





Facility: <u>Ginna</u>		Date of Examination: <u>3/12-24/17</u>
Developed by: Written: Facility <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NRC <input type="checkbox"/> // Operating Facility <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NRC <input type="checkbox"/>		
Target Date*	Task Description (Reference)	Chief Examiner's Initials
-180	1. Examination administration date confirmed (C.1.a; C.2.a and b)	<i>VS</i>
-150	2. NRC examiners and facility contact assigned (C.1.d; C.2.e)	<i>VS</i>
-150	3. Facility contact briefed on security and other requirements (C.2.c)	<i>VS</i>
-150	4. Corporate notification letter sent (C.2.d)	<i>VS</i>
[-120]	5. Reference material due (C.1.e; C.3.c; Attachment 3)	<i>VS</i>
{-90}	6. Integrated examination outline(s) due, including Forms ES-201-2, ES-201-3, ES-301-1, ES-301-2, ES-301-5, ES-D-1, ES-401-1/2, ES-401N-1/2, ES-401-3, ES-401N-3, ES-401-4, and ES-401N-4, as applicable (C.1.e and f; C.3.d)	<i>VS</i>
{-85}	7. Examination outline(s) reviewed by NRC and feedback provided to facility licensee (C.2.h; C.3.e)	<i>VS</i>
{-60}	8. Proposed examinations (including written, walk-through JPMs, and scenarios, as applicable), supporting documentation (including Forms ES-301-3, ES-301-4, ES-301-5, ES-301-6, and ES-401-6, ES-401N-6, and any Form ES-201-2, ES-201-3, ES-301-1, or ES-301-2 updates), and reference materials due (C.1.e, f, g and h; C.3.d)	<i>VS</i>
-45	9. Written exam and operating test reviews completed. (C.3.f)	<i>VS</i>
-30	10. Preliminary license applications (NRC Form 398's) due (C.1.i; C.2.g; ES-202)	<i>VS</i>
-21	11. Examination approved by NRC supervisor for facility licensee review (C.2.h; C.3.f)	<i>VS</i>
-21	12. Examinations reviewed with facility licensee (C.1.j; C.2.f and h; C.3.g)	<i>VS</i>
-14	13. Final license applications due and Form ES-201-4 prepared (C.1.i; C.2.i; ES-202)	<i>VS</i>
-14	14. Written examinations and operating tests approved by NRC supervisor (C.2.i; C.3.h)	<i>VS</i>
-7	15. Facility licensee management queried regarding the licensee's views on the examination. (C.2.j)	<i>VS</i>
-7	16. Final applications reviewed; 1 or 2 (if >10) applications audited to confirm qualifications / eligibility; and examination approval and waiver letters sent (C.2.i; Attachment 5; ES-202, C.2.e; ES-204)	<i>VS</i>
-7	17. Proctoring/written exam administration guidelines reviewed with facility licensee (C.3.k)	<i>VS</i>
-7	18. Approved scenarios, job performance measures, and questions distributed to NRC examiners (C.3.i)	<i>VS</i>
<p>* Target dates are generally based on facility-prepared examinations and are keyed to the examination date identified in the corporate notification letter. They are for planning purposes and may be adjusted on a case-by-case basis in coordination with the facility licensee.</p> <p>[Applies only] {Does not apply} to examinations prepared by the NRC.</p>		

Facility: Robert E. Ginna		Date of Examination: 03/13/2017		
Item	Task Description	Initials		
		a	b*	c#
1. W R I T T E N	a. Verify that the outline(s) fit(s) the appropriate model, in accordance with ES-401 or ES-401N.	OK	W	JS
	b. Assess whether the outline was systematically and randomly prepared in accordance with Section D.1 of ES-401 or ES-401N and whether all K/A categories are appropriately sampled.	OK	W	JS
	c. Assess whether the outline over-emphasizes any systems, evolutions, or generic topics.	OK	W	JS
	d. Assess whether the justifications for deselected or rejected K/A statements are appropriate.	OK	W	JS
2. S I M U L A T O R	a. Using Form ES-301-5, verify that the proposed scenario sets cover the required number of normal evolutions, instrument and component failures, technical specifications, and major transients.	OK	W	JS
	b. Assess whether there are enough scenario sets (and spares) to test the projected number and mix of applicants in accordance with the expected crew composition and rotation schedule without compromising exam integrity, and ensure that each applicant can be tested using at least one new or significantly modified scenario, that no scenarios are duplicated from the applicants' audit test(s), and that scenarios will not be repeated on subsequent days.	OK	W	JS
	c. To the extent possible, assess whether the outline(s) conform(s) with the qualitative and quantitative criteria specified on Form ES-301-4 and described in Appendix D.	OK	W	JS
3. W A L K T H R O U G H	a. Verify that the systems walk-through outline meets the criteria specified on Form ES-301-2: (1) the outline(s) contain(s) the required number of control room and in-plant tasks distributed among the safety functions as specified on the form (2) task repetition from the last two NRC examinations is within the limits specified on the form (3) no tasks are duplicated from the applicants' audit test(s) (4) the number of new or modified tasks meets or exceeds the minimums specified on the form (5) the number of alternate path, low-power, emergency, and RCA tasks meet the criteria on the form.	OK	W	JS
	b. Verify that the administrative outline meets the criteria specified on Form ES-301-1: (1) the tasks are distributed among the topics as specified on the form (2) at least one task is new or significantly modified (3) no more than one task is repeated from the last two NRC licensing examinations	OK	W	JS
	c. Determine if there are enough different outlines to test the projected number and mix of applicants and ensure that no items are duplicated on subsequent days.	OK	W	JS
4. G E N E R A L	a. Assess whether plant-specific priorities (including PRA and IPE insights) are covered in the appropriate exam sections.	OK	W	JS
	b. Assess whether the 10 CFR 55.41/43 and 55.45 sampling is appropriate.	OK	W	JS
	c. Ensure that K/A importance ratings (except for plant-specific priorities) are at least 2.5.	OK	W	JS
	d. Check for duplication and overlap among exam sections.	OK	W	JS
	e. Check the entire exam for balance of coverage.	OK	W	JS
	f. Assess whether the exam fits the appropriate job level (RO or SRO).	OK	W	JS
a. Author		Printed Name/Signature		Date
b. Facility Reviewer (*)		DAVID ECKERT 		12/8/16
c. NRC Chief Examiner (#)		Kyle G. Garnish 		12/8/16
d. NRC Supervisor		David Silk 		1/3/16
		DONALD JOHNSON 		4/7/16
<p>Note: # Independent NRC reviewer initial items in Column "c"; chief examiner concurrence required.          * Not applicable for NRC-prepared examination outlines.</p>				

