

REGULATORY INFORMATION DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM (RIDS)

ACCESSION NBR: 8202260219 DOC. DATE: 82/02/18 NOTARIZED: NO DOCKET #
 FACIL: 50-397 WPPSS Nuclear Project, Unit 2, Washington Public Powe 05000397
 AUTH. NAME AUTHOR AFFILIATION
 BOUCHEY, G.D. Washington Public Power Supply System
 RECIP. NAME RECIPIENT AFFILIATION
 SCHWENCER, A. Licensing Branch 2

SUBJECT: Forwards revised FSAR Section 3.10, "Seismic & Dynamic Qualification of Safety-Related Instrumentation & Electrical Equipment." Changes will be incorporated into Amend 24.

DISTRIBUTION CODE: B001S COPIES RECEIVED: LTR 1 ENCL 1 SIZE: 6
 TITLE: PSAR/FSAR AMDTS and Related Correspondence

NOTES: 2 copies all matl: PM.

05000397

ACTION:	RECIPIENT ID CODE/NAME	COPIES		RECIPIENT ID CODE/NAME	COPIES	
		LTTR	ENCL		LTTR	ENCL
	A/D LICENSNG	1	0	LIC BR #2 BC	1	0
	LIC BR #2 LA	1	0	AULUCK, R. 01	1	1
INTERNAL:	ELD	1	0	IE	06	3
	IE/DEP/EPDB 35	1	1	IE/DEP/EPLB 36	3	3
	MPA	1	0	NRR/DE/CEB 11	1	1
	NRR/DE/EOB 13	3	3	NRR/DE/GB 28	2	2
	NRR/DE/HGEB 30	2	2	NRR/DE/MEB 18	1	1
	NRR/DE/MTEB 17	1	1	NRR/DE/GAB 21	1	1
	NRR/DE/SAB 24	1	1	NRR/DE/SEB 25	1	1
	NRR/DHFS/HFEB 40	1	1	NRR/DHFS/LQB 32	1	1
	NRR/DHFS/OLB 34	1	1	NRR/DHFS/PTRB 20	1	1
	NRR/DSI/AEB 26	1	1	NRR/DSI/ASB 27	1	1
	NRR/DSI/CPB 10	1	1	NRR/DSI/CSB 09	1	1
	NRR/DSI/ETSB 12	1	1	NRR/DSI/ICSB 16	1	1
	NRR/DSI/PSB 19	1	1	NRR/DSI/RAB 22	1	1
	NRR/DSI/RAB 23	1	1	NRR/DST/LGB 33	1	1
	REG FILE 04	1	1			
EXTERNAL:	ACRS 41	16	16	BNL (AMDTS ONLY)	1	1
	FEMA-REP DIV 39	1	1	LPDR 03	1	1
	NRC PDR 02	1	1	NSIC 05	1	1
	NTIS	1	1			

TOTAL NUMBER OF COPIES REQUIRED: LTTR

65

63 ENCL

60

58

DF



1. The first part of the document discusses the importance of maintaining accurate records of all transactions. It emphasizes that this is crucial for ensuring the integrity of the financial system and for providing a clear audit trail.

2. The second part of the document outlines the specific procedures for recording transactions. It details the steps involved in entering data into the system, from initial data collection to final verification and posting.

3. The third part of the document addresses the challenges associated with data entry and record keeping. It identifies common errors and provides strategies for minimizing them, such as double-checking entries and using standardized formats.

4. The fourth part of the document discusses the role of technology in improving the efficiency of the record-keeping process. It highlights the benefits of using automated systems and provides examples of how these systems can be implemented.

5. The fifth part of the document concludes by summarizing the key points discussed and reiterates the importance of maintaining accurate records. It also provides a list of references for further reading on the topic.

