

REGULATORY INFORMATION DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM (RIDS)

ACCESSION NBR:8402160417 DOC.DATE: 84/02/08 NOTARIZED: YES DOCKET #
 FACIL:50-397 WPPSS Nuclear Project, Unit 2, Washington Public Powe 05000397
 AUTH.NAME AUTHOR AFFILIATION
 SORESEN, G.C. Washington Public Power Supply System
 RECIP.NAME RECIPIENT AFFILIATION
 SCHWENCER, A. Licensing Branch 2

SUBJECT: Forwards significant hazards analysis re proposed Tech Spec
 change noted in 840120 request for license amend.

DISTRIBUTION CODE: B001S COPIES RECEIVED: LTR 1 ENCL 1 SIZE: 4
 TITLE: Licensing Submittal: PSAR/FSAR Amdts & Related Correspondence

NOTES:

	RECIPIENT ID CODE/NAME	COPIES LTTR ENCL		RECIPIENT ID CODE/NAME	COPIES LTTR ENCL
	NRR/DL/ADL	1 0		NRR LB2 BC	1 0
	NRR LB2 LA	1 0		AULUCK, R. 01	1 1
INTERNAL:	ELD/HDS2	1 0		IE FILE	1 1
	IE/DEPER/EPB 36	3 3		IE/DEPER/IRB 35	1 1
	IE/DGASIP/QAB21	1 1		NRR/DE/AEAB	1 0
	NRR/DE/CEB 11	1 1		NRR/DE/EHEB	1 1
	NRR/DE/eqB 13	2 2		NRR/DE/GB 28	2 2
	NRR/DE/MEB 18	1 1		NRR/DE/MTEB 17	1 1
	NRR/DE/SAB 24	1 1		NRR/DE/SGEB 25	1 1
	NRR/DHFS/HFEB40	1 1		NRR/DHFS/LQB 32	1 1
	NRR/DHFS/PSRB	1 1		NRR/DL/SSPB	1 0
	NRR/DSI/AEB 26	1 1		NRR/DSI/ASB	1 1
	NRR/DSI/CPB 10	1 1		NRR/DSI/CSB 09	1 1
	NRR/DSI/ICSB 16	1 1		NRR/DSI/METB 12	1 1
	NRR/DSI/PSB 19	1 1		NRR/DSI/RAB 22	1 1
	NRR/DSI/RSB 23	1 1		REG FILE 04	1 1
	RGN5	3 3		RM/DDAMI/MIB	1 0
EXTERNAL:	ACRS 41	6 6		BNL (AMDTs ONLY)	1 1
	DMB/DSS (AMDTs)	1 1		FEMA-REP DIV 39	1 1
	LPDR 03	1 1		NRC PDR 02	1 1
	NSIC 05	1 1		NTIS	1 1

Washington Public Power Supply System

P.O. Box 968 3000 George Washington Way Richland, Washington 99352 (509) 372-5000

February 8, 1984
G02-84-65

Docket No. 50-397

Director of Nuclear Reactor Regulation
Attention: Mr. A. Schwencer, Chief
Licensing Branch No. 2
Division of Licensing
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D.C. 20555

Dear Mr. Schwencer:

Subject: NUCLEAR PLANT NO. 2
LICENSE AMENDMENT 10 CFR 50.92, SIGNIFICANT
HAZARDS ANALYSIS

References: 1) Letter, G02-84-032, G. C. Sorensen (SS) to A. Schwencer (NRC), "Operating License NPF-21, Request for License Amendment", dated January 20, 1984
2) Federal Register (FR), 10 CFR 50, "Standards for Determining Whether License Amendments Involve No Significant Hazards Considerations", Pages 14864-14880, April 6, 1983

The Supply System has reviewed the technical specification changes noted in Reference 1 per 10 CFR 50.59 and 50.92 and considers that no significant hazards or unreviewed safety questions will result from the changes. The following 50.92 analyses are provided for each technical specification change. An appropriate example amendment that is considered not likely to involve significant hazards (as listed in FR 14870, Reference 2) and which corresponds to each technical specification change is cited where applicable.

