

REGULATORY INFORMATION DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM (RIDS)

ACCESSION NBR: 8306170032 DOC. DATE: 83/06/09 NOTARIZED: NO DOCKET #
 FAGIL: 50-244 Robert Emmet Ginna Nuclear Plant, Unit 1, Rochester G 05000244
 AUTH. NAME: MAIER, J. E. AUTHOR AFFILIATION: Rochester Gas & Electric Corp.
 RECIP. NAME: CRUTCHFIELD, D. RECIPIENT AFFILIATION: Operating Reactors Branch 5


SUBJECT: Discusses NRC 830428 ltr re blocking of safety injection signal during cooldown. Tech Specs will be submitted to reflect clarification of permissible bypass conditions. No Tech Spec Mod required for steam line isolation.

DISTRIBUTION CODE: A001S COPIES RECEIVED: LTR 1 ENCL 0 SIZE: 1
 TITLE: OR Submittal: General Distribution

NOTES: NRR/DL/SEP 1cy.

05000244

RECIPIENT		COPIES		RECIPIENT		COPIES	
ID	CODE/NAME	LTTR	ENCL	ID	CODE/NAME	LTTR	ENCL
NRR	ORB5 BC 01	7					
INTERNAL:	ELD/HDS4	1		NRR/DE/MTEB		1	
	NRR/DL DIR	1		NRR/DL/ORAB		1	
	NRR/DSI/METB	1		NRR/DSI/RAB		1	
	REG FILE 04	1		RGN1		1	
EXTERNAL:	ACRS 09	6		LPDR 03		1	
	NRC PDR 02	1		NSIC 05		1	
	NTIS	1					
NOTES:		1					





ROCHESTER GAS AND ELECTRIC CORPORATION • 89 EAST AVENUE, ROCHESTER, N.Y. 14649

JOHN E. MAIER
Vice President

TELEPHONE
AREA CODE 716 546-2700



June 9, 1983

Director of Nuclear Reactor Regulation
Attention: Mr. Dennis M. Crutchfield, Chief
Operating Reactors Branch No. 5
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D.C. 20555

Subject: Blocking of Safety Injection Signal During Cooldown
(Letter LS05-83-04-072 dated April 28, 1983)
R. E. Ginna Nuclear Power Plant
Docket No. 50-244


Dear Mr. Crutchfield:

The enclosure to the above-referenced letter contained two comments regarding the Ginna facility Technical Specifications.

1. Review of the Technical Specifications has resulted in the conclusion that clarification of the permissible bypass conditions for the Safety Injection functions is in order. Specifically, "permissible bypass conditions" should be shown for "Steam Generator Low Steam Pressure/Loop" and "Pressurizer Low Pressure". A permissible bypass condition should not be shown for "Manual" or for "High Containment Pressure". A change in Technical Specifications will be submitted to you in the near future to reflect the above.
2. Table 3.5-3 is correct in indicating no conditions under which Steam Line Isolation can be bypassed. The safety injection signal can be bypassed as indicated in our response to your first comment. Since this bypass is listed elsewhere in the technical specifications, however, we consider it inappropriate to list it in Table 3.5-3. Thus, no change to the Technical Specifications will be proposed concerning this item.

Very truly yours,

John E. Maier
John E. Maier



Ado
1/0