1. Pre-Examination

I acknowledge that I have acquired specialized knowledge about the **LC 15-1 NRC Examination** scheduled for the week(s) of 3/13/2017 – 3/24/2017 as of the date of my signature. I agree that I will not knowingly divulge any information about these examinations to any persons who have not been authorized by the NRC chief examiner. I understand that I am not to instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants scheduled to be administered these licensing examinations from this date until completion of examination administration, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC (e.g., acting as a simulator booth operator or communicator is acceptable if the individual does not select the training content or provide direct or indirect feedback). Furthermore, I am aware of the physical security measures and requirements (as documented in the facility licensee's procedures) and understand that violation of the conditions of this agreement may result in cancellation of the examinations and/or an enforcement action against me or the facility licensee. I will immediately report to facility management or the NRC chief examiner any indications or suggestions that examination security may have been compromised.

2. Post-Examination

To the best of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the **LC 15-1 Certification Examination** administered during the week(s) of 3/13/2017 – 3/24/2017. From the date that I entered into this security agreement until the completion of examination administration, I did not instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants who were administered these licensing examinations, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC.

NRC

PRINTED NAME	JOB TITLE / RESPONSIBILITY	SIGNATURE (1)	DATE	SIGNATURE (2)	DATE	NOTE
1. David Eckert	Exam Author	<i>David Eckert</i>	7/5/16	<i>David Eckert</i>	4/10/17	
2. Dave Lazarony	EXAM Developer	<i>Dave Lazarony</i>	7/5/16	<i>Dave Lazarony</i>	7/5/17	①
3. Kyle Garbish	FAC REP	<i>Kyle Garbish</i>	8/4/16	<i>Kyle Garbish</i>	4/3/17	
4. DAVE MANAHAN	OTPS	<i>DAVE MANAHAN</i>	8/16/16	<i>DAVE MANAHAN</i>	4/13/17	①
5. LARRY MURPHY	EXAM ROOM / LOR / ERT	<i>LARRY MURPHY</i>	7/16/16	<i>LARRY MURPHY</i>	8/28/17	
6. DAN HUBERT	SIMULATOR COORDINATOR	<i>DAN HUBERT</i>	8/17/16	<i>DAN HUBERT</i>	4/4/17	
7. RUSS BENDER	LOR EXAM AUTHOR	<i>RUSS BENDER</i>	8/31/16	<i>RUSS BENDER</i>	3/30/17	
8. DON DETTMAN	TECHNICAL REVIEWER	<i>DON DETTMAN</i>	8/31/16	<i>DON DETTMAN</i>	3/2/17	
9. David Bicknell	IT Support	<i>David Bicknell</i>	9/9/16	<i>David Bicknell</i>	4/10/17	
10. William Dobbins	Simulator Support	<i>William Dobbins</i>	10/7/16	<i>William Dobbins</i>	3/29/17	
11. Roy Gillow	Exam Team	<i>Roy Gillow</i>	10/31/16	<i>Roy Gillow</i>	7/3/17	①
12. JASON MILLER	OPS VALIDATOR	<i>JASON MILLER</i>	11/1/16	<i>JASON MILLER</i>	4/10/17	
13. DAVE BROWN	OPS VALIDATOR	<i>DAVE BROWN</i>	11/7/16	<i>DAVE BROWN</i>	4/4/17	
14. DAVID RAJWATER	OPS VALIDATOR	<i>DAVID RAJWATER</i>	11/17/16	<i>DAVID RAJWATER</i>	4/4/17	
15. PHIL SPALDING	OPS VALIDATOR	<i>PHIL SPALDING</i>	11/18/16	<i>PHIL SPALDING</i>	4/4/17	

## NOTES:

① Post-Exam ACKNOWLEDGEMENT VIA E-MAIL (ATTACHED)































DAVID ECKERT *David Eckert*

1. Pre-Examination

I acknowledge that I have acquired specialized knowledge about the NRC licensing examinations scheduled for the week(s) of 3/13-3/24/17 as of the date of my signature. I agree that I will not knowingly divulge any information about these examinations to any persons who have not been authorized by the NRC chief examiner. I understand that I am not to instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants scheduled to be administered these licensing examinations from this date until completion of examination administration, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC (e.g., acting as a simulator booth operator or communicator is acceptable if the individual does not select the training content or provide direct or indirect feedback). Furthermore, I am aware of the physical security measures and requirements (as documented in the facility licensee's procedures) and understand that violation of the conditions of this agreement may result in cancellation of the examinations and/or an enforcement action against me or the facility licensee. I will immediately report to facility management or the NRC chief examiner any indications or suggestions that examination security may have been compromised.