Washington Public Power Supply System

P.O. Box 968 3000 George Washington Way Richland, Washington 99352 (509) 372-5000

February 18, 1982
G02-82-215
SS-L-02-KSN-82-008

8202260219 820218
PDR ADDCK 05000397
A PDR



Docket No. 50-397

Mr. A. Schwencer, Chief
Licensing Branch No. 2
Division of Licensing
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D.C. 20555

Dear Mr. Schwencer:

Subject: NUCLEAR PROJECT NO. 2
SUBMITTAL OF SECTION 3.10 REWRITE

Enclosed are sixty (60) copies of the rewrite of Section 3.10, "Seismic and Dynamic Qualification of Safety Related Instrumentation and Electrical Equipment". This rewrite will be incorporated into Amendment No. 24 of the FSAR.

Very truly yours,

G. D. Bouchay
Deputy Director, Safety and Security

KSN/jca
Enclosures

cc: R Auluck - NRC
WS Chin - BPA
R Feil - NRC Site

Boo1
S11



3. 1941 1942 1943 1944 1945 1946 1947 1948 1949 1950 1951 1952 1953 1954 1955 1956 1957 1958 1959 1960 1961 1962 1963 1964 1965 1966 1967 1968 1969 1970 1971 1972 1973 1974 1975 1976 1977 1978 1979 1980 1981 1982 1983 1984 1985 1986 1987 1988 1989 1990 1991 1992 1993 1994 1995 1996 1997 1998 1999 2000 2001 2002 2003 2004 2005 2006 2007 2008 2009 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2020 2021 2022 2023 2024 2025 2026 2027 2028 2029 2030 2031 2032 2033 2034 2035 2036 2037 2038 2039 2040 2041 2042 2043 2044 2045 2046 2047 2048 2049 2050 2051 2052 2053 2054 2055 2056 2057 2058 2059 2060 2061 2062 2063 2064 2065 2066 2067 2068 2069 2070 2071 2072 2073 2074 2075 2076 2077 2078 2079 2080 2081 2082 2083 2084 2085 2086 2087 2088 2089 2090 2091 2092 2093 2094 2095 2096 2097 2098 2099 2100 2101 2102 2103 2104 2105 2106 2107 2108 2109 2110 2111 2112 2113 2114 2115 2116 2117 2118 2119 2120 2121 2122 2123 2124 2125 2126 2127 2128 2129 2130 2131 2132 2133 2134 2135 2136 2137 2138 2139 2140 2141 2142 2143 2144 2145 2146 2147 2148 2149 2150 2151 2152 2153 2154 2155 2156 2157 2158 2159 2160 2161 2162 2163 2164 2165 2166 2167 2168 2169 2170 2171 2172 2173 2174 2175 2176 2177 2178 2179 2180 2181 2182 2183 2184 2185 2186 2187 2188 2189 2190 2191 2192 2193 2194 2195 2196 2197 2198 2199 2200 2201 2202 2203 2204 2205 2206 2207 2208 2209 2210 2211 2212 2213 2214 2215 2216 2217 2218 2219 2220 2221 2222 2223 2224 2225 2226 2227 2228 2229 2230 2231 2232 2233 2234 2235 2236 2237 2238 2239 2240 2241 2242 2243 2244 2245 2246 2247 2248 2249 2250 2251 2252 2253 2254 2255 2256 2257 2258 2259 2260 2261 2262 2263 2264 2265 2266 2267 2268 2269 2270 2271 2272 2273 2274 2275 2276 2277 2278 2279 2280 2281 2282 2283 2284 2285 2286 2287 2288 2289 2290 2291 2292 2293 2294 2295 2296 2297 2298 2299 2300 2301 2302 2303 2304 2305 2306 2307 2308 2309 2310 2311 2312 2313 2314 2315 2316 2317 2318 2319 2320 2321 2322 2323 2324 2325 2326 2327 2328 2329 2330 2331 2332 2333 2334 2335 2336 2337 2338 2339 2340 2341 2342 2343 2344 2345 2346 2347 2348 2349

3.10 SEISMIC AND DYNAMIC QUALIFICATION OF SAFETY RELATED INSTRUMENTATION AND ELECTRICAL EQUIPMENT

3.10.1 SEISMIC AND DYNAMIC QUALIFICATION CRITERIA

3.10.1.1 Safety Related Equipment Identification

Table 3.2-1 is a list of the seismic category I equipment arranged by system.

