

## REGULATORY INFORMATION DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM (RIDS)

ACCESSION NBR: 7901170270 DOC. DATE: 79/01/10 NOTARIZED: NO  
 FACIL: 50-316 DONALD C COOK #2, INDIANA & MICHIGAN ELECTRIC CO.  
 AUTH. NAME: SHALLER, D.V. AUTHOR AFFILIATION: IN & MI PWR  
 RECIP. NAME: RECIP. AFFILIATION: OFFICE OF INSPECTION & ENFORCEMENT

DOCKET #  
 05000316

SUBJECT: Forwards Monthly Operating Rept for Dec 1978.

DISTRIBUTION CODE: A008S COPIES RECEIVED: LTR 1 ENCL 1 SIZE: 1x8  
 TITLE: ANNUAL, SEMI-ANNUAL & MONTHLY OPERATING REPORTS (OL STAG)

NOTES: I & E - 3 cys ALL MAIL

ACTION:	RECIPIENT	COPIES		RECIPIENT	COPIES	
	ID CODE/NAME	LTTR	ENCL		ID CODE/NAME	LTTR
	05 BC <u>CRB#1</u>	6	6			
INTERNAL:	01 REG FILE	1	1	02 NRC PDR	1	1
	11 I & E	2	2	14 MPA	2	2
	16 DIR DOR	1	1	17 AD SYS/PROJ	1	1
	18 ENGR BR	1	1	19 REAC SAFT BR	1	1
	20 PLANT SYS BR	1	1	21 EEB	1	1
	22 CORE PERF BR	1	1	23 EFFL TR SYS	1	1
EXTERNAL:	03 LPDR	2	2	04 NSIC	1	1
	24 NATL LAB ANL	1	1	25 BROOKHAVEN	1	1
	26 ACRS	15	15			

JAN 18 1979

TOTAL NUMBER OF COPIES REQUIRED: LTTR 40 ENCL 40

MR  
 GP

1944

1. The first part of the report is a general statement of the purpose and scope of the study. It is followed by a brief review of the literature on the subject.

2. The second part of the report is a detailed description of the methods used in the study. This includes a description of the subjects, the experimental design, and the data collection procedures.

3. The third part of the report is a presentation of the results of the study. This includes a description of the data and a discussion of the findings.

RESULTS		DISCUSSION	
1. The first result is that the subjects who received the treatment showed a significant improvement in their scores on the test.	2. The second result is that the subjects who received the control treatment showed no significant improvement in their scores on the test.	1. The first result is that the subjects who received the treatment showed a significant improvement in their scores on the test.	2. The second result is that the subjects who received the control treatment showed no significant improvement in their scores on the test.
3. The third result is that the subjects who received the treatment showed a significant improvement in their scores on the test.	4. The fourth result is that the subjects who received the control treatment showed no significant improvement in their scores on the test.	3. The third result is that the subjects who received the treatment showed a significant improvement in their scores on the test.	4. The fourth result is that the subjects who received the control treatment showed no significant improvement in their scores on the test.
5. The fifth result is that the subjects who received the treatment showed a significant improvement in their scores on the test.	6. The sixth result is that the subjects who received the control treatment showed no significant improvement in their scores on the test.	5. The fifth result is that the subjects who received the treatment showed a significant improvement in their scores on the test.	6. The sixth result is that the subjects who received the control treatment showed no significant improvement in their scores on the test.
7. The seventh result is that the subjects who received the treatment showed a significant improvement in their scores on the test.	8. The eighth result is that the subjects who received the control treatment showed no significant improvement in their scores on the test.	7. The seventh result is that the subjects who received the treatment showed a significant improvement in their scores on the test.	8. The eighth result is that the subjects who received the control treatment showed no significant improvement in their scores on the test.
9. The ninth result is that the subjects who received the treatment showed a significant improvement in their scores on the test.	10. The tenth result is that the subjects who received the control treatment showed no significant improvement in their scores on the test.	9. The ninth result is that the subjects who received the treatment showed a significant improvement in their scores on the test.	10. The tenth result is that the subjects who received the control treatment showed no significant improvement in their scores on the test.



**INDIANA & MICHIGAN POWER COMPANY**

DONALD C. COOK NUCLEAR PLANT  
P.O. Box 458, Bridgman, Michigan 49106

January 10, 1979

Director, Office of Management Information  
and Program Control  
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Washington, D.C. 20555

Gentlemen:

Pursuant to the requirements of Donald C. Cook Nuclear Plant Unit 2  
Technical Specification 6.9.1.6, the attached Monthly Operating  
Report for the Month of December, 1978 is submitted.

Sincerely,

D. V. Shaller  
Plant Manager

DVS:ab

Attachments

cc: R. W. Jurgensen  
NRC Region III  
K. R. Baker  
R. C. Callen  
R. Walsh  
S. J. Mierzwa  
J. E. Dolan  
R. J. Vollen  
R. F. Kroeger  
K. W. Brown  
J. M. Hennigan  
P. W. Steketee  
A. F. Kozlowski  
J. F. Stietzel  
PNSRC File

REGULATORY DOCKET FILE COPY

7901170270

A008  
5/11  
R

