









## EXAM ASSIGNMENT TICKLER

### (Written Exam Before the Op Test)

<b>Chief:</b>	<b>Osterholtz</b>	<b>Facility:</b>	<b>AN2</b>	<b>Date of Written Exam:</b>	<b>2/22/2017</b>
<b>U/I:</b>	<b>Kirkland</b>	<b>Start of Op Test:</b>	<b>2/13/2017</b>	<b>End of Op Test:</b>	<b>2/17/2017</b>
<b>Written Exam Developed By: NRC / Facility</b>			<b>Operating Test Developed By: NRC / Facility</b>		
<b>Due Date</b>	<b>Description</b>	<b>Date Complete</b>	<b>Initials</b>	<b>Notes</b>	
8/15/2016	Written Exam & Op Test Dates Confirmed	6/28/16	JPK		
9/12/2016	NRC Examiners & Facility Contact Assigned	6/28/16	JPK		
9/12/2016	Facility Contact Briefed on Security & Other Req's	6/28/16	JPK		
9/12/2016	Corporate Notification Letter sent	6/29/16	JPK	ES-201 Att-4 produced by CE	
10/10/2016	Reference material due (if NRC authored)	N/A	JPK	ES-201 Att-3	
11/14/2016	Integrated exam outlines due	11/7/16	JPK		
11/21/2016	Outlines reviewed by CE; feedback approved by BC	11/15/16	JPK	ES-201-2 signed by CE & BC	
11/21/2016	Feedback on integrated outlines provided to facility	11/15/16	JPK		
12/12/2016	DRAFT exam / docs / support reference material due	11/29/16	JPK		
12/19/2016	Peer review of written exam complete	12/1/16	JPK	Document review on ES-401-9	
12/27/2016	Written exam and operating test reviews completed	12/1/16	JPK	Document review on ES-401-9	
1/9/2017	Preliminary license applications due	1/6/17	JPK	NRC Forms 398/396	
1/16/2017	Preliminary license applications and waivers reviewed	1/13/17	JPK		
1/16/2017	DRAFT exam reviewed by CE; feedback approved by BC	1/13/17	JPK		
1/16/2017	Feedback on DRAFT exam provided to facility	1/13/17	JPK		
1/9/2017	On-site validation & 10% audit of license applications	1/9/17	JPK		
1/30/2017	Final applications due & List of Applicants prepared	2/8/17	JPK	ES-201-4 prepared by LA	
1/30/2017	Branch Chief approves FINAL exam (Written & Op Test)	2/10/17	JPK	LA produces / BC signs Exam Approval Letter (ES-201 Att-5)	
2/6/2017	Facility Licensee management queried regarding the licensee's views on the examination	2/10/17	JPK		
2/6/2017	Final applications approved & waiver letters sent	2/10/17	JPK		
2/6/2017	Proctoring/written exam admin guidelines reviewed w/ facility	2/15/17	JPK		
2/6/2017	Exam material to exam team	2/6/17	JPK		
2/13/2017	Administer Operating Test	2/13/17	JPK		
2/24/2017	Examiner's document op test results on ES 303's	3/14/17	JPK		
3/1/2017	Facility post-exam documentation due	2/24/17	JPK		
3/1/2017	NRC written exam grading completed	2/27/17	JPK	ES-403-1 to BC	
3/8/2017	Chief Examiner review of written exam & op test completed	3/14/17	JPK	Signed ES 303's to BC	
3/15/2017	Branch Chief review of exam results completed	3/16/17	JPK		
3/22/2017	Waivers/deferrals reviewed for impact on licensing decision	3/16/17	JPK		
3/22/2017	License/Denial letters mailed; Facility notified of results	3/16/17	JPK		
3/22/2017	RPS/IP number of examinees updated	2/27/17	JPK	print Report-21	
4/5/2017	Examination Report Issued	3/22/17	JPK	produced by CE	
4/12/2017	SUNSI checklist complete and exam docs to ADAMS	3/22/17	JPK	SUNSI checklist to LA	
4/19/2017	Ref Mat'l Returned after Final Resolution of Appeals	N/A	JPK		
Replaces NUREG-1021, Revision 10, Forms ES-201-1 and ES-501-1					