2. Post-Examination

To the best of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the NRC licensing examinations administered during the week(s) of 3/13-3/24/17. From the date that I entered into this security agreement until the completion of examination administration, I did not instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants who were administered these licensing examinations, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC.

PRINTED NAME	JOB TITLE / RESPONSIBILITY	SIGNATURE (1)	DATE	SIGNATURE (2)	DATE	NOTE
1. BEN SOHNS	OPS VALIDATOR		4/18/16		4/4/17	
2. HAROLD SMITH	OPS VALIDATOR		11/22/16		4/2/17	
3. AUGUST VORNDRAN	OPS VALIDATOR		11/22/16		3/19/17	
4. KIERAN REYNOLDS	OPS VALIDATOR		11/23/16		3/29/17	
5. JOHN WHITMAN	OPS VALIDATOR		12/1/16		3/29/17	
6. MIKE ROUSELL	OPS VALIDATOR		12/1/16		3/29/17	
7. WADE WEBER	OPS VALIDATOR		12/2/16		3/29/17	
8. MARK LESTER	OPS VALIDATOR		12/2/16		3/29/17	
9. DENJ DUFFY	OPS VALIDATOR		12/15/16		3/29/17	
10. GAGE KIEVER	OPS VALIDATOR		12/15/16		3/29/17	
11. VINCE FABRIZIO	OPS VALIDATOR		12/19/16		3/29/17	
12. KRIS WALTER	OPS VALIDATOR		12/15/16		3/29/17	
13. BOB WHITE	OPS VALIDATOR		12/15/16		3/29/17	
14. KEN CORL	OPS VALIDATOR		12/15/16		3/29/17	
15. MIKE TACAMPO	OPS VALIDATOR		12/21/16		3/29/17	
















NOTES:

1. Pre-Examination

I acknowledge that I have acquired specialized knowledge about the NRC licensing examinations scheduled for the week(s) of 3/13 3/24/17 as of the date of my signature. I agree that I will not knowingly divulge any information about these examinations to any persons who have not been authorized by the NRC chief examiner. I understand that I am not to instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants scheduled to be administered these licensing examinations from this date until completion of examination administration, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC (e.g., acting as a simulator booth operator or communicator is acceptable if the individual does not select the training content or provide direct or indirect feedback). Furthermore, I am aware of the physical security measures and requirements (as documented in the facility licensee's procedures) and understand that violation of the conditions of this agreement may result in cancellation of the examinations and/or an enforcement action against me or the facility licensee. I will immediately report to facility management or the NRC chief examiner any indications or suggestions that examination security may have been compromised.

2. Post-Examination

To the best of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the NRC licensing examinations administered during the week(s) of 3/13-3/24/17. From the date that I entered into this security agreement until the completion of examination administration, I did not instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants who were administered these licensing examinations, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC.

PRINTED NAME	JOB TITLE / RESPONSIBILITY	SIGNATURE (1)	DATE	SIGNATURE (2)	DATE	NOTE
1. BOB SCARROTT	OPS VALIDATOR		12/21/16		3/29/17	
2. RON FELLOWS	OPS VALIDATOR		12/22/16		3/29/17	
3. DALE BISAILLON	OPS VALIDATOR		12/22/16		3/28/17	
4. GERRY HAMM	OPS VALIDATOR		12/28/16		4/3/17	
5. DAVID SMITH	IT SUPPORT		1/11/17		3/29/17	
6. ROB DAUDEL	REACTOR ENGINEERING		1/17/17		4/6/17	
7. DON DEAN	OPS VALIDATOR		1/18/17		3/30/17	
8. 						
9.						
10.						
11.						
12.						
13.						
14.						
15.						

NOTES:

## **Eckert, David L:(GenCo-Nuc)**

---

**From:** David Lazarony <dalazarony@esstc.com>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, April 05, 2017 7:21 PM  
**To:** Eckert, David L:(GenCo-Nuc)  
**Subject:** RE: ILT Exam Security Deposted

Dave,

I agree that "To the best of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the **LC 15-1 NRC Examination** administered during the weeks of 3/13/2017 – 3/24/2017 at Ginna NPP. From the date that I entered into this security agreement until the completion of the examination administration, I did not instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants who were administered these licensing examinations, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC."

Sincerely,

Dave

David Lazarony  
Essential Training & Consulting LLC  
1305 Heather Lane  
East Stroudsburg, PA 18301  
Cell: 570-664-3288  
Home/Fax: 570-369-4689

**From:** Eckert, David L:(GenCo-Nuc) [<mailto:david.eckert@exeloncorp.com>]  
**Sent:** Monday, April 03, 2017 10:40 AM  
**To:** Monahan, David M:(GenCo-Nuc) <[david.monahan@exeloncorp.com](mailto:david.monahan@exeloncorp.com)>; David Lazarony <[dalazarony@esstc.com](mailto:dalazarony@esstc.com)>;  
Gillow, Roy:(Contractor - GenCo-Nuc) <[Roy.Gillow@exeloncorp.com](mailto:Roy.Gillow@exeloncorp.com)>  
**Subject:** ILT Exam Security Deposted

Roy, Daves,

Please use the **VOTE** button to acknowledge the following:

In accordance with NUREG-1021, Form ES-201-3: "To the best of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the **LC 15-1 NRC Examination** administered during the weeks of 3/13/2017 – 3/24/2017 at Ginna NPP. From the date that I entered into this security agreement until the completion of the examination administration, I did not instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants who were administered these licensing examinations, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC."

