

REGULATORY INFORMATION DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM (RIDS)

ACCESSION NBR: 8301180293 DOC. DATE: 83/01/10 NOTARIZED: NO DOCKET #
FACIL: 50-244 Robert Emmet Ginna Nuclear Plant, Unit 1, Rochester G 05000244
AUTH. NAME AUTHOR AFFILIATION
MAIER, J. E. Rochester Gas & Electric Corp.
RECIP. NAME RECIPIENT AFFILIATION
CRUTCHFIELD, D. Operating Reactors Branch 5

SUBJECT: Notifies that Franklin Research Ctr 820528 technical evaluation rept re environ qualification of electrical equipment contains proprietary info. Justification for withholding will be provided by 830301.

DISTRIBUTION CODE: A048S COPIES RECEIVED: LTR 1 / ENCL 1 SIZE: 2
TITLE: OR/Licensing Submittal: Equipment Qualification

NOTES: NRR/DL/SEP 1cy. 05000244


RECIPIENT		COPIES		RECIPIENT		COPIES	
ID CODE/NAME		LTTR	ENCL	ID CODE/NAME		LTTR	ENCL
NRR ORB5 BC	12	1	0	DICK, G	01	1	1
INTERNAL: ELD/HDS4	12	1	1	GC	13	1	1
IE FILE	09	1	1	NRR CALVO, J		1	1
NRR/DE/EQB	07	2	2	NRR/DL DIR	14	1	1
NRR/DL/ORAB	06	1	1	NRR/DSI/AEB		1	1
NRR/DST/GIB		1	1	REG FILE	04	1	1
RGN1		1	1				
EXTERNAL: ACRS	15	8	8	LPDR	03	1	1
NRC PDR	02	1	1	NSIC	05	1	1
NTIS	31	1	1				
NOTES:		1	1				

1. CHURCHILL, R.
 2. CHURCHILL, R.
 3. CHURCHILL, R.
 4. CHURCHILL, R.
 5. CHURCHILL, R.
 6. CHURCHILL, R.
 7. CHURCHILL, R.
 8. CHURCHILL, R.
 9. CHURCHILL, R.
 10. CHURCHILL, R.
 11. CHURCHILL, R.
 12. CHURCHILL, R.
 13. CHURCHILL, R.
 14. CHURCHILL, R.
 15. CHURCHILL, R.
 16. CHURCHILL, R.
 17. CHURCHILL, R.
 18. CHURCHILL, R.
 19. CHURCHILL, R.
 20. CHURCHILL, R.
 21. CHURCHILL, R.
 22. CHURCHILL, R.
 23. CHURCHILL, R.
 24. CHURCHILL, R.
 25. CHURCHILL, R.
 26. CHURCHILL, R.
 27. CHURCHILL, R.
 28. CHURCHILL, R.
 29. CHURCHILL, R.
 30. CHURCHILL, R.
 31. CHURCHILL, R.
 32. CHURCHILL, R.
 33. CHURCHILL, R.
 34. CHURCHILL, R.
 35. CHURCHILL, R.
 36. CHURCHILL, R.
 37. CHURCHILL, R.
 38. CHURCHILL, R.
 39. CHURCHILL, R.
 40. CHURCHILL, R.
 41. CHURCHILL, R.
 42. CHURCHILL, R.
 43. CHURCHILL, R.
 44. CHURCHILL, R.
 45. CHURCHILL, R.
 46. CHURCHILL, R.
 47. CHURCHILL, R.
 48. CHURCHILL, R.
 49. CHURCHILL, R.
 50. CHURCHILL, R.
 51. CHURCHILL, R.
 52. CHURCHILL, R.
 53. CHURCHILL, R.
 54. CHURCHILL, R.
 55. CHURCHILL, R.
 56. CHURCHILL, R.
 57. CHURCHILL, R.
 58. CHURCHILL, R.
 59. CHURCHILL, R.
 60. CHURCHILL, R.
 61. CHURCHILL, R.
 62. CHURCHILL, R.
 63. CHURCHILL, R.
 64. CHURCHILL, R.
 65. CHURCHILL, R.
 66. CHURCHILL, R.
 67. CHURCHILL, R.
 68. CHURCHILL, R.
 69. CHURCHILL, R.
 70. CHURCHILL, R.
 71. CHURCHILL, R.
 72. CHURCHILL, R.
 73. CHURCHILL, R.
 74. CHURCHILL, R.
 75. CHURCHILL, R.
 76. CHURCHILL, R.
 77. CHURCHILL, R.
 78. CHURCHILL, R.
 79. CHURCHILL, R.
 80. CHURCHILL, R.
 81. CHURCHILL, R.
 82. CHURCHILL, R.
 83. CHURCHILL, R.
 84. CHURCHILL, R.
 85. CHURCHILL, R.
 86. CHURCHILL, R.
 87. CHURCHILL, R.
 88. CHURCHILL, R.
 89. CHURCHILL, R.
 90. CHURCHILL, R.
 91. CHURCHILL, R.
 92. CHURCHILL, R.
 93. CHURCHILL, R.
 94. CHURCHILL, R.
 95. CHURCHILL, R.
 96. CHURCHILL, R.
 97. CHURCHILL, R.
 98. CHURCHILL, R.
 99. CHURCHILL, R.
 100. CHURCHILL, R.

