

PRIORITY 1

(ACCELERATED RIDS PROCESSING)

REGULATORY INFORMATION DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM (RIDS)

ACCESSION NBR:9508080314 DOC.DATE: 95/08/01 NOTARIZED: NO DOCKET #
FACIL:STN-50-528 Palo Verde Nuclear Station, Unit 1, Arizona Publi 05000528
STN-50-529 Palo Verde Nuclear Station, Unit 2, Arizona Publi 05000529
STN-50-530 Palo Verde Nuclear Station, Unit 3, Arizona Publi 05000530

AUTH.NAME AUTHOR AFFILIATION
STEWART,W.L. Arizona Public Service Co. (formerly Arizona Nuclear Power
RECIP.NAME RECIPIENT AFFILIATION
Document Control Branch (Document Control Desk)

SUBJECT: Forwards response to RAI re proposed amend,changing Note 5
to Table 4.3-1 of TS 3/4.3.1 to allow verification of shape
annealing matrix elements used in CPCs,providing option of
using generic shape annealing matri elements.

DISTRIBUTION CODE: A001D COPIES RECEIVED:LTR 1 ENCL 1 SIZE: 3
TITLE: OR Submittal: General Distribution

NOTES:STANDARDIZED PLANT 05000528
Standardized plant. 05000529
Standardized plant. 05000530

	RECIPIENT ID CODE/NAME	COPIES LTTR ENCL	RECIPIENT ID CODE/NAME	COPIES LTTR ENCL
	PD4-2 LA	1 1	PD4-2 PD	1 1
	HOLIAN, B	1 1	TRAN,L	1 1
	THOMAS,C	1 1		
INTERNAL:	ACRS	6 6	FILE CENTER 01	1 1
	NRR/DE/EMCB	1 1	NRR/DRCH/HICB	1 1
	NRR/DSSA/SPLB	1 1	NRR/DSSA/SRXB	1 1
	NUDOCS-ABSTRACT	1 1	OGC/HDS2	1 0
EXTERNAL:	NOAC	1 1	NRC PDR	1 1

NOTE TO ALL "RIDS" RECIPIENTS:

PLEASE HELP US TO REDUCE WASTE! CONTACT THE DOCUMENT CONTROL
DESK, ROOM OWFN 5D8 (415-2083) TO ELIMINATE YOUR NAME FROM
DISTRIBUTION LISTS FOR DOCUMENTS YOU DON'T NEED!

TOTAL NUMBER OF COPIES REQUIRED: LTTR 20 ENCL 19

AA-2

Arizona Public Service Company
P.O. BOX 53999 • PHOENIX, ARIZONA 85072-3999

WILLIAM L. STEWART
EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT
NUCLEAR

102-03432-WLS/AKK/RKR
August 1, 1995

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
ATTN: Document Control Desk
Mail Station P1-37
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Reference: Letter No. 102-03201, dated December 7, 1994, from W. L. Stewart,
Executive Vice President, Nuclear, APS, to USNRC


Dear Sirs:

Subject: Palo Verde Nuclear Generating Station (PVNGS)
Units 1, 2, and 3
Docket Nos. STN 50-528/529/530
Request for Additional Information for Proposed Amendment
to Technical Specification 3/4.3.1, Table 4.3-1

Brian Holian of your staff requested additional information to complete the review of the amendment to Technical Specification 3/4.3.1, Table 4.3-1 (referenced above). The proposed amendment will change Note 5 to Table 4.3-1 of Technical Specification 3/4.3.1 to allow verification of the shape annealing matrix elements used in the Core Protection Calculators, providing the option of using generic shape annealing matrix elements. The enclosure to this letter provides the requested information.

Should you have any questions, please contact Scott A. Bauer at (602) 393-5978.

Sincerely,



WLS/SAB/RKR/rv
Enclosure

cc: L. J. Callan
K. E. Perkins
B. E. Holian
K. E. Johnston
A. V. Godwin (ARRA)

080145

9508080314 950801
PDR ADDCK 05000528
PDR

ADD 11

ENCLOSURE

**RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL
INFORMATION FOR PROPOSED AMENDMENT TO
TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION 3/4.3.1, TABLE 4.3-1**

1. NRC Request:

A previous anomaly at another CPC plant indicated that the CPCs calculated a cosine type axial shape throughout the cycle rather than the expected evolution from flattened cosine to a saddle shape as predicted for middle of cycle (MOC) through end of cycle (EOC). What will the generic shape annealing matrix (SAM) look like?

APS Response:

The actual core axial shape is dependent on the fuel management and time in life for the cycle. Therefore, the actual form of the core axial shape (e.g., cosine, saddle, or flattened cosine) is not necessarily important. What is important is how well the CPC calculated axial shape matches the actual core axial shape. The axial shape calculated by the CPCs using the generic cycle-independent SAM will look more like the core axial shape throughout the cycle than the axial shape calculated by the CPCs using the beginning of cycle (BOC) SAM. Presently, SAM is measured only once during reload startup and used throughout the cycle. The RMS (root mean square) error between predicted and measured axial power distribution increases during the cycle indicating that the representation is less accurate as the cycle progresses. The generic cycle-independent SAM will be based on MOC data. Comparative evaluations of generic cycle-independent SAM, with plant data from earlier and current cycles, indicate that the RMS error is smaller and more constant throughout the entire cycle with the generic cycle-independent SAM than with the BOC measured SAM. Additionally, the evaluations performed indicate that a SAM optimized for a particular reactor design will perform well for all equivalent units and cycles with equivalent fuel management subject to adjustments for known changes. Therefore, one generic set of shape annealing matrix elements could be used in all three Units.

The use of a generic cycle-independent SAM and the periodic monitoring of CPC synthesized axial shapes will prevent a similar anomaly from occurring at PVNGS.

2. NRC Request:

How often will CPC synthesized axial shapes be monitored during each cycle to determine the acceptability of the CPC axial shape synthesis?

APS Response:

CPC synthesized axial shapes will be monitored at least quarterly during each cycle to determine the acceptability of the CPC axial shape synthesis.

