

REGULATORY INFORMATION DISTRIBUTION (RIDS)

ACCESSION NBR: 8204090283 DOC. DATE: 82/04/05 NOTARIZED: NO DOCKET #
 FACIL: 50-389 St. Lucie Plant, Unit 2, Florida Power & Light Co. 05000389
 AUTH. NAME AUTHOR AFFILIATION
 HANKLA, D.J. Interior, Dept. of, Fish & Wildlife Service
 RECIP. NAME RECIPIENT AFFILIATION
 MIRAGLIA, F.J. Licensing Branch 3

SUBJECT: Concurs in NRC determination that remaining const. activities
 & operation of unit will have no effect on listed species
 under Jurisdiction of Fish & Wildlife Svc.

DISTRIBUTION CODE: C002B COPIES RECEIVED: LTR 1 ENCL 0 SIZE: 2
 TITLE: Environ. Comments.

NOTES:

	RECIPIENT ID CODE/NAME	COPIES LTTR ENCL		RECIPIENT ID CODE/NAME	COPIES LTTR ENCL
	LIC BR #3 BC 06	7		NERSES, V. 01	1
INTERNAL:	ELD	1		IE 13	1
	NRR/DE/AEAB 20	1		NRR/DE/EEB 16	1
	NRR/DE/HGEB 21	1		NRR/DE/SAB 18	1
	NRR/DSI/AEB 19	1		NRR/DSI/ETSB 15	1
	NRR/DSI/RAB 17	1		<u>REG FILE</u> 04	1
	RGN2	1			
EXTERNAL:	ACRS	1		LPDR 03	1
	NATL LAB 21	5		NRC PDR 02	1
	NSIC 05	1		NTIS	1

TOTAL NUMBER OF COPIES REQUIRED: LTTR 29 ENCL 0

Figure 1. The effect of the concentration of the *Agrobacterium* suspension on the transformation efficiency of *Agrobacterium* strains. The *Agrobacterium* strains were grown in the YEA medium for 24 h at 28 °C. The cell concentration of the strains was adjusted to 10⁸ cells/ml. The cell suspension was mixed with the plant tissue and incubated for 24 h at 28 °C. The plant tissue was then cultured on the selective medium. The transformation efficiency was determined as the number of transformants per 100 mg of plant tissue. The data are the mean ± SD of three independent experiments.

[illegible][illegible]




United States Department of the Interior

FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE

15 NORTH LAURA STREET
JACKSONVILLE, FLORIDA 32202

April 5, 1982



Mr. Frank J. Miraglia, Chief
Licensing Branch No. 3
Division of Licensing
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D.C. 20555

RE: FWS Log No. 4-1-81-076

Dear Mr. Miraglia:

The Fish and Wildlife Service has reviewed the supplement to the Endangered or Threatened Species Assessment for the St. Lucie Plant, Unit 2, Operating License Stage Review, forwarded to this office on March 24, 1982. This letter augments our letter of January 19, 1982, regarding Section 7 requirements of the Endangered Species Act for the St. Lucie Power Station located on Hutchinson Island, St. Lucie County, Florida.

Under provisions of the staff recommendations contained on pages iii-v of the Assessment, the NRC license would require certain studies by the applicant. In particular, the applicant has agreed to conduct a beach survey and nest relocation program during this season's construction activity and nesting surveys for 5 years thereafter. Additional conditions have been identified to evaluate and minimize entrainment and recovery impacts to marine turtles. We note that a built-in interagency review of the entire program has been planned which will be triggered by the results of proposed entrainment studies. We believe that this is a prudent approach and will serve to maintain program flexibility while requiring progress toward a solution to the entrainment problem.

Based on information contained in the initial and supplemental biological assessments, and provided that the staff recommendations are made a part of the NRC License, the Service concurs in your determination that the remaining construction activities and the operation of both St. Lucie units will have no effect on any listed species under jurisdiction of this agency. However, we request that a report of the nest survey and relocation program be provided to this office and that the Fish and Wildlife Service be afforded an opportunity to participate in the interagency program review meeting.

COO2
B/
1/0

8204090283 820405
PDR ADOCK 05000389
A PDR

This does not constitute a Biological Opinion as described in Section 7 of the Endangered Species Act. However, it does fulfill the requirements of the Act and no further action on your part is required. If modifications are made in the project or if additional facts involving impacts on listed species arise, consultation should be reinitiated.

Sincerely yours,

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "Donald J. Hankla".

Donald J. Hankla
Area Manager

1. The first part of the report is a summary of the work done during the past year. It covers the general progress of the project and the results of the various experiments. The summary is followed by a detailed description of the work done during the past year.

2. The second part of the report is a description of the work done during the past year.

3. The third part of the report is a description of the work done during the past year.

4. The fourth part of the report is a description of the work done during the past year.

5. The fifth part of the report is a description of the work done during the past year.

6. The sixth part of the report is a description of the work done during the past year.