A list of all engineered safety feature systems and associated class IE equipment has been prepared. All parameters required to perform the qualification evaluation have been determined, including normal and accident operational requirements, operating data and manufacturers' data. The location of the equipment has been verified by plant walk down to insure the appropriateness of response spectra. The IE equipment list is being periodically updated and kept current in the Supply System computer.

Class IE is defined per IEEE 323-1974 as follows:

The safety classification of the electric equipment and systems that are essential to emergency reactor shutdown, containment isolation, reactor core cooling, and containment and reactor heat removal, or otherwise are essential in preventing significant release of radioactive material to the environment.

Instrumentation for the operator to follow the course of an accident was also defined as class IE. This includes instrumentation identified as a result of TMI-2 Lessons Learned and Regulatory Guide 1.97. The list also includes equipment supporting structures.

Table 3.10-1 is a sub-set of that list, showing the level 1 and 2 equipment which has use codes 1, 2 and 4. (see notes to table 3.10-1 for explanation.) The equipment is listed by equipment piece number (EPN). The complete list is available at any time.

The equipment furnished by the General Electric Company, our NSSS Contractor, was purchased under contract 2 and 59. Any equipment which shows a 59 or any number beginning in 02 in the contract column in table 3.10-1 was purchased from General Electric. The remainder were supplied by B.O.P. Contractors and monitored by Burns and Roe, Corp., our architect/engineer.

3.10.1.2 Criteria For Acceptability

The original equipment seismic qualification requirement for

Washington Public Power Supply System Nuclear Project Number 2 (WNP-2) were described in the PSAR. These requirements specified that NSSS & BOP equipment be designed and tested to good industry practices. IEEE-344.1971 represented the established industry practiced at that time and equipment purchases were made to these requirements.

In March 1979 first questions to our FSAR were received which notified the Supply System that the NRC would review our equipment seismic qualification to upgraded criteria. This criteria was defined as IEEE-364.1975 as supplemented by Regulatory Guides 1.100 and 1.92 and Standard Review Plan section 3.9.2 and 3.10. A meeting of Lead BWR Plants was held at NRC offices in Bethesda to further define the NRC's expectations of the Licensees. At this meeting it became clear that the staff required a complete review (reevaluation) of the seismic/hydrodynamic load basis along with reevaluation of past equipment qualification documentation be performed by the licensees. The NRC staff would then assemble a Seismic Qualification Review Team (SQAT) to conduct a site visit to audit the Qualification Program and equipment installation.

The Supply System has undertaken an aggressive equipment qualification program to assure all class 1E equipment will perform their safety function during seismic/hydrodynamic loading conditions postulated to occur at WNP-2. This program includes:

- a. Identification of Class 1E equipment.
- b. Definition of seismic and hydrodynamic loads.
- c. Collecting of seismic qualification documentation.
- d. Reevaluation of the seismic qualification documentation to current criteria.
- e. Identification of document deficiencies.
- f. Corrective action or identified deficiencies.

All seismic category I instrumentation and electrical equipment was designed to withstand the effects of the safe shutdown earthquake (SSE) whose motion is described in 3.7.1.

The safety related (class 1E) instrumentation and electrical equipment have been reevaluated in order to assure performance of their safety function during and after OBE's, SSE and/or the hydrodynamic loads which result from a loss of coolant accident or other design basis event.

Hydrodynamic loads as described in Revision 3 to the "Plant Design Assessment Report for SRV and LOCA Loads" (DAR) were limited to equipment located within containment or pipe mounted equipment located between containment and the first anchor point outside containment. For that equipment the hydrodynamic response spectra was added by absolute sum to the response due to the SSE computed using the finite element Soil Structure Interaction Analysis as described in the responses to NRC questions 130.053, 130.055 and 130.056. These questions were raised at the NRC Structural Engineering Branch Meeting held at Burns and Roe, Woodbury in September 1981.

The equipment effected by hydrodynamic loads is designated in table 3.10-1 with a "Y" (yes) in the column headed by H.L. (hydrodynamic loads).

The Equipment located in other buildings was reevaluated for the motion caused by the SSE. That motion is defined by the lumped mass-stick model analysis described in section 3.7.1.