Sincerely,  
*David Eckert*

## Eckert, David L:(GenCo-Nuc)

---

**From:** Eckert, David L:(GenCo-Nuc)  
**Sent:** Monday, April 03, 2017 10:40 AM  
**To:** Monahan, David M:(GenCo-Nuc); David Lazarony (dalazarony@esstc.com); Gillow, Roy:(Contractor - GenCo-Nuc)  
**Subject:** ILT Exam Security Deposted

Tracking:	Recipient	Response
	Monahan, David M:(GenCo-Nuc)	I acknowledge in accordance with ES-201-3: 4/3/2017 10:54 AM
	David Lazarony (dalazarony@esstc.com)	
	Gillow, Roy:(Contractor - GenCo-Nuc)	

Roy, Daves,

Please use the **VOTE** button to acknowledge the following:

In accordance with NUREG-1021, Form ES-201-3: "To the best of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the **LC 15-1 NRC Examination** administered during the weeks of 3/13/2017 – 3/24/2017 at Ginna NPP. From the date that I entered into this security agreement until the completion of the examination administration, I did not instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants who were administered these licensing examinations, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC."

Sincerely,  
*David Eckert*



**Exelon** Generation

Operations Training  
ILT Lead/ILT NRC Exam  
Ginna Nuclear Station  
[David.Eckert@exeloncorp.com](mailto:David.Eckert@exeloncorp.com)  
**360.440.3053-Cell**  
**315-791-5540 Desk /315-791-5523-Exam Room**

**Eckert, David L:(GenCo-Nuc)**

---

**From:** agillow@tampabay.rr.com  
**Sent:** Tuesday, April 04, 2017 10:06 AM  
**To:** Eckert, David L:(GenCo-Nuc)  
**Subject:** RE: Work e-mail

Dave, this E-mail is to acknowledge that I did not divulge exam information to any unauthorized persons, for LC 15-1 NRC Examination. I did not instruct, evaluate or provide performance feedback during the time I was on exam security.

Roy Gillow

Sent from Mail for Windows 10

**From:** Eckert, David L:(GenCo-Nuc)  
**Sent:** Monday, April 3, 2017 1:15 PM  
**To:** Roy Gillow (agillow@tampabay.rr.com)  
**Subject:** Work e-mail

Roy,

Please check your Exelon e-mail account. I sent you an e-mail to sign off exam security.

Thanks,  
Dave

This Email message and any attachment may contain information that is proprietary, legally privileged, confidential and/or subject to copyright belonging to Exelon Corporation or its affiliates ("Exelon"). This Email is intended solely for the use of the person(s) to which it is addressed. If you are not an intended recipient, or the employee or agent responsible for delivery of this Email to the intended recipient(s), you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this Email is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please immediately notify the sender and permanently delete this Email and any copies. Exelon policies expressly prohibit employees from making defamatory or offensive statements and infringing any copyright or any other legal right by Email communication. Exelon will not accept any liability in respect of such communications. -EXCIP



Facility: Ginna	Date of Examination: 3/13/2017	Operating Test Number: N17-1
-----------------	--------------------------------	------------------------------

1. GENERAL CRITERIA	Initials		
	a	b*	c#
a. The operating test conforms with the previously approved outline; changes are consistent with sampling requirements (e.g. 10 CFR 55.45, operational importance, safety function distribution).	OK	M	JL
b. There is no day-to-day repetition between this and other operating tests to be administered during this examination.	OK	M	JL
c. The operating test shall not duplicate items from the applicants' audit test(s) (see Section D.1.a).	OK	M	JL
d. Overlap with the written examination and between different parts of the operating test is within acceptable limits.	OK	M	JL
e. It appears that the operating test will differentiate between competent and less-than-competent applicants at the designated license level.	OK	M	JL





  

2. WALK-THROUGH CRITERIA	a	b*	c#
a. Each JPM includes the following, as applicable: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>* initial conditions</li> <li>* initiating cues</li> <li>* references and tools, including associated procedures</li> <li>* reasonable and validated time limits (average time allowed for completion) and specific designation if deemed to be time-critical by the facility licensee</li> <li>* operationally important specific performance criteria that include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- detailed expected actions with exact criteria and nomenclature</li> <li>- system response and other examiner cues</li> <li>- statements describing important observations to be made by the applicant</li> <li>- criteria for successful completion of the task</li> <li>- identification of critical steps and their associated performance standards</li> <li>- restrictions on the sequence of steps, if applicable</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	OK	M	JL
b. Ensure that any changes from the previously approved systems and administrative walk-through outlines (Forms ES-301-1 and 2) have not caused the test to deviate from any of the acceptance criteria (e.g., item distribution, bank use, repetition from the last 2 NRC examinations) specified on those forms and Form ES-201-2.	OK	M	JL

3. SIMULATOR CRITERIA	a	b*	c#
The associated simulator operating tests (scenario sets) have been reviewed in accordance with Form ES-301-4 and a copy is attached.	OK	M	JL

	Printed Name / Signature	Date
a. Author	DAVID ECKERT 	1/11/2017
b. Facility Reviewer (*)	Kyle Garnish 	1/18/2017
c. NRC Chief Examiner (#)	David Sillk 	3/9/2017
d. NRC Supervisor	Donald Jackson 	3/9/17

NOTE: \* The facility signature is not applicable for NRC-developed tests.  
# Independent NRC reviewer initial items in Column "c"; chief examiner concurrence required.

