

ACCELERATED DISTRIBUTION DEMONSTRATION SYSTEM

REGULATORY INFORMATION DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM (RIDS)

ACCESSION NBR:8908070002 DOC.DATE: 89/07/28 NOTARIZED: NO DOCKET #
 FACIL:50-397 WPPSS Nuclear Project, Unit 2, Washington Public Powe 05000397
 AUTH.NAME AUTHOR AFFILIATION
 FULLER,R.E. Washington Public Power Supply System
 POWERS,C.M. Washington Public Power Supply System
 RECIP.NAME RECIPIENT AFFILIATION

SUBJECT: LER 89-027-00:on 890630,inadequate seismic restraint of
 isolation valves could result in unisolatable breach of PC.
 W/8 ltr.

DISTRIBUTION CODE: IE22T COPIES RECEIVED:LTR 1 ENCL 1 SIZE: 6
 TITLE: 50.73/50.9 Licensee Event Report (LER), Incident Rpt, etc.

NOTES:

	RECIPIENT ID CODE/NAME	COPIES LTTR ENCL	RECIPIENT ID CODE/NAME	COPIES LTTR ENCL
	PD5 LA	1 1	PD5 PD	1 1
	SAMWORTH,R	1 1		
INTERNAL:	ACRS MICHELSON	1 1	ACRS MOELLER	2 2
	ACRS WYLIE	1 1	AEOD/DOA	1 1
	AEOD/DSP/TPAB	1 1	AEOD/ROAB/DSP	2 2
	DEDRO	1 1	IRM/DCTS/DAB	1 1
	NRR/DEST/ADE 8H	1 1	NRR/DEST/ADS 7E	1 0
	NRR/DEST/CEB 8H	1 1	NRR/DEST/ESB 8D	1 1
	NRR/DEST/ICSB 7	1 1	NRR/DEST/MEB 9H	1 1
	NRR/DEST/MTB 9H	1 1	NRR/DEST/PSB 8D	1 1
	NRR/DEST/RSB 8E	1 1	NRR/DEST/SGB 8D	1 1
	NRR/DLPQ/HFB 10	1 1	NRR/DLPQ/PEB 10	1 1
	NRR/DOEA/EAB 11	1 1	NRR/DREP/RPB 10	2 2
	NUDOCS-ABSTRACT	1 1	REG FILE 02	1 1
	RES/DSIR/EIB	1 1	RES/DSR/PRAB	1 1
	RGN5 FILE 01	1 1		
EXTERNAL:	EG&G WILLIAMS,S	4 4	FORD BLDG HOY,A	1 1
	L ST LOBBY WARD	1 1	LPDR	1 1
	NRC PDR	1 1	NSIC MAYS,G	1 1
	NSIC MURPHY,G.A	1 1		

NOTE TO ALL "RIDS" RECIPIENTS:

PLEASE HELP US TO REDUCE WASTE! CONTACT THE DOCUMENT CONTROL DESK,
 ROOM P1-37 (EXT. 20079) TO ELIMINATE YOUR NAME FROM DISTRIBUTION
 LISTS FOR DOCUMENTS YOU DON'T NEED!

FULL TEXT CONVERSION REQUIRED
 TOTAL NUMBER OF COPIES REQUIRED: LTTR 43 ENCL 42

R
I
D
S
/
A
D
S
/
A
D
D
S

Atb fgh



WASHINGTON PUBLIC POWER SUPPLY SYSTEM

P.O. Box 968 • 3000 George Washington Way • Richland, Washington 99352

Docket No. 50-397

July 28, 1989

Document Control Desk
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D.C. 20555

Subject: NUCLEAR PLANT NO. 2
LICENSEE EVENT REPORT NO. 89-027

Dear Sir:

Transmitted herewith is Licensee Event Report No. 89-027 for the WNP-2 Plant. This report is submitted in response to the report requirements of 10CFR50.73 and discusses the items of reportability, corrective action taken, and action taken to preclude recurrence.

