

REGULATORY INFORMATION DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM (RIDS)

ACCESSION NBR: 8204120219 DOC. DATE: 82/04/01 NOTARIZED: NO DOCKET #
 FACIL: 50-397 WPPSS Nuclear Project, Unit 2, Washington Public Power 05000397
 AUTH. NAME: AUTHOR AFFILIATION:
 BOUCHEY, G.D. Washington Public Power Supply System
 RECIP. NAME: RECIPIENT AFFILIATION:
 SCHWENCER, A. Operating Reactors Branch 2

SUBJECT: Forwards results of recomputed condensation oscillation load analysis & comparisons of chugging & load in terms of bldg acceleration response spectra for three representative critical locations, in response to NRC 820302 request.

DISTRIBUTION CODE: B001S COPIES RECEIVED: LTR 1 ENCL 1 SIZE: 5
 TITLE: PSAR/FSAR AMDTS and Related Correspondence

NOTES:

RECIPIENT		COPIES		RECIPIENT		COPIES	
ID CODE/NAME		LTTR	ENCL	ID CODE/NAME		LTTR	ENCL
A/D LICENSNG		1	0	LIC BR #2 BC		1	0
LIC BR #2 LA		1	0	AULUCK, R.	01	1	1
INTERNAL: ELD		1	0	IE	06	1	1
IE/DEP/EPDB	35	1	1	IE/DEP/EPLB	36	3	3
MPA		1	0	NRR/DE/CEB	11	1	1
NRR/DE/eqB	13	3	3	NRR/DE/GB	28	2	2
NRR/DE/HGEB	30	2	2	NRR/DE/MEB	18	1	1
NRR/DE/MTEB	17	1	1	NRR/DE/QAB	21	1	1
NRR/DE/SAB	24	1	1	NRR/DE/SEB	25	1	1
NRR/DHFS/HFEB	40	1	1	NRR/DHFS/LQB	32	1	1
NRR/DHFS/OLB	34	1	1	NRR/DHFS/PTRB	20	1	1
NRR/DSI/AEB	26	1	1	NRR/DSI/ASB	27	1	1
NRR/DSI/CPB	10	1	1	NRR/DSI/CSB	09	1	1
NRR/DSI/ETSB	12	1	1	NRR/DSI/ICSB	16	1	1
NRR/DSI/PSB	19	1	1	NRR/DSI/RAB	22	1	1
NRR/DSI/RSB	23	1	1	NRR/DST/LGB	33	1	1
REG FILE	04	1	1	RGNS		2	2
EXTERNAL: ACRS	41	16	16	BNL (AMDTS ONLY)		1	1
FEMA-REP DIV	39	1	1	LPDR	03	1	1
NRC PDR	02	1	1	NSIC	05	1	1
NTIS		1	1				

TOTAL NUMBER OF COPIES REQUIRED: LTTR 63 ENCL 58

1. The first part of the document is a letter from the President of the United States to the Congress, dated January 3, 1862. It contains a report on the state of the Union and the progress of the war.

2. The second part is a report from the Secretary of the Treasury, dated January 10, 1862. It contains a report on the state of the Treasury and the progress of the war.

3. The third part is a report from the Secretary of the Interior, dated January 10, 1862. It contains a report on the state of the Interior and the progress of the war.

4. The fourth part is a report from the Secretary of the Navy, dated January 10, 1862. It contains a report on the state of the Navy and the progress of the war.

5. The fifth part is a report from the Secretary of the War, dated January 10, 1862. It contains a report on the state of the War and the progress of the war.

6. The sixth part is a report from the Secretary of the State, dated January 10, 1862. It contains a report on the state of the State and the progress of the war.

7. The seventh part is a report from the Secretary of the War, dated January 10, 1862. It contains a report on the state of the War and the progress of the war.

8. The eighth part is a report from the Secretary of the Navy, dated January 10, 1862. It contains a report on the state of the Navy and the progress of the war.

9. The ninth part is a report from the Secretary of the Interior, dated January 10, 1862. It contains a report on the state of the Interior and the progress of the war.