Facility: Ginna		Date of Exam: 3/13/2017		Scenario Numbers: 1, 3, 4		Operating Test No.: N17-1				
QUALITATIVE ATTRIBUTES					Initials					
					a	b*	c#			
1.	The initial conditions are realistic, in that some equipment and/or instrumentation may be out of service, but it does not cue the operators into expected events.				OK	WJ	JD			
2.	The scenarios consist mostly of related events.				OK	WJ	JD			
3.	Each event description consists of <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>the point in the scenario when it is to be initiated</li> <li>the malfunction(s) or conditions that are entered to initiate the event</li> <li>the symptoms/cues that will be visible to the crew</li> <li>the expected operator actions (by shift position)</li> <li>the event termination point (if applicable)</li> </ul>				OK	WJ	JD			
4.	The events are valid with regard to physics and thermodynamics.				OK	WJ	JD			
5.	Sequencing and timing of events is reasonable, and allows the examination team to obtain complete evaluation results commensurate with the scenario objectives.				OK	WJ	JD			
6.	If time compression techniques are used, the scenario summary clearly so indicates. Operators have sufficient time to carry out expected activities without undue time constraints. Cues are given.				N/A	N/A	N/A			
7.	The simulator modeling is not altered.				OK	WJ	JD			
8.	The scenarios have been validated. Pursuant to 10 CFR 55.46(d), any open simulator performance deficiencies or deviations from the referenced plant have been evaluated to ensure that functional fidelity is maintained while running the planned scenarios.				OK	WJ	JD			
9.	Every operator will be evaluated using at least one new or significantly modified scenario. All other scenarios have been altered in accordance with Section D.5 of ES-301.				OK	WJ	JD			
10.	All individual operator competencies can be evaluated, as verified using Form ES-301-6 (submit the form along with the simulator scenarios).				OK	WJ	JD			
11.	The scenario set provides the opportunity for each applicant to be evaluated in each of the applicable rating factors. (Competency Rating factors as described on forms ES-303-1 and ES-303-3.)				OK	WJ	JD			
12.	Each applicant will be significantly involved in the minimum number of transients and events specified on Form ES-301-5 (submit the form with the simulator scenarios).				OK	WJ	JD			
13.	The level of difficulty is appropriate to support licensing decisions for each crew position.				OK	WJ	JD			
Target Quantitative Attributes (Per Scenario; See Section D.5.d)					Actual Attributes					
					1	3	4			
1.	Malfunctions after EOP entry (1-2)				1	3	2	OK	WJ	JD
2.	Abnormal events (2-4)				4	4	4	OK	WJ	JD
3.	Major transients (1-2)				1	1	1	OK	WJ	JD
4.	EOPs entered/requiring substantive actions (1-2)				1	2	1	OK	WJ	JD
5.	EOP contingencies requiring substantive actions (0-2)				1	1	2	OK	WJ	JD
6.	Critical tasks (2-3)				2	2	3	OK	WJ	JD
NOTE: * The facility signature is not applicable for NRC-developed tests. # Independent NRC reviewer initial items in Column "c"; chief examiner concurrence required.					OK	WJ				

Facility:	Ginna	Date of Exam:	3/13/2017	Scenario Numbers:	5, 6	Operating Test No.:	N17-1	
QUALITATIVE ATTRIBUTES						Initials		
						a	b*	c#
1.	The initial conditions are realistic, in that some equipment and/or instrumentation may be out of service, but it does not cue the operators into expected events.					OK	MM	JS
2.	The scenarios consist mostly of related events.					OK	MM	JS
3.	Each event description consists of <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>the point in the scenario when it is to be initiated</li> <li>the malfunction(s) or conditions that are entered to initiate the event</li> <li>the symptoms/cues that will be visible to the crew</li> <li>the expected operator actions (by shift position)</li> <li>the event termination point (if applicable)</li> </ul>					OK	MM	JS
4.	The events are valid with regard to physics and thermodynamics.					OK	MM	JS
5.	Sequencing and timing of events is reasonable, and allows the examination team to obtain complete evaluation results commensurate with the scenario objectives.					OK	MM	JS
6.	If time compression techniques are used, the scenario summary clearly so indicates. Operators have sufficient time to carry out expected activities without undue time constraints. Cues are given.					N/A	N/A	N/A
7.	The simulator modeling is not altered.					OK	MM	JS
8.	The scenarios have been validated. Pursuant to 10 CFR 55.46(d), any open simulator performance deficiencies or deviations from the referenced plant have been evaluated to ensure that functional fidelity is maintained while running the planned scenarios.					OK	MM	JS
9.	Every operator will be evaluated using at least one new or significantly modified scenario. All other scenarios have been altered in accordance with Section D.5 of ES-301.					OK	MM	JS
10.	All individual operator competencies can be evaluated, as verified using Form ES-301-6 (submit the form along with the simulator scenarios).					OK	MM	JS
11.	The scenario set provides the opportunity for each applicant to be evaluated in each of the applicable rating factors. (Competency Rating factors as described on forms ES-303-1 and ES-303-3.)					OK	MM	JS
12.	Each applicant will be significantly involved in the minimum number of transients and events specified on Form ES-301-5 (submit the form with the simulator scenarios).					OK	MM	JS
13.	The level of difficulty is appropriate to support licensing decisions for each crew position.					OK	MM	JS
Target Quantitative Attributes (Per Scenario; See Section D.5.d)						Actual Attributes		
						5	6	
1.	Malfunctions after EOP entry (1-2)					2	2	OK MM JS
2.	Abnormal events (2-4)					3	4	OK MM JS
3.	Major transients (1-2)					1	1	OK MM JS
4.	EOPs entered/requiring substantive actions (1-2)					4	1	OK MM JS
5.	EOP contingencies requiring substantive actions (0-2)					2	0	OK MM JS
6.	Critical tasks (2-3)					2	3	OK MM JS
NOTE: * The facility signature is not applicable for NRC-developed tests. # Independent NRC reviewer initial items in Column "c"; chief examiner concurrence required.						OK	MM	JS