The proposed changes will not:

- 1) involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated; or
- 2) create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated; or
- 3) involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety.

8402160417, 840208
PDR ADDOCK 05000397
P PDR

Boal
1/1

A. Schwencer

Page Two

February 8, 1984

LICENSE AMENDMENT 10 CFR 50.92, SIGNIFICANT HAZARDS ANALYSIS

o Pages 3/4 3-93, 3-94, and 3-95

The addition of note 7 to incorporate the channel functional test for the intermediate range noble gas activity monitors parallels example (ii) noted in FR 14870 in that it constitutes an additional control not presently included in the technical specifications.

o Page 3/4 4-10

The deletion of paragraphs b. and d. surveillance requirements do not involve a significant safety hazard because a logic modification on WNP-2 has made the surveillance requirement not applicable. This argument closely parallels example (iv) in FR 14870. The exception for relief from the surveillance requirement was established in a prior review and the logic modification demonstrates acceptable operation of the LPCI injection system.

o Pages 3/4 6-22 and 6-31

The increase of the valve closure times from 5 seconds to 15 seconds, as stated in Reference 1 corresponding to this section, does not constitute a safety hazard since the results of the change are within the acceptable design criteria with respect to the system. Example (vi) in FR 14870 is the basis for the argument on this technical specification change.

o Page 3/4 8-3

The note change does not involve a significant hazard since the change reduces a possible compromise to plant safety as noted in the second paragraph of the reference corresponding to this section.

o Page 3/4 8-18

Clarification has been made to this technical specification LCO. It is not considered to involve a significant hazard and is considered an administrative change as reflected in example (i) of FR 14870.

o Page 3/4 9-3

The clarification does not constitute a significant hazard since it is an administrative change to correct a redundancy in the technical specification. Example (i) of FR 14870 is cited.

A. Schwencer

Page Three

February 8, 1984

LICENSE AMENDMENT 10 CFR 50.92, SIGNIFICANT HAZARDS ANALYSIS

o Page 6-7

The Nuclear Safety Assurance Group (NSAG) qualifications are augmented to incorporate ANSI qualifications as described in the Reference 1 paragraph on this section. This is considered an administrative change as described in example (i) of FR 14870 and does not constitute a significant hazard.

In accordance with 10 CFR 50.91, the State of Washington has been provided a copy of Reference 1 and this significant hazards analysis. Should you have any further questions, please contact Mr. P. L. Powell, Manager, WNP-2 Licensing.

Very truly yours,



G. C. Sorensen, Manager
Regulatory Programs

BDP/tmh

cc: R Auluck - NRC
WS Chin - BPA
D Hoffman - NRC
N Lewis - EFSEC
AD Toth - NRC Site

AC Johnson

STATE OF WASHINGTON)
)
County of Benton)

WNP-2 - License Amendment 10
Subject: 10CFR50.92, Significant Hazards
Analysis

I, G. C. SORENSEN, being duly sworn, subscribe to and say that I am the Manager, Regulatory Programs, for the WASHINGTON PUBLIC POWER SUPPLY SYSTEM, the applicant herein; that I have full authority to execute this oath; that I have reviewed the foregoing; and that to the best of my knowledge, information and belief the statements made in it are true.

DATE 8 FEB, 1984

G. C. Sorensen
G. C. Sorensen, Manager
Regulatory Programs

On this day personally appeared before me G. C. SORENSEN to me known to be the individual who executed the foregoing instrument and acknowledge that he signed the same as his free act and deed for the uses and purposes therein mentioned.

GIVEN under my hand and seal this 8th day of February 1984.

S. R. Michaels
Notary Public in and for the
State of Washington

Residing at Richland, wa
Dec. 85



(10721124 73 10412
(
(10721124 73 10412

[illegible]

11 3 21

SECRET

On this case, the applicant's application for a passport was refused on the ground that he was not a citizen of the United Kingdom and was not entitled to a passport.

TO: [redacted] FROM: [redacted]

100-443887-100

