

# REGULATORY INFORMATION DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM (GRIDS)

ACCESSION NBR: 8207160201 .DOC. DATE: 82/07/12 NOTARIZED: NO DOCKET #  
 FACIL: 50-315 Donald C. Cook Nuclear Power Plant, Unit 1, Indiana & 05000315  
 50-316 Donald C. Cook Nuclear Power Plant, Unit 2, Indiana & 05000316  
 AUTH. NAME AUTHOR AFFILIATION  
 HUNTER, R.S. Indiana & Michigan Electric Co.  
 RECIP. NAME RECIPIENT AFFILIATION  
 DENTON, H.R. Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation, Director

SUBJECT: Submits schedule for providing remaining responses to NRC  
 801222, ltr re NUREG-0612 concerning control of heavy loads.  
 Licensee will incorporate all necessary changes within 2-yr  
 period.

DISTRIBUTION CODE: A033S COPIES RECEIVED: LTR 1 ENCL 1 SIZE: 3  
 TITLE: Control of Heavy Loads Near Spent Fuel (USI A-36) Operating Reactor

## NOTES:

	RECIPIENT ID CODE/NAME	COPIES LTTR ENCL	RECIPIENT ID CODE/NAME	COPIES LTTR ENCL
	ORB #1 BC	7 7	NRR CLEMENSON01	4 4
INTERNAL:	NRR REQUA/G 09	1 1	NRR/DL/ORAB 12	1 1
	NRR/DSI/ADCCS11	1 1	NRR/DSI/AEB	1 1
	NRR/DSI/RAB 10	1 1	REG FILE 04	1 1
	RGN3	1 1		
EXTERNAL:	ACRS 13	10 10	LPDR 03	2 2
	NRC IPDR 02	1 1	NSIC 06	1 1
	NTIS	1 1		



# INDIANA & MICHIGAN ELECTRIC COMPANY

P. O. BOX 18  
BOWLING GREEN STATION  
NEW YORK, N. Y. 10004

July 12, 1982  
AEP:NRC:0514D

Donald C. Cook Nuclear Plant Unit Nos. 1 and 2  
Docket Nos. 50-315 and 50-316  
License Nos. DPR-58 and DPR-74  
CONTROL OF HEAVY LOADS

Mr. Harold R. Denton, Director  
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation  
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Washington, D. C. 20555

Dear Mr. Denton:

This letter documents the schedule for providing the remaining responses requested in Enclosure No. 3 to Mr. D. G. Eisenhower's letter of December 22, 1980 on the Control of Heavy Loads in nuclear plants.

Our submittals will be provided in various phases, as follows:

Phase Ia	Submitted	June 18, 1982
Phase Ib	All other cranes and hoists encompassed by NUREG-0612 Section 2.1. This response will not include the analysis of the lifting arrangement for the reactor head and upper internals which we are planning to have Westinghouse perform. A schedule has not yet been established for this work.	October 1, 1982
Phase IIa	NUREG-0612 Sections 2.2 and 2.3.	September 1, 1982
Phase IIb	NUREG-0612 Section 2.4.	December 1, 1982

A033

8207160201 820712  
PDR ADDCK 05000315  
P



Mr. Eisenhut's letter (Page 2, Item 1) initially requested response dates for Section 2.1 by June 22, 1981 and for Sections 2.2, 2.3, and 2.4 by September 22, 1981; and asked that for any date that could not be met, to furnish a proposed revised date, justification for the delay, and any planned compensating safety actions during the interim. The justification for our delayed responses is as follows:

We submitted a response to Section 2.1 on July 31, 1981 and planned to submit responses for Sections 2.2, 2.3 and 2.4 in the fall of 1981. In the interim we received the Franklin Research Institute (FRI) Technical Evaluation Report (TER). In a telephone conference with your staff and FRI on December 17, 1981, additional information was requested and it was suggested that we make the submittal in two phases (Phases I and II). The additional information requested, together with a thorough review by us of what is necessary to respond to the NRC on the Control of Heavy Loads issue in an accurate and responsive manner, has resulted in the response dates listed above. We trust that these submittal dates will be acceptable to the NRC. We have taken compensating safety actions during the interim to resolve a concern with dropping a missile shield block in three areas of the Plant: (1) In-Containment Missile Shield, (2) Auxiliary Building Horizontal Missile Shield, and Auxiliary Building Vertical Wall Missile Shield. New lifting arrangements were designed and fabricated. They are being used for the current Unit 1 refueling outage. The analyses for these lifting arrangements will be submitted in Phase Ib.

Mr. Eisenhut's letter (Page 2, Item 2) requested us to "furnish confirmation within six months that implementation of those changes and modifications you find are necessary will commence as soon as possible without waiting for staff review, so that all such changes, beyond the above interim actions, will be completed within two years of submittal of Section 2.4 for the above report." It is our intent to incorporate all changes which we deem necessary within the two year period.

Mr. Eisenhut's letter (Page 2, Item 3) requested us to "furnish justification within six months for any changes or modifications that would be required to fully satisfy the guidelines of Enclosure 1 which you believe are not necessary." We plan to provide this justification in the remaining individual Phase I and Phase II submittals. We trust that this will be acceptable to you.

1. The first part of the document discusses the importance of maintaining accurate records of all transactions. It emphasizes that proper record-keeping is essential for the transparency and accountability of the organization. This section also outlines the various methods used to collect and analyze data, ensuring that the information is reliable and up-to-date.

2. The second part of the document focuses on the implementation of the proposed changes. It details the steps involved in the rollout process, from initial planning to final execution. This section also addresses potential challenges and provides strategies to overcome them, ensuring a smooth transition to the new system.

3. The third part of the document discusses the ongoing monitoring and evaluation of the project. It highlights the need for continuous communication and collaboration between all stakeholders involved. This section also provides a framework for assessing the progress and impact of the project, allowing for timely adjustments and improvements.

4. The final part of the document concludes with a summary of the key findings and recommendations. It reiterates the importance of maintaining high standards of accuracy and transparency throughout the entire process. The document also provides a clear path forward for future projects, ensuring that the lessons learned are applied to future initiatives.

Mr. Harold R. Denton

-3-

AEP:NRC:0514D

This document has been prepared following Corporate procedures which incorporate a reasonable set of controls to ensure its accuracy and completeness prior to signature by the undersigned.

Very truly yours,

A handwritten signature in dark ink, appearing to read 'R. S. Hunter', with a stylized flourish at the end.

R. S. Hunter  
Vice President

RSH/sag

cc: John E. Dolan - Columbus  
R. W. Jurgensen  
W. G. Smith, Jr. - Bridgman  
R. C. Callen  
G. Charnoff  
Joe Williams, Jr.  
NRC Resident Inspector at Cook Plant - Bridgman

10-10-10

10-10-10

10-10-10

10-10-10

10-10-10

10-10-10

10-10-10

10-10-10

10-10-10

10-10-10

10-10-10

10-10-10