Facility:		Ginna		Date of Exam:		3/2017		Operating Test No.:		N17-1							
A P P L I C A N T	E V E N T  T Y P E	Scenarios												T O T A L	M I N I M U M (*)		
		N17-1-5			N17-1-1			N17-1-3			N17-1-6						
		CREW POSITION			CREW POSITION			CREW POSITION			CREW POSITION						
		S R O	A T C	B O P	S R O	A T C	B O P	S R O	A T C	B O P	S R O	A T C	B O P		R	I	U
SROU-1	RX													0	1	1	0
	NOR	2						4						2	1	1	1
	I/C	1,3, 4,6, 7						1,2, 3,5, 7,8						11	4	4	2
	MAJ	5						6						2	2	2	1
	TS	1,3						1,3						4	0	2	2
SROU-2	RX													0	1	1	0
	NOR	2						4						2	1	1	1
	I/C	1,3, 4,6, 7						1,2, 3,5, 7,8						11	4	4	2
	MAJ	5						6						2	2	2	1
	TS	1,3						1,3						4	0	2	2
SROI-1	RX											1		1	1	1	0
	NOR	2					4							2	1	1	1
	I/C	1,3, 4,6, 7					1,3, 8					3,4, 7,8		12	4	4	2
	MAJ	5					5					6		3	2	2	1
	TS	1,3												2	0	2	2
SROI-2	RX		2											1	1	1	0
	NOR				4							1		2	1	1	1
	I/C		1,3, 6		1,2, 3,6, 7,8							2,3, 4,5, 7,8		15	4	4	2
	MAJ		5		5							6		3	2	2	1
	TS				1,2, 3							2,3, 5		6	0	2	2

## Instructions:

- Check the applicant level and enter the operating test number and Form ES-D-1 event numbers for each event type; TS are not applicable for RO applicants. ROs must service in both the "at-the-controls (ATC)" and "balance-of-plant (BOP)" positions; Instant SROs (SRO-I) must serve in both the SRO and the ATC positions, including at least two instrument or component (I/C) malfunctions and one major transient, in the ATC position. If an Instant SRO-I *additionally* serves in the BOP position, one I/C malfunction can be credited toward the two I/C malfunctions required for the ATC position.
- Reactivity manipulations may be conducted under normal or *controlled* abnormal conditions (refer to Section D.5.d) but must be significant per Section C.2.a of Appendix D. (\*) Reactivity and normal evolutions may be replaced with additional instrument or component malfunctions on a 1-for-1 basis.
- Whenever practical, both instrument and component malfunctions should be included; only those that require verifiable actions that provide insight to the applicant's competence count toward the minimum requirements specified for the applicant's license level in the right-hand columns.
- For licensees that use the ATC operator primarily for monitoring plant parameters, the chief examiner may place SRO-I applicants in either the ATC or BOP position to best evaluate the SRO-I in manipulating plant controls.

Facility:		Ginna		Date of Exam:		3/2017		Operating Test No.:		N17-1							
A P P L I C A N T	E V E N T  T Y P E	Scenarios												T O T A L	M I N I M U M (*)		
		N17-1-5			N17-1-1			N17-1-3			N17-1-6						
		CREW POSITION			CREW POSITION			CREW POSITION			CREW POSITION						
		S R O	A T C	B O P	S R O	A T C	B O P	S R O	A T C	B O P	S R O	A T C	B O P		R	I	U
SROI-3	RX											1		1	1	0	
	NOR	2				4								2	1	1	
	I/C	1,3, 4,6, 7				1,3, 8					3,4, 7,8			12	4	4	
	MAJ	5				5					6			3	2	2	
	TS	1,3												2	0	2	
SROI-4	RX		2											1	1	0	
	NOR				4						1			2	1	1	
	I/C		1,3, 6		1,2, 3,6, 7,8						2,3, 4,5, 7,8			15	4	4	
	MAJ		5		5						6			3	2	2	
	TS				1,2, 3						2,3, 5			6	0	2	
SROI-5	RX											1		1	1	0	
	NOR				4					4				2	1	1	
	I/C				1,2, 3,6, 7,8					2,5, 7		3,4, 7,8		13	4	4	
	MAJ				5					6		6		3	2	2	
	TS				1,2, 3									3	0	2	
SROI-6	RX					4								1	1	0	
	NOR							4					1	2	1	1	
	I/C					2,3, 6,7		1,2, 3,5, 7,8				2,5, 8		13	4	4	
	MAJ					5		6				6		3	2	2	
	TS							1,3						2	0	2	