NOTES: The information contained in this document is the property of the Department of Defense and is to be controlled in accordance with the provisions of the Arms Control and Disarmament Act, 1968, and the Arms Control and Disarmament Act, 1971. The information contained in this document is to be controlled in accordance with the provisions of the Arms Control and Disarmament Act, 1968, and the Arms Control and Disarmament Act, 1971. The information contained in this document is to be controlled in accordance with the provisions of the Arms Control and Disarmament Act, 1968, and the Arms Control and Disarmament Act, 1971.

REF ID: A68097

[illegible]



ROCHESTER GAS AND ELECTRIC CORPORATION • 89 EAST AVENUE, ROCHESTER, N.Y. 14649

JOHN E. MAIER
Vice President

TELEPHONE
AREA CODE 716 546-2700

January 10, 1983

Director of Nuclear Reactor Regulation
Attention: Mr. Dennis M. Crutchfield, Chief
Operating Reactors Branch No. 5
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D.C. 20555

Subject: Environmental Qualification of Electrical Equipment
R. E. Ginna Nuclear Power Plant
Docket No. 50-244

Dear Mr. Crutchfield:

Your letter dated December 13, 1982, and received December 20, 1982, transmitted the Safety Evaluation Report for the Environmental Qualification of Safety-Related Electrical Equipment for Ginna and a Franklin Research Center Technical Evaluation Report dated May 28, 1982 addressing the same subject. A number of responses were requested.

We were requested to inform you within 7 days of receipt whether any information in the TER was proprietary. On December 20, 1982, we informed a member of your Staff, Mr. James Lyons, that the TER did contain proprietary information. We were requested to provide written justification for protection from public disclosure within 20 days. We will attempt to provide this by March 1, 1983. We estimate that this much time will be required to obtain the required affidavits from owners of the information for which non disclosure will be requested. We were also requested to provide additional technical information within 30 days. We will provide a preliminary response to this request by February 1, 1983 and a final response by April 4, 1983. Finally, a complete technical response including plans for qualification or replacement was requested within 90 days. We will provide this response by June 15, 1983. This amount of time is required to provide these responses for a variety of reasons. First, personnel who will be responsible for preparing the responses are currently involved in preparation of a number of technical reviews to which we are already committed for the Systematic Evaluation Program (SEP). These SEP responses are required prior to NRC issuance of Safety Evaluation Reports

A048



THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO

PHYSICS DEPARTMENT

1963

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

DATE January 10, 1983

TO Mr. Dennis M. Crutchfield

supporting SEP and the Ginna Full Term Operating License Conversion. Second, it appears that some information previously submitted to the NRC and their contractor has not been used in the NRC's review. Additional reviews will be required by RG&E based on lack of response to this information. Third, several issues were referred to the NRC Staff by the NRC contractor for decision; however, no decision was made. Lack of NRC decision will require additional effort on our part to generate responses, particularly since the TER had been available to the NRC since May, 1982.

We believe these schedules are acceptable based on justifications for continued operation which have been submitted previously and the updated preliminary version of which will be submitted by February 1, 1983.

Very truly yours,


John E. Maier

