

CATEGORY 1

REGULATORY INFORMATION DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM (RIDS)

ACCESSION NBR: 9709040311 DOC. DATE: 97/08/27 NOTARIZED: NO DOCKET #
FACIL: 50-244 Robert Emmet Ginna Nuclear Plant, Unit 1, Rochester G 05000244
AUTH. NAME AUTHOR AFFILIATION
HESSLER, N.D. Rochester Gas & Electric Corp.
MECREDY, R.C. Rochester Gas & Electric Corp.
RECIP. NAME RECIPIENT AFFILIATION
Document Control Branch (Document Control Desk)

SUBJECT: Forwards FFD Performance Data for Ginna Station for six months ending 970630.

DISTRIBUTION CODE: A021D COPIES RECEIVED: LTR 1 ENCL 1 SIZE: 4
TITLE: "Semiannual Fitness for Duty Program Performance Rept/Data" 10CFR26.7

NOTES: License Exp date in accordance with 10CFR2,2.109(9/19/72). 05000244

	RECIPIENT ID CODE/NAME	COPIES LTTR ENCL	RECIPIENT ID CODE/NAME	COPIES LTTR ENCL
	PD1-1 PD	1 1	VISSING, G.	1 1
INTERNAL:	FILE CENTER 01	1 1	NRR/DRPM/PSGB	1 1
	NUDOGS-ABSTRACT	1 1	RGN1	1 1
EXTERNAL:	NOAC	1 1	NRC PDR	1 1

NOTE TO ALL "RIDS" RECIPIENTS:
PLEASE HELP US TO REDUCE WASTE. TO HAVE YOUR NAME OR ORGANIZATION REMOVED FROM DISTRIBUTION LISTS OR REDUCE THE NUMBER OF COPIES RECEIVED BY YOU OR YOUR ORGANIZATION, CONTACT THE DOCUMENT CONTROL DESK (DCD) ON EXTENSION 415-2083

TOTAL NUMBER OF COPIES REQUIRED: LTTR 8 ENCL 8

1002100

1002100

1002100



ROCHESTER GAS AND ELECTRIC CORPORATION • 89 EAST AVENUE, ROCHESTER, N.Y. 14649-0001



AREA CODE 716 546-2700

August 27, 1997

Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Attention: Document Control Desk
Mail Stop P1-137
Washington, D.C. 20555

Gentlemen:

According to the provisions of NRC Rule 10CFR Part 26.71(d), please find enclosed is the Fitness For Duty Performance Data for the six months ending June 30, 1997.

If you have any questions or comments, please feel free to contact us.

Very truly yours,

B. Timothy Browne for Nancy D. Hessler

Nancy D. Hessler
Group Manager
Human Resource Services

Robert C. Mecredy

Robert C. Mecredy
Vice President
Nuclear Operations

11

APR 1

9709040311 970827
PDR ADOCK 05000244
R PDR



**Fitness for Duty Program
Performance Data
Personnel Subject to 10CFR 26**

Rochester Gas and Electric Corporation		June 30, 1997
Company		6 Months Ending
Ginna Station, Lake Road, Ontario, NY		
Location		
Lynn I. Hauck	(716) 771-2232	
Contact Name		Phone (include area code)
Cutoffs: Screen/Confirmation (ng/ml) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Appendix A to 10CFR 26 , except as listed below:		
Marijuana	50 ml/ 15 ml	Amphetamines / _____ /
Cocaine	/	Phencyclidine / _____ /
Opiates	/	Alcohol (% BAC) _____ /

Testing Results		Licensee Employees		Long-Term Contractor Personnel		Short-Term Contractor Personnel	
Average Number with Unescorted Access		552		63		113	
Categories		# Tested	# Positive	# Tested	# Positive	# Tested	# Positive
Pre-Access		37	0	3	0	71	0
For Cause	Post accident	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Observed behavior	0	0	0	0	0	0
Random		176	0	26	0	54	0
Follow-up		10	0	0	0	6	0
Other		0	0	0	0	0	0
Total		223	0	29	0	131	0

Breakdown of Confirmed Positive Tests for Specific Substances

	Marijuana	Cocaine	Opiates	Amphetamine	Phencyclidine	Alcohol	Refusal to Test	1	2	3	4	5	
Licensee Employees													
Long-Term Contractors													
Short-Term Contractors													A
Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	0						0

ROCHESTER GAS AND ELECTRIC CORPORATION
GINNA STATION

PERFORMANCE DATA FORM
SEMI-ANNUAL REPORT
Six Months Ending June 30, 1997

SUMMARY OF MANAGEMENT ACTIONS

FFD PROGRAM

No significant program changes or events occurred in the six months ending June 30, 1997.

RG&E's annual testing rate is 50%.

NRC Inspection 50-244/97-03

The inspection of the FFD Program was conducted April 28-30, 1997. The inspector found the program to satisfactorily meet regulatory requirements.

The inspector also reviewed the corrective actions taken for deficiencies related to two previously identified FFD violations with the following results:

(Closed) VIO 50-244/96-10-01: Failure to Provide Required FFD Supervisor Refresher Training

(Closed) VIO 50-244/96-10-02: Failure to Test Persons Selected for Random FFD Testing

Conclusion

Based on reviews of applicable documentation and discussions with FFD management, the inspector determined that the corrective actions implemented to address the subject violations were reasonable, complete, and appeared to be effective.