## Instructions:

- Check the applicant level and enter the operating test number and Form ES-D-1 event numbers for each event type; TS are not applicable for RO applicants. ROs must service in both the "at-the-controls (ATC)" and "balance-of-plant (BOP)" positions; Instant SROs (SRO-I) must serve in both the SRO and the ATC positions, including at least two instrument or component (I/C) malfunctions and one major transient, in the ATC position. If an Instant SRO-I *additionally* serves in the BOP position, one I/C malfunction can be credited toward the two I/C malfunctions required for the ATC position.
- Reactivity manipulations may be conducted under normal or *controlled* abnormal conditions (refer to Section D.5.d) but must be significant per Section C.2.a of Appendix D. (\*) Reactivity and normal evolutions may be replaced with additional instrument or component malfunctions on a 1-for-1 basis.
- Whenever practical, both instrument and component malfunctions should be included; only those that require verifiable actions that provide insight to the applicant's competence count toward the minimum requirements specified for the applicant's license level in the right-hand columns.
- For licensees that use the ATC operator primarily for monitoring plant parameters, the chief examiner may place SRO-I applicants in either the ATC or BOP position to best evaluate the SRO-I in manipulating plant controls.

Facility:		Ginna		Date of Exam:		3/2017		Operating Test No.:		N17-1							
A P P L I C A N T	E V E N T  T Y P E	Scenarios												T O T A L	M I N I M U M (*)		
		N17-1-5			N17-1-1			N17-1-3			N17-1-6						
		CREW POSITION			CREW POSITION			CREW POSITION			CREW POSITION						
		S R O	A T C	B O P	S R O	A T C	B O P	S R O	A T C	B O P	S R O	A T C	B O P		R	I	U
SRO-I-7	RX								4					1	1	1	0
	NOR						4					1		2	1	1	1
	I/C						1,3, 8			1,3, 8		2,3, 4,5, 7,8		12	4	4	2
	MAJ						5			6		6		3	2	2	1
	TS											2,3, 5		3	0	2	2
RO-1	RX		2											1	1	1	0
	NOR										4			1	1	1	1
	I/C		1,3, 6								2,5, 7			6	4	4	2
	MAJ		5							6				2	2	2	1
	TS													0	0	2	2
RO-2	RX									4				1	1	1	0
	NOR			2										1	1	1	1
	I/C			1,4, 6,7						1,3, 8				6	4	4	2
	MAJ			5						6				2	2	2	1
	TS													0	0	2	2
RO-3	RX		2											1	1	1	0
	NOR										4			1	1	1	1
	I/C		1,3, 6								2,5, 7			6	4	4	2
	MAJ		5							6				2	2	2	1
	TS													0	0	2	2

## Instructions:

- Check the applicant level and enter the operating test number and Form ES-D-1 event numbers for each event type; TS are not applicable for RO applicants. ROs must service in both the "at-the-controls (ATC)" and "balance-of-plant (BOP)" positions; Instant SROs (SRO-I) must serve in both the SRO and the ATC positions, including at least two instrument or component (I/C) malfunctions and one major transient, in the ATC position. If an Instant SRO-I *additionally* serves in the BOP position, one I/C malfunction can be credited toward the two I/C malfunctions required for the ATC position.
- Reactivity manipulations may be conducted under normal or *controlled* abnormal conditions (refer to Section D.5.d) but must be significant per Section C.2.a of Appendix D. (\*) Reactivity and normal evolutions may be replaced with additional instrument or component malfunctions on a 1-for-1 basis.
- Whenever practical, both instrument and component malfunctions should be included; only those that require verifiable actions that provide insight to the applicant's competence count toward the minimum requirements specified for the applicant's license level in the right-hand columns.
- For licensees that use the ATC operator primarily for monitoring plant parameters, the chief examiner may place SRO-I applicants in either the ATC or BOP position to best evaluate the SRO-I in manipulating plant controls.

Facility:		Ginna		Date of Exam:		3/2017		Operating Test No.:		N17-1							
A P P L I C A N T	E V E N T  T Y P E	Scenarios												T O T A L	M I N I M U M(*)		
		N17-1-5			N17-1-1			N17-1-3			N17-1-6						
		CREW POSITION			CREW POSITION			CREW POSITION			CREW POSITION						
		S R O	A T C	B O P	S R O	A T C	B O P	S R O	A T C	B O P	S R O	A T C	B O P		R	I	U
RO-4	RX								4					1	1	1	0
	NOR			2										1	1	1	1
	I/C			1,4, 6,7					1,3, 8					6	4	4	2
	MAJ			5					6					2	2	2	1
	TS													0	0	2	2
RO-5	RX					4								1	1	1	0
	NOR			2									1	2	1	1	1
	I/C			1,4, 6,7		2,3, 6,7						2,5, 8		11	4	4	2
	MAJ			5		5						6		3	2	2	1
	TS													0	0	2	2
RO-6	RX					4								1	1	1	0
	NOR			2									1	2	1	1	1
	I/C			1,4, 6,7		2,3, 6,7						2,5, 8		11	4	4	2
	MAJ			5		5						6		3	2	2	1
	TS													0	0	2	2
															1	1	0
															1	1	1
															4	4	2
															2	2	1
															0	2	2

Instructions:

- Check the applicant level and enter the operating test number and Form ES-D-1 event numbers for each event type; TS are not applicable for RO applicants. ROs must service in both the "at-the-controls (ATC)" and "balance-of-plant (BOP)" positions; Instant SROs (SRO-I) must serve in both the SRO and the ATC positions, including at least two instrument or component (I/C) malfunctions and one major transient, in the ATC position. If an Instant SRO-I *additionally* serves in the BOP position, one I/C malfunction can be credited toward the two I/C malfunctions required for the ATC position.
- Reactivity manipulations may be conducted under normal or *controlled* abnormal conditions (refer to Section D.5.d) but must be significant per Section C.2.a of Appendix D. (\*) Reactivity and normal evolutions may be replaced with additional instrument or component malfunctions on a 1-for-1 basis.
- Whenever practical, both instrument and component malfunctions should be included; only those that require verifiable actions that provide insight to the applicant's competence count toward the minimum requirements specified for the applicant's license level in the right-hand columns.
- For licensees that use the ATC operator primarily for monitoring plant parameters, the chief examiner may place SRO-I applicants in either the ATC or BOP position to best evaluate the SRO-I in manipulating plant controls.

Facility: Ginna	Date of Examination: 3/2017	Operating Test No.: N17-1										
Competencies	APPLICANTS											
	SRO (U/I)				RO/ATC				BOP			
	SCENARIO				SCENARIO				SCENARIO			
	1	3	4	5	1	3	4	5	1	3	4	5
Interpret/Diagnose Events and Conditions	1-7	1-9	1-8	1-7	1-7	1-9	1-8	1-7	1-7	1-9	1-8	1-7
Comply With and Use Procedures (1)	1-8	1-9	1-8	1-7	1-8	1-9	1-8	1-7	1-8	1-9	1-8	1-7
Operate Control Boards (2)	NA	NA	NA	NA	2,3,4,5,6,7	1,3,4,6,8	1,2,4,5,6	1,2,3,5,6	1,3,4,5,8	2,4,5,6,7	1,3,5,6,7,8	1,2,4,5,6,7
Communicate and Interact	1-8	1-9	1-8	1-7	1-8	1-9	1-8	1-7	1-8	1-9	1-8	1-7
Demonstrate Supervisory Ability (3)	1-8	1-9	1-8	1-7	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Comply With and Use Tech. Specs. (3)	1-3	1,3	1, 5	1,3	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Notes: (1) Includes Technical Specification compliance for RO. (2) Optional for an SRO-U. (3) Only applicable to SROs.												

## Instructions:

Check the applicants' license type and enter one or more event numbers that will allow the examiners to evaluate every applicable competency for every applicant. (This includes all rating factors for each competency.) (Competency Rating factors as described on forms ES-303-1 and ES-303-3.)



Facility: Ginna	Date of Examination: 3/2017	Operating Test No.: N17-1										
Competencies	APPLICANTS											
	SRO (U/I)				RO/ATC				BOP			
	SCENARIO				SCENARIO				SCENARIO			
	6				6				6			
Interpret/Diagnose Events and Conditions	1-8				1-8				1-8			
Comply With and Use Procedures (1)	1-8				1-8				1-8			
Operate Control Boards (2)	NA				1,3,4,6,7,8				1,2,5,6,8			
Communicate and Interact	1-8				1-8				1-8			
Demonstrate Supervisory Ability (3)	1-8				NA				NA			
Comply With and Use Tech. Specs. (3)	2,3,5				NA				NA			
Notes: (1) Includes Technical Specification compliance for RO. (2) Optional for an SRO-U. (3) Only applicable to SROs.												

## Instructions:

Check the applicants' license type and enter one or more event numbers that will allow the examiners to evaluate every applicable competency for every applicant. (This includes all rating factors for each competency.) (Competency Rating factors as described on forms ES-303-1 and ES-303-3.)

Facility: <u>GINNA</u>		Date of Exam: <u>3/24/17</u>		Exam Level: RO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SRO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Item Description	Initials				
	a	b	c		
1. Clean answer sheets copied before grading	<u>OK</u>	<u>g</u>	<u>BJ</u>		
2. Answer key changes and question deletions justified and documented	<u>OK</u>	<u>g</u>	<u>BJ</u>		
3. Applicants' scores checked for addition errors (reviewers spot check > 25% of examinations)	<u>OK</u>	<u>g</u>	<u>WJ</u> <u>TF</u>		
4. Grading for all borderline cases (80 $\pm$ 2% overall and 70 or 80, as applicable, $\pm$ 4% on the SRO-only) reviewed in detail	<u>OK</u>	<u>g</u>	<u>WJ</u> <u>TF</u>		
5. All other failing examinations checked to ensure that grades are justified	<u>OK</u>	<u>g</u>	<u>WJ</u> <u>TF</u>		
6. Performance on missed questions checked for training deficiencies and wording problems; evaluate validity of questions missed by half or more of the applicants	<u>OK</u>	<u>g</u>	<u>WJ</u>		
Printed Name/Signature		Date			
a. Grader	<u>DAVID ECKERT <u>David Eckert</u></u>		<u>3/29/2017</u>		
b. Facility Reviewer(*)	<u>DAVID HURLBURT <u>David Hurlburt</u></u>		<u>3/29/17</u>		
c. NRC Chief Examiner (*)	<u>TODD FLETCHER <u>Todd Fletcher</u></u> <u>David Silk <u>David Silk</u></u>		<u>4/12/2017</u> <u>4/12/17</u>		
d. NRC Supervisor (*)	<u>Donald Jackson <u>Donald Jackson</u></u>		<u>4/16/17</u>		
(*) The facility reviewer's signature is not applicable for examinations graded by the NRC; two independent NRC reviews are required.					