The reevaluation has been based on IEEE 344-1975 "IEEE Guide for Seismic Qualification of Class I Electric Equipment for Nuclear Power Generating Stations" as supplemented by regulatory guide 1.100 and section 3.10 of NUREG-0800, "Standard Review Plan" there are four exceptions to the use of these criteria. These are as follows:

- a. Interim criteria have been established to re-evaluate equipment mounted on piping systems whose analyses have not been completed. The interim criteria are to use the peak of the applicable .5% damping floor response spectrum above 8 hertz as input acceleration for analysis or for Sine Dwell Testing. The piping systems are being designed, in turn, not to respond to frequencies less than 8 hertz. When the piping analyses are completed the computed acceleration of the equipment will be compared to the interim acceleration criteria to verify the interim criteria as conservative.
- b. Equipment which was qualified by testing using single frequency motion was reevaluated using the following criteria to establish its adequacy.
 1. If the equipment is rigid (no resonant frequency below the Z.P.A. of the applicable response spectra) the test input acceleration must be greater than the acceleration corresponding to the ZPA of the response spectrum of the mounting point of the equipment.

2. If the equipment has only one natural frequency, the response acceleration to the test motion must be calculated at the appropriate damping ratio. To account for cross coupling, the required response acceleration is calculated by multiplying the acceleration corresponding to the equipment's natural frequency found on the applicable response spectrum by square root of 2 (1.41). If test response acceleration exceeds the required response acceleration, the test motion is considered adequate for requalification of the equipment.
 3. If the equipment has multiple resonant frequencies, it must be tested at each of them. The response to each test must be calculated at each resonant frequency. That is, the response to a test at one frequency is calculated at that frequency and at all other resonant frequencies. The responses are then combined using the square root of the sum of the squares (SRSS) method. The test motion is considered adequate if the SRSS of the response accelerations to every test is greater than 1.4 times the SRSS of the accelerations found at the resonant frequencies on the applicable response spectrum.
 4. If the equipment has closely spaced modes, the criteria of 3., above, is used except the responses to the closely spaced modes combined by the absolute sum rather than SRSS.
- c. For equipment which is panel or rack mounted, the maximum transmissibility of the panel or rack is found by a combination of testing and analysis. The ZPA of the applicable response spectrum is then multiplied by this transmissibility to find the required acceleration for the equipment. Test accelerations of the equipment are then compared with the calculated required acceleration to establish qualification of the equipment.
 - d. IEEE-344-1975 references IEEE-323-1974. Section 6.3.5 of IEEE-323-1974 recommends thermal and radiation aging before vibration testing. It has not been shown that normal service condition environmental aging reduces equipment's ability to withstand a seismic event. The Electric Power Research Institute (EPRI) is running tests to find if a relationship exists. The Supply System is

monitoring those tests. If those tests show that the relationship exists for particular equipment we will reconsider normal service aging effects for that equipment.

For IE equipment located in harsh environments which has not been previously environmentally qualified (see 3.11) aging will be considered prior to seismic/hydrodynamic testing. For all other equipment consideration of aging will not be required prior to IEEE-344-1975 testing.

All of the equipment which is shown in table 3.10-1 as qualified has been qualified by testing, by analysis or by a combination of testing and analysis. The list shows the method of qualification.

Each tested piece of equipment has been shown to be operable during and after the test. The test specimens were checked for spurious operation during testing. If there were spurious operations, it was determined that they had no detrimental effects on the safety function of the equipment.

Operability by analysis was demonstrated only if moving parts coming into contact with other objects was the only mode of failure. In those cases operability was shown with deflection calculations showing that a gap still remained at maximum load. Also, it was shown that accepted stress limits were not exceeded.

The damping valves used in analyses are those specified in regulatory guide 1.61 unless another was justified and documented.

In the analyses performed, horizontal and vertical loads are assumed to occur simultaneously in the most unfavorable combinations. Normal operating loads are also combined with the accident loads to produce the most severe stress combination. The "no loss of function" stresses are limited to 90% of the materials minimum yield strength with an SSE added to hydrodynamic loads and the normal operating loads.

