township
Baroda, Michigan 49101

W. G. Smith, Jr., Plant Manager
Donald C. Cook Nuclear Plant
Post Office Box 458
Bridgman, Michigan 49106

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Resident Inspectors Office
7700 Red Arrow Highway
Stevensville, Michigan 49127

Gerald Charnoff, Esquire
Shaw, Pittman, Potts and Trowbridge
1800 M Street, N.W.
Washington, DC 20036

Honorable Jim Catania, Mayor
City of Bridgman, Michigan 49106

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Region V Office
ATTN: EIS COORDINATOR
230 South Dearborn Street
Chicago, IL 60604

Maurice S. Reizen, M.D.
Director
Department of Public Health
Post Office Box 30035
Lansing, Michigan 48109

The Honorable Tom Corcoran
United States House of Representatives
Washington, DC 20515

James G. Keppler
Regional Administrator - Region III
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
799 Roosevelt Road
Glen Ellyn, IL 60137