

REGULATORY INFORMATION DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM (RIDS)

ACCESSION NBR: 8203300163 DOC. DATE: 82/03/25 NOTARIZED: NO DOCKET #  
 FACIL: 50-244 Robert Emmet Ginna Nuclear Plant, Unit 1, Rochester G 05000244  
 AUTH. NAME AUTHOR AFFILIATION  
 CAPLAN, R.N. Sierra Club  
 RECIP. NAME RECIPIENT AFFILIATION  
 DENTON, H. Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation, Director

SUBJECT: Corrects Page 3 to 820311 petition for order to show cause.

DISTRIBUTION CODE: YE03S COPIES RECEIVED: LTR 1 ENCL 0 SIZE: 3  
 TITLE: Request for NRR Action (e.g. 2.206 Petitions) & Related Correspondence

NOTES: 1 copy: SEP Sect. Ldr.

05000244

	RECIPIENT ID CODE/NAME		COPIES LTR ENCL		RECIPIENT ID CODE/NAME		COPIES LTR ENCL
	ORB #5 BC		1		ORB #5 LA		1
	LYONS, J.	01	1				
INTERNAL:	EDO/ACB		1		ELD		1
	ELD/RED		1		NRR DIR		1
	NRR/PPAS		1		REG FILE	04	1
EXTERNAL:	LPDR	03	1		NRC PDR	02	1
	NSIC	05	1		NTIS		1

TOTAL NUMBER OF COPIES REQUIRED: LTR

14

1/3 ENCL

0

1/3



# SIERRA CLUB




530 Bush Street San Francisco, California 94108 (415) 981-8634

Please reply to: 278 Washington Blvd.  
Oswego, New York 13126

March 25, 1982

Harold Denton, Director  
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation  
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Washington, D.C. 20555

Re: Docket No. 50-244



Dear Mr. Denton:

The Sierra Club wishes to correct an inadvertent omission from its Petition for Order to Show Cause, which was submitted to your office on March 11, 1982. Page 3 of the Petition should be corrected to include 2.b. and should read as follows:

2. The adequacy of the steam generator tube testing program should be evaluated and a determination made regarding the following issues:

- a. Is the routine multi-frequency eddy current testing method being employed at Ginna the best available given current state-of-the-art? If not, what justification is there for not employing the best available technology, in light of chronic tube degradation problems at Ginna and at other PWR's and the existence of techniques such as fiber optic examination?
- b. Is the frequency of required testing of tubes sufficient to prevent future tube rupture or other serious break?
- c. Does the current testing program, which only tests a sample of tubes and which does not test their full length, provide sufficient information to prevent tube failure?

YE03  
s  
1/0



We are sorry for any inconvenience to your office due to this omission.

Very truly yours,

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "Ruth N. Caplan", followed by a long horizontal flourish line.

Ruth N. Caplan, Chair  
Sierra Club National Energy  
Committee

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA  
DO hereby certify that

the following is a true and correct copy of the

original as the same appears in the records of the  
Department of the Interior