Very truly yours,

C.M. Powers

C.M. Powers (M/D 927M)
WNP-2 Plant Manager

CMP:lg

Enclosure:
Licensee Event Report No. 89-027

cc: Mr. John B. Martin, NRC - Region V
Mr. C.J. Bosted, NRC Site (M/D 901A)
INPO Records Center - Atlanta, GA
Ms. Dottie Sherman, ANI
Mr. D.L. Williams, BPA (M/D 399)

8908070002 890728
PDR ADCK 05000397
S PDC

IE22
11

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)

FACILITY NAME (1) Washington Nuclear Plant - Unit 2										DOCKET NUMBER (2) 0 5 0 0 0 3 9 7 1 OF 0 5										PAGE (3) 1 OF 0 5																																
TITLE (4) INADEQUATE SEISMIC RESTRAINT OF ISOLATION VALVES COULD RESULT IN UNISOLATABLE BREACH OF PRIMARY CONTAINMENT CAUSED BY INADEQUATE WORK PRACTICES																																																				
EVENT DATE (5)									LER NUMBER (6)									REPORT DATE (7)									OTHER FACILITIES INVOLVED (8)																									
MONTH			DAY			YEAR			YEAR			SEQUENTIAL NUMBER			REVISION NUMBER			MONTH			DAY			YEAR			FACILITY NAMES													DOCKET NUMBER(S)												
0 6			3 0			8 9			8 9			0 2			7 0			0 7			2 8			8 9																0 5 0 0 0												
OPERATING MODE (9) 1									THIS REPORT IS SUBMITTED PURSUANT TO THE REQUIREMENTS OF 10 CFR §: (Check one or more of the following) (11)																																											
POWER LEVEL (10) 0 2 5									20.402(b)									20.405(c)									50.73(a)(2)(iv)									73.71(b)																
									20.405(a)(1)(i)									50.36(c)(1)									X 50.73(a)(2)(v)									73.71(c)																
									20.405(a)(1)(ii)									50.36(c)(2)									50.73(a)(2)(vii)									OTHER (Specify in Abstract below and in Text, NRC Form 365A)																
									20.405(a)(1)(iii)									50.73(a)(2)(i)									50.73(a)(2)(viii)(A)																									
									20.405(a)(1)(iv)									X 50.73(a)(2)(ii)									50.73(a)(2)(viii)(B)																									
20.405(a)(1)(v)									50.73(a)(2)(iii)									50.73(a)(2)(ix)																																		
LICENSEE CONTACT FOR THIS LER (12)																																																				
NAME R.E. Fuller, Compliance Engineer																				TELEPHONE NUMBER 5 0 1 9 3 1 7 1 7 1 - 1 2 7 1 9 1 7																																
COMPLETE ONE LINE FOR EACH COMPONENT FAILURE DESCRIBED IN THIS REPORT (13)																																																				
CAUSE			SYSTEM			COMPONENT			MANUFACTURER			REPORTABLE TO NPDs			CAUSE			SYSTEM			COMPONENT			MANUFACTURER			REPORTABLE TO NPDs																									
SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT EXPECTED (14)																				EXPECTED SUBMISSION DATE (15)										MONTH DAY YEAR																						
YES (If yes, complete EXPECTED SUBMISSION DATE)																				X NO																																
ABSTRACT (Limit to 1400 spaces, i.e., approximately fifteen single-space typewritten lines) (16)																																																				
<p>On June 30, 1989 a preliminary engineering evaluation determined that two seismic supports missing on each of two Post Accident Sampling System (PASS) containment isolation valves, found by a Design Engineer on June 27, 1989, would probably result in failure of the pipe at its Primary Containment penetration during a Design Basis Earthquake (DBE). This would create an unisolatable breach of Primary Containment. The "as found" condition was discovered while the Design Engineer was performing a visual inspection of plant supports and while the Plant was at 3% power and in Mode 2 (Startup).</p> <p>At 1650 hours on June 30, 1989 the Primary Containment Technical Specification action statement 3.6.1.1 was entered and preparations were made to restore restraints to the required Plant configuration. At 1745 hours when work was not completed on the restraints, a Plant shutdown was initiated. Primary Containment Technical Specification action statement was exited at 1843 hours when the restraints were restored.</p> <p>The root causes of the event are 1) less than adequate work practices to ensure the Plant configuration remains within design requirements, and 2) less than adequate training of project personnel to implement Plant modifications.</p> <p>No further corrective actions were identified that would significantly minimize the recurrence of this condition in future Plant modifications. Current programs and procedures are considered adequate to ensure the plant configuration remains within the design requirements.</p>																																																				

