

| Facility: <b>Monticello Nuclear Generating Plant</b> Date of Examination: <b>November 2016</b> Operating Test Number: <b>2016-301</b>                                     |   |                  |     |     |
|---|---|------------------|-----|-----|
| 1. General Criteria   |   | Initials         |     |     |
|   |   | a                | b*  | c#  |
| a.  | The operating test conforms with the previously approved outline; changes are consistent with sampling requirements (e.g., 10 CFR 55.45, operational importance, safety function distribution).   | J                | N/A | RUB |
| b.  | There is no day-to-day repetition between this and other operating tests to be administered during this examination.  | J                |     | RUB |
| c.  | The operating test shall not duplicate items from the applicants' audit test(s). (see Section D.1.a.)   | J                |     | RUB |
| d.  | Overlap with the written examination and between different parts of the operating test is within acceptable limits.   | J                |     | RUB |
| e.  | It appears that the operating test will differentiate between competent and less-than-competent applicants at the designated license level.   | J                |     | RUB |
| 2. Walk-Through Criteria  |   | --               | --  | --  |
| a.  | Each JPM includes the following, as applicable: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>initial conditions</li> <li>initiating cues</li> <li>references and tools, including associated procedures</li> <li>reasonable and validated time limits (average time allowed for completion) and specific designation if deemed to be time-critical by the facility licensee</li> <li>operationally important specific performance criteria that include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>detailed expected actions with exact criteria and nomenclature</li> <li>system response and other examiner cues</li> <li>statements describing important observations to be made by the applicant</li> <li>criteria for successful completion of the task</li> <li>identification of critical steps and their associated performance standards</li> <li>restrictions on the sequence of steps, if applicable</li> </ul> </li> </ul> | J                |     | RUB |
| b.  | Ensure that any changes from the previously approved systems and administrative walk-through outlines (Forms ES-301-1 and 2) have not caused the test to deviate from any of the acceptance criteria (e.g., item distribution, bank use, repetition from the last 2 NRC examinations) specified on those forms and Form ES-201-2.   | J                |     | RUB |
| 3. Simulator Criteria   |   | --               | --  | --  |
| The associated simulator operating tests (scenario sets) have been reviewed in accordance with Form ES-301-4 and a copy is attached.                                      |   | J                | ↓   | RUB |
| Printed Name / Signature  |   | Date             |     |     |
| a.  | Author <u>David W Reesor / David W Reesor</u>   | <u>11/7/2016</u> |     |     |
| b.  | Facility Reviewer(*) <u>N/A</u>   | <u>N/A</u>       |     |     |
| c.  | NRC Chief Examiner (#) <u>PAUDAL DEAN BAKER / Paul Dean Baker</u>   | <u>11/7/16</u>   |     |     |
| d.  | NRC Supervisor <u>Robert J. Orlikowski / R. Orlikowski</u>  | <u>11/7/2016</u> |     |     |
| NOTE: * The facility signature is not applicable for NRC-developed tests.<br># Independent NRC reviewer initial items in Column "c"; chief examiner concurrence required. |   |                  |     |     |