10. The tenth part is a report from the Secretary of the Treasury, dated January 10, 1862. It contains a report on the state of the Treasury and the progress of the war.

11. The eleventh part is a report from the Secretary of the State, dated January 10, 1862. It contains a report on the state of the State and the progress of the war.

12. The twelfth part is a report from the Secretary of the War, dated January 10, 1862. It contains a report on the state of the War and the progress of the war.

13. The thirteenth part is a report from the Secretary of the Navy, dated January 10, 1862. It contains a report on the state of the Navy and the progress of the war.

14. The fourteenth part is a report from the Secretary of the Interior, dated January 10, 1862. It contains a report on the state of the Interior and the progress of the war.

15. The fifteenth part is a report from the Secretary of the Treasury, dated January 10, 1862. It contains a report on the state of the Treasury and the progress of the war.

16. The sixteenth part is a report from the Secretary of the State, dated January 10, 1862. It contains a report on the state of the State and the progress of the war.

17. The seventeenth part is a report from the Secretary of the War, dated January 10, 1862. It contains a report on the state of the War and the progress of the war.

18. The eighteenth part is a report from the Secretary of the Navy, dated January 10, 1862. It contains a report on the state of the Navy and the progress of the war.

19. The nineteenth part is a report from the Secretary of the Interior, dated January 10, 1862. It contains a report on the state of the Interior and the progress of the war.

20. The twentieth part is a report from the Secretary of the Treasury, dated January 10, 1862. It contains a report on the state of the Treasury and the progress of the war.

Washington Public Power Supply System

P.O. Box 968 3000 George Washington Way Richland, Washington 99352 (509) 372-5000

Docket No. 50-397

April 1, 1982
G02-82-351

Mr. A. Schwencer, Chief
Licensing Branch No. 2
Division of Licensing
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D.C. 20555

Dear Mr. Schwencer:


Subject: NUCLEAR PROJECT NO. 2
CONDENSATION OSCILLATION LOAD


Reference: G02-81-552, dated December 24, 1981

The Reference letter transmitted a report comparing Condensation Oscillation and Chugging Loads, which concluded that the CO load was not a governing load for the WNP-2 plant. On March 2, 1982, during a telephone conference call, the NRC requested information concerning structural response to the CO load in the 0-10 Hz frequency range. Accordingly, Burns and Roe recomputed structural responses at representative locations in the WNP-2 containment, using the Mark II generic CO load specification with frequencies higher than 10 Hz filtered out. These responses were then compared with structural responses due to chugging. The attached figures show the results of this analysis and comparison of chugging and CO load in terms of building acceleration response spectra (at 1% damping) for three representative critical locations: the stabilizer truss (node 21), the containment vessel at drywell floor elevation (node 76), and the containment vessel at the point of maximum response in the wetwell (node 132).



Boo1
//





2010-01-01

Mr. A. Schwencer
Page Two
April 1, 1982
G02-82-351


Examination of the results plotted in Figures 1, 2, and 3, clearly indicates that responses to the WNP-2 plant unique chugging load are larger, and by a significant margin, than the responses to the Mark II generic CO load with frequencies higher than 10 Hz filtered out.



G. D. Bouchey
Deputy Director, Safety and Security


EAF:kjf
Attachments: Figures 1, 2, and 3

cc: R. Auluck - NRC
WS Chin - BPA
R. Feil - NRC Site
F. Eltawila - NRC




WPPSS \approx 2 - NEARLY SYMMETRIC CHUGGING - (BASED ON 4TCO DATA)
 MASS NO. 21 FT. HORIZ. TRANSLATION
 CONTAINMENT VESSEL (DRYWELL)

FIGURE 1



WPPSS ≈ 2 - NEARLY SYMMETRIC CHUGGING - (BASED ON UTC0 DATA)
 MASS NO. 76 FT. VERT. TRANSLATION
 CONTAINMENT VESSEL (DRYWELL)

FIGURE 2



WPPSS \approx 2 - NEARLY SYMMETRIC CHUGGING - (BASED ON 4TCO DATA)
 MASS NO. 132 FT. HORIZ. TRANSLATION
 CONTAINMENT VESSEL (WETWELL)

FIGURE 3