Facility: ANO Unit 2		Date of Examination: 2/13/17		
Item	Task Description	Initials		
		a	b*	c#
1. W R I T T E N	a. Verify that the outline(s) fit(s) the appropriate model, in accordance with ES-401 or ES-401N.	Am	Rkm	CoD
	b. Assess whether the outline was systematically and randomly prepared in accordance with Section D.1 of ES-401 or ES-401N and whether all K/A categories are appropriately sampled.	① Am	① Rkm	CoD
	c. Assess whether the outline over-emphasizes any systems, evolutions, or generic topics.	Am	Rkm	CoD
	d. Assess whether the justifications for deselected or rejected K/A statements are appropriate.	Am	Rkm	CoD
2. S I M U L A T O R	a. Using Form ES-301-5, verify that the proposed scenario sets cover the required number of normal evolutions, instrument and component failures, technical specifications, and major transients.	Ze	Rkm	CoD
	b. Assess whether there are enough scenario sets (and spares) to test the projected number and mix of applicants in accordance with the expected crew composition and rotation schedule without compromising exam integrity, and ensure that each applicant can be tested using at least one new or significantly modified scenario, that no scenarios are duplicated from the applicants' audit test(s), and that scenarios will not be repeated on subsequent days.	Ze	Rkm	CoD
	c. To the extent possible, assess whether the outline(s) conform(s) with the qualitative and quantitative criteria specified on Form ES-301-4 and described in Appendix D.	Ze	Rkm	CoD
3. W A L K  T H R O U G H	a. Verify that the systems walk-through outline meets the criteria specified on Form ES-301-2: (1) the outline(s) contain(s) the required number of control room and in-plant tasks distributed among the safety functions as specified on the form (2) task repetition from the last two NRC examinations is within the limits specified on the form (3) no tasks are duplicated from the applicants' audit test(s) (4) the number of new or modified tasks meets or exceeds the minimums specified on the form (5) the number of alternate path, low-power, emergency, and RCA tasks meet the criteria on the form.	Ze	Rkm	CoD
	b. Verify that the administrative outline meets the criteria specified on Form ES-301-1: (1) the tasks are distributed among the topics as specified on the form (2) at least one task is new or significantly modified (3) no more than one task is repeated from the last two NRC licensing examinations	Ze	Rkm	CoD
	c. Determine if there are enough different outlines to test the projected number and mix of applicants and ensure that no items are duplicated on subsequent days.	Ze	Rkm	CoD
4. G E N E R A L	a. Assess whether plant-specific priorities (including PRA and IPE insights) are covered in the appropriate exam sections.	Am	Rkm	CoD
	b. Assess whether the 10 CFR 55.41/43 and 55.45 sampling is appropriate.	Am	Rkm	CoD
	c. Ensure that K/A importance ratings (except for plant-specific priorities) are at least 2.5.	Am	Rkm	CoD
	d. Check for duplication and overlap among exam sections.	Am	Rkm	CoD
	e. Check the entire exam for balance of coverage.	Am	Rkm	CoD
	f. Assess whether the exam fits the appropriate job level (RO or SRO).	Am	Rkm	CoD
a. Author <u>Raylan [Signature]</u> Printed Name/Signature <u>Bill Coble</u> b. Facility Reviewer (*) <u>Randal Martin</u> / <u>Rkm</u> c. NRC Chief Examiner (#) <u>Clyde Osterholtz</u> / <u>CC Osterholtz</u> d. NRC Supervisor <u>Vincent [Signature]</u> / <u>Vincent [Signature]</u>		Date <u>1/19/17</u> <u>1-19-17</u> <u>1-31-17</u> <u>2/8/17</u>		
Note: # Independent NRC reviewer initial items in Column "c"; chief examiner concurrence required. * Not applicable for NRC-prepared examination outlines.				