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) TEXT CONTINUATION

FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET NUMBER (2)	LER NUMBER (6)			PAGE (3)		
		YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER			
Washington Nuclear Plant - Unit 2	0 5 0 0 0 3 9 7	8 9	- 0 2 7	- 0 0 0	1 2	OF	0 5

TEXT (If more space is required, use additional NRC Form 366A's) (17)

Abstract (cont'd)

Based on engineering judgement, there is no safety significance associated with this event because a qualitative assessment determined that a more rigorous stress analysis would indicate the pipe not fail from a Design Basis Earthquake (DBE). Since the condition did not actually occur, this condition did not threaten the health and safety of the public and Plant personnel.

Plant Conditions

- a) Power Level - 25%
- b) Plant Mode - 1 (Power Operation)

Event Description

On June 30, 1989 a preliminary engineering evaluation determined that two seismic supports missing on each of two Post Accident Sampling System (PASS) containment isolation valves, found by a Design Engineer on June 27, 1989, would probably result in failure of the pipe at its Primary Containment penetration during a Design Basis Earthquake (DBE). This would create an unisolatable breach of Primary Containment. The "as found" condition was discovered while the Design Engineer was performing a visual inspection of plant supports and while the Plant was at 3% power and in Mode 2 (Startup).

The two containment isolation valves (PSR-V-X82/1 & PSR-V-X82/2) are installed in series on a 1-inch stainless steel penetration line (PI(1)-4S-X82d) leading to the Suppression Pool. The valves are located in the Reactor Building just outside of Primary Containment.

For valve PSR-V-X82/1, two angle iron braces, which provide vertical seismic restraint, were missing from the support. Two U-bolts were missing from the valve PSR-V-X82/2 support. The U-bolts provide three directional seismic restraint.

A preliminary engineering evaluation was performed on the 1-inch PASS line without the seismic supports on the two PASS valves. The evaluation conservatively used elastic modeling techniques, which does not allow for plastic deformation and results in higher stress values. The evaluation determined the highest stress in the pipe would occur at the penetration to Primary Containment and would be sufficient to fail the pipe.

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) TEXT CONTINUATION

FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET NUMBER (2)	LER NUMBER (6)			PAGE (3)		
		YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER			
Washington Nuclear Plant - Unit 2	0 5 1 0 0 0 3 9 7	8 9	- 0 2 7	- 0 0 0	1 3	OF	0 5

TEXT (If more space is required, use additional NRC Form 366A's) (17)

Immediate Corrective Action

At 1650 hours on June 30, 1989 the Primary Containment Technical Specification action statement 3.6.1.1 was entered and preparations made to restore restraints to the required Plant configuration. At 1745 hours when work was not completed on the restraints, a Plant shutdown was initiated. The U-bolts were installed on PSR-V-X82/2 and the Primary Containment Technical Specification action statement exited at 1843 hours. An engineering evaluation had determined that the U-bolts would provide adequate seismic restraint to prevent failure of the pipe during a DBE. The angle iron braces for PSR-V-X82/1 were installed a short time later. A Plant shutdown had been planned for the evening of July 30, 1989 and the Plant was shutdown by a planned manual scram at 2323 hours.

Further Evaluation and Corrective ActionA. Further Evaluation

1. This event is reportable per 10 CFR 50.73(a)(2)(ii)(B) and 10 CFR 50.73(a)(2)(v)(C) & (D) as a condition that was outside of the design basis of the plant and alone could have prevented the fulfillment of the safety function of Primary Containment to control the release of radioactive material and mitigate the consequences of an accident. Preliminary engineering analyses determined the configuration could result in pipe failure at the containment penetration location following a Design Basis Earthquake (DBE), causing a breach of Primary Containment.
2. There were no structures, components, or systems inoperable prior to the event which contributed to the event.
3. An ASME Section XI plan (Plan No. 2-0112) was issued November 1, 1983 to reverse the flow direction of the two PASS valves. This required each valve to be removed from the line and reinstalled in reverse direction to provide a more reliable pressure seal on the Primary Containment side of the valve. The Section XI plan did not require supports or restraints to either be removed or reinstalled during performance of this task.

