

**U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission**

**Cooperating Agency Report to the Council on Environmental Quality for FY 2016**

October 1, 2016 to September 30, 2017

**I. Environmental Impact Statements:**

<p align="center"><b>EIS TITLE</b></p> <p align="center"><i>(Insert Title of each EIS for which your agency published a NOI during the fiscal year)</i></p>	<p align="center"><b>COOPERATING AGENCIES</b></p> <p align="center"><i>(Insert names of agencies that were invited and agreed to participate in the EIS process as Cooperating Agencies)</i></p>	<p align="center"><b>CA STATUS NOT INITIATED OR ENDED</b></p> <p align="center"><i>Insert the name(s) of any agency(ies) that: (1) declined either verbally or in writing [required for federal agencies (40 CFR § 1501.6(c)] to participate as a Cooperating Agency; (2) requested Cooperating Agency status but was unable to reach agreement to participate; or (3) assumed Cooperating Agency status that was subsequently ended <u>and</u> the reason Cooperating Agency status was not established or was ended – see 5 listed reasons below</i></p>	<p align="center"><b>STATUS OF EIS</b></p> <p align="center"><i>(Insert the following dates as mm/dd/yy):</i></p> <p>NOI: DEIS or DSEIS NOA: FEIS or FSEIS NOA: ROD:</p>
---	--	--	--

<p>License Renewal of Indian Point Generating Unit Nos. 2 and 3, Volume 5 (Supplement 2 to FEIS), Draft Report for Comment</p> <p>Supplement to Generic Environmental Impact Statement for License Renewal of Nuclear Plants (NUREG-1437, Supplement No. 38)</p>	NA	Reason 5: NRC routinely and extensively consults with Federal, State, Tribal, and local entities during development of EISs and EAs. Formal Cooperating Agency status is not usually established. NRC believes that these informal arrangements achieve the spirit of formal Cooperating Agency status.	<p>NOI: 08/10/2007 DSEIS NOA: 01/09/2009 FSEIS NOA: Draft Supplement 2: 12/29/2015; Final Supplement 2: 11/2017 (Expected) ROD: TBD</p>
<p>License Renewal of Diablo Canyon Nuclear Power Plant, Units 1 and 2 – Draft Report for Comment</p> <p>Supplement to Generic Environmental Impact Statement for License Renewal of Nuclear Plants (NUREG-1437, Supplement No. TBD)</p>	NA	Reason 5: Same as above.	<p>NOI: 01/27/2010 DSEIS NOA: TBD FSEIS NOA: TBD ROD: TBD</p>
<p>License Renewal of Seabrook Station – Final Report</p> <p>Supplement to Generic Environmental Impact Statement for License Renewal of Nuclear Plants (NUREG-1437, Supplement No. 46)</p>	NA	Reason 5: Same as above.	<p>NOI: 07/20/2010 DSEIS NOA: 08/12/2011 FSEIS NOA: 08/14/2015 ROD: TBD</p>
<p>License Renewal of Grand Gulf Nuclear Station, Unit 1 – Final Report</p> <p>Supplement to Generic Environmental Impact Statement for License Renewal of Nuclear Plants (NUREG-1437, Supplement No. 50)</p>	NA	Reason 5: Same as above.	<p>NOI: 12/27/2011 DSEIS NOA: 12/20/2013 FSEIS NOA: 12/12/2014 ROD: 12/08/2016</p>

License Renewal of Fermi 2 Nuclear Power Plant – Final Report  Supplement to Generic Environmental Impact Statement for License Renewal of Nuclear Plants (NUREG-1437, Supplement No. 56)	NA	Reason 5: Same as above.	NOI: 02/03/2015 DSEIS NOA: 11/13/2015 FSEIS NOA: 09/30/2016 ROD: 12/22/2016
License Renewal of LaSalle County Station, Units 1 and 2 – Final Report  Supplement to Generic Environmental Impact Statement for License Renewal of Nuclear Plants (NUREG-1437, Supplement No. 57)	NA	Reason 5: Same as above.	NOI: 02/03/2015 DSEIS NOA: 02/19/16 FSEIS NOA: 09/09/2016 ROD: 10/27/2016
License Renewal of Waterford Steam Electric Station, Unit 3  Supplement to Generic Environmental Impact Statement for License Renewal of Nuclear Plants (NUREG-1437, Supplement No. TBD)	NA	Reason 5: Same as above.	NOI: 06/06/2016 DSEIS NOA: 02/2018 (Expected) FSEIS NOA: 05/2018 (Expected) ROD: TBD
Environmental Impact Statement for the Construction Permit for the Northwest Medical Isotopes, LLC (NWMI) Medical Radioisotope Production Facility – Draft Report for Comment (NUREG-2209)	NA	Reason 5: Same as above.	NOI: 11/18/2015 DEIS NOA: 11/10/2016 FEIS NOA: 05/26/2017 ROD: TBD
License Renewal of River Bend Nuclear Generating Station, Unit 1, Draft Report  Supplement to Generic Environmental Impact Statement for License Renewal of Nuclear Plants (NUREG-1437, Supplement TBD)	NA	Reason 5: Same as above.	NOI: 09/20/2017 DEIS NOA: 04/2018 (Expected) FEIS NOA: 11/2018 (Expected) ROD: TBD

License Renewal of South Texas Project  Supplement to Generic Environmental Impact Statement for License Renewal of Nuclear Plants (NUREG-1437, Supplement No. 48)	NA	Reason 5: Same as above.	NOI: 01/13/2011 DSEIS NOA: 12/14/2012 FSEIS NOA: 11/29/2013 ROD: 09/25/2017
NUREG- 2176, Final Environmental Impact Statement for Combined Licenses (COLs) for Turkey Point Nuclear Plant, October 2016.	US Army Corps of Engineers and National Park Service's Biscayne and Everglades National Parks	NA	NOI: 06/15/10 DEIS NOA: 3/6/15 FEIS NOA: 11/4/16 ROD: TBD
NUREG-1917 Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement for the Combined License (COL) for North Anna Power Station Unit 3	NA	Reason 5: Same as above.	NOI: 03/13/08 DEIS NOA: 1/26/09: FEIS NOA: 3/24/10 ROD: 6/2/17
Clinch River Nuclear Site; Early Site Permit Application: <i>Intent to prepare environmental impact statement and conduct scoping process; public meeting and request for comment</i>	US Army Corps of Engineers	NA	NOI: 04/4/17 DEIS NOA: TBD FEIS NOA: TBD ROD: TBD
Environmental Impact Statement for the Reno Creek ISR Project in Campbell County, Wyoming  Supplement to the Generic Environmental Impact Statement for In Situ Leach Uranium Milling Facilities (NUREG-1910, Supplement 6)	NA	Reason 5: Same as above.	NOI: 08/21/13 (78 FR 51753) DSEIS NOA: 06/29/16 FSEIS NOA: 01/09/2017 ROD: 02/27/2017
Environmental Impact Statement for the Kendrick Expansion Area ISR Project in Crook County, Wyoming	NA	Reason 5: Same as above.	NOI: 03/01/16 (81 FR 12143) DSEIS NOA: TBD FSEIS NOA: TBD

Supplement to the Generic Environmental Impact Statement for In Situ Leach Uranium Milling Facilities (NUREG-1910, Supplement 7)			ROD: TBD
Environmental Impact Statement for Waste Control Specialists LLC's Application for a Consolidated Interim Storage Facility for Spent Nuclear Fuel	NA	Reason 5: Same as above	NOI: 11/14/16 DEIS NOA: TBD FEIS NOA: TBD ROD: TBD
Lost Creek ISR Project Modification Environmental Impact Statement	Bureau of Land Management	NA	NOI: 09/04/15 DEIS NOA: TBD FEIS NOA: TBD ROD: TBD

**Reasons CA status was not initiated or why it ended:**

1. Potential Cooperating Agency lacked special expertise and jurisdiction by law.
2. Potential Cooperating Agency lacked authority to enter into an agreement to be a CA.
3. Potential or active CA lacked agreement with the agency.  
(e.g., unable to accept the scope of the analysis or the purpose and need for the proposed action; unable to accept responsibilities and/or milestones for analysis and documentation; unable to develop information/analysis of all reasonable alternatives; unable to prevent release of predecisional information; misrepresents the process or the findings presented in the analysis and documentation).
4. Potential or active CA lacked capacity (training or resources) to participate.  
(e.g., unable to participate during scoping and/or throughout the preparation of the analysis and documentation as necessary to meet process milestones; unable to identify significant issues, eliminate minor issues, identify issues previously studied, or identify conflicts with the objectives of regional, State and local land use plans, policies and controls in a timely manner; unable to assist in preparing portions of the review and analysis and help resolve significant environmental issues in a timely manner; unable to provide resources to support scheduling and critical milestones).
5. Other (specify).

**II. Environmental Assessments:**

	<b>Total</b>
<b>Number of EAs completed by your agency during the fiscal year</b>	35
<b>Number of those EAs your agency prepared with CAs</b>	0 (see below)
<b>The reason(s) from the list below that cooperating agency status was not initiated or was ended</b>  <b>(NOTE: agencies may replace this row of the report with a paragraph describing the most frequent reasons)</b>	See Reason No. 5

**Reasons CA status was not initiated or why it ended:**

1. Potential Cooperating Agency lacked special expertise and jurisdiction by law.
2. Potential Cooperating Agency lacked authority to enter into an agreement to be a CA.
3. Potential or active CA lacked agreement with the agency.  
(e.g., unable to accept the scope of the analysis or the purpose and need for the proposed action; unable to accept responsibilities and/or milestones for analysis and documentation; unable to develop information/analysis of all reasonable alternatives; unable to prevent release of predecisional information; misrepresents the process or the findings presented in the analysis and documentation).
4. Potential or active CA lacked capacity (training or resources) to participate.  
(e.g., unable to participate during scoping and/or throughout the preparation of the analysis and documentation as necessary to meet process milestones; unable to identify significant issues, eliminate minor issues, identify issues previously studied, or identify conflicts with the objectives of regional, State and local land use plans, policies and controls in a timely manner; unable to assist in preparing portions of the review and analysis and help resolve significant environmental issues in a timely manner; unable to provide resources to support scheduling and critical milestones).

5. Other (specify). NRC routinely and extensively consults with Federal, State, Tribal, and local entities during development of EISs and EAs. Formal Cooperating Agency status is not usually established. NRC believes that these informal arrangements achieve the spirit of formal Cooperating Agency status.