1. Initial NRC written exam outline developed by the NRC.

Facility: ANO Unit 2		Date of Exam: 02/22/17		Exam Level: RO  SRO 																	
Item Description				Initial																	
				a	b*	c*#															
1.	Questions and answers are technically accurate and applicable to the facility.			BK 1	Rkm	Co															
2.	a. NRC K/As are referenced for all questions. b. Facility learning objectives are referenced as available.			BK 1	Rkm	Co															
3.	SRO questions are appropriate in accordance with Section D.2.d of ES-401			1	Rkm	Co															
4.	The sampling process was random and systematic (If more than 4 RO or 2 SRO questions were repeated from the last two NRC licensing exams, consult the NRR/NRO OL program office).			① BKC 1	① Rkm	Co															
5.	Question duplication from the licensee screening/audit exam was controlled as indicated below (check the item that applies) and appears appropriate  <input type="checkbox"/> The audit exam was systematically and randomly developed; or <input type="checkbox"/> the audit exam was completed before the license exam was started; or <input type="checkbox"/> the examinations were developed independently; or <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> the licensee certifies that there is no duplication; or <input type="checkbox"/> other (explain)			BK 1	Rkm	Co															
6.	Bank use meets limits (no more than 75 percent from the bank, at least 10 percent new, and the rest new or modified); enter the actual RO / SRO-only question distribution(s) at right	Bank	Modified	New	N/A	N/A															
		32/16	12/12	56/72	BK 1	Rkm															
7.	Between 50 and 60 percent of the questions on the RO exam are written at the comprehension/ analysis level; the SRO exam may exceed 60 percent if the randomly selected K/As support the higher cognitive levels; enter the actual RO / SRO question distribution(s) at right.	Memory	C/A		N/A	N/A															
		45.3/28	54.7/72		BK 1	Rkm															
8.	References/handouts provided do not give away answers or aid in the elimination of distractors.			BK 1	Rkm	Co															
9.	Question content conforms to specific K/A statements in the previously approved examination outline and is appropriate for the tier to which they are assigned; deviations are justified.			BK 1	Rkm	Co															
10.	Question psychometric quality and format meet the guidelines in ES Appendix B.			BK 1	Rkm	Co															
11.	The exam contains the required number of one-point, multiple choice items; the total is correct and agrees with the value on the cover sheet.			BK 1	Rkm	Co															
<table border="0"> <tr> <td></td> <td>Printed Name / Signature</td> <td>Date</td> </tr> <tr> <td>a. Author</td> <td>Bill Coble /  Larry Bueren</td> <td>1/19/17</td> </tr> <tr> <td>b. Facility Reviewer (*)</td> <td>Randal Martin / </td> <td>1-19-17</td> </tr> <tr> <td>c. NRC Chief Examiner (#)</td> <td>for Clyde Osterholtz / CC Osterholtz</td> <td>1-31-17</td> </tr> <tr> <td>d. NRC Regional Supervisor</td> <td>Vincent Gaddy / Vincent Gaddy</td> <td>2/3/17</td> </tr> </table>								Printed Name / Signature	Date	a. Author	Bill Coble /  Larry Bueren	1/19/17	b. Facility Reviewer (*)	Randal Martin / 	1-19-17	c. NRC Chief Examiner (#)	for Clyde Osterholtz / CC Osterholtz	1-31-17	d. NRC Regional Supervisor	Vincent Gaddy / Vincent Gaddy	2/3/17
	Printed Name / Signature	Date																			
a. Author	Bill Coble /  Larry Bueren	1/19/17																			
b. Facility Reviewer (*)	Randal Martin / 	1-19-17																			
c. NRC Chief Examiner (#)	for Clyde Osterholtz / CC Osterholtz	1-31-17																			
d. NRC Regional Supervisor	Vincent Gaddy / Vincent Gaddy	2/3/17																			
Note: * <input type="checkbox"/> The facility reviewer's initials or signature are not applicable for NRC-developed examinations. # <input type="checkbox"/> Independent NRC reviewer initials items in Column "c"; chief examiner concurrence required.																					

1. The written exam sample plan was generated and provided to the site by the NRC. The rejected K/A list was implemented by selecting alternate K/As randomly and systematically. No more than 4 RO and 2 SRO questions were repeated from the last two exams.

Facility: ANO Unit 2		Date of Examination: 2-13-17		Operating Test Number: 2017-1	
1. General Criteria		Initials			
		a	b*	c#	
a.	The operating test conforms with the previously approved outline; changes are consistent with sampling requirements (e.g., 10 CFR 55.45, operational importance, safety function distribution).	ZE	Rkm	CoD	
b.	There is no day-to-day repetition between this and other operating tests to be administered during this examination.	ZE	Rkm	CoD	
c.	The operating test shall not duplicate items from the applicants' audit test(s). (see Section D.1.a.)	ZE	Rkm	CoD	
d.	Overlap with the written examination and between different parts of the operating test is within acceptable limits.	ZE	Rkm	CoD	
e.	It appears that the operating test will differentiate between competent and less-than-competent applicants at the designated license level.	ZE	Rkm	CoD	