There is no documentation to indicate modification occurred later on these valves and/or associated lines that required removal of the restraints. Therefore, it is assumed that the two PASS valves were left in the above described configuration following implementation of the Section XI plan 2-0112.

4. The root causes of the event are 1) less than adequate work practices to ensure the Plant configuration remains within design requirements, and 2) less than adequate training of project personnel to implement Plant modifications.

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) TEXT CONTINUATION

FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET NUMBER (2)	LER NUMBER (6)			PAGE (3)		
		YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER			
Washington Nuclear Plant - Unit 2	0 5 0 0 0 3 9 7	8 9	- 0 2 7	- 0 0 0	0 4	OF	0 5

TEXT (If more space is required, use additional NRC Form 366A's) (17)

- a) A change notice was implemented to remove and reinstall supports for valve PSR-V-X82/7 on line PI(1)-4S-X82f, similar to work being performed on PSR-V-X82/1 at the same time. However, change notice documentation was not provided for the supports on PSR-V-X82/1 AND PSR-V-X82/2. The work practices were less than adequate to coordinate identification of Section XI plan deficiencies and to perform adequate post-modification inspections.
- b) Project personnel lacked training to ensure Plant modifications were within the required design configuration. Either the personnel responsible for modification of line PI(1)-4S-X82d did not recognize removal of the supports was not authorized by the Section XI plan, and/or they were not aware that a change notice was required for work that was not specifically identified in the Section XI plan. In either case, project personnel were less than adequately trained to ensure that Plant modifications are clearly documented and approved to ensure compliance with design requirements.
5. Programs and procedure revisions have been implemented since the event occurrence (and not as a result of the occurrence) to provide added assurance that the Plant configuration remains within the required design configuration. As a result of the Safety System Functional Inspection (SSFI) and subsequent to 1987, each Plant Technical System Engineer is required to perform a visual inspection of their assigned system prior to Plant startup from a major outage. The condition reported herein was missed during two previous inspections because it is located in a hard to reach area and it was known that that part of the system had had no recent major modifications. This condition was discovered while in the course of responding to a general Plant Management directive to all engineers to perform random inspections of the Plant in areas of their expertise for conformance to the required Plant configuration.

In addition, the Plant Modification Request (PMR) procedure (PPM 1.4.1) was revised to require a post-modification review or inspection by the Design Engineer and the Plant Technical System Engineer of selected Plant modifications based upon a selection criteria. Also, the Plant procedure PPM 1.3.19 has been revised to require Area Coordinators to be trained to identify degradation and abnormalities of equipment. Furthermore, the Project Engineer of a Plant modification is responsible for ensuring that the Plant configuration remains within the design requirements.

B. Further Corrective Action

No further corrective actions were identified that would significantly minimize the recurrence of this condition in future Plant modifications. However, current Plant programs and procedures are constantly being reviewed to identify areas where improvements can be made to provide increased confidence that the Plant configuration will remain within the design requirements. Also, current programs provide for continual review of the existing Plant configuration for compliance with the design requirements.

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) TEXT CONTINUATION

FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET NUMBER (2)	LER NUMBER (6)			PAGE (3)		
		YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER			
Washington Nuclear Plant - Unit 2	0 5 0 0 0 3 9 7	8 9	- 0 2 7	- 0 0 0	5	OF	0 5

TEXT (If more space is required, use additional NRC Form 366A's) (17)

Safety Significance

Based on engineering judgement, there is no safety significance associated with this event. A qualitative assessment determined that a more rigorous stress analysis with sophisticated plastic modeling techniques would indicate the PASS line (PI(1)-4S-X82d) would not fail from a Design Basis Earthquake (DBE). However, since the preliminary engineering analysis determined the pipe would fail, the safety significance of the postulated event (DBA, Earthquake and LOCA) is indeterminate because the effect of radionuclide release to the Reactor Building has not been analyzed.

Since the condition did not actually occur, this condition did not threaten the health and safety of the public and Plant personnel.

Similar Events

None

EIIS InformationText Reference

Sampling and Water Quality System
Sampling and Water Quality System (PSR-V-X82/1)
Sampling and Water Quality System (PSR-V-X82/2)
Reactor Building
Reactor Containment

EIIS Reference

System	Component
--------	-----------

KN	---
KN	ISV
KN	ISV
NG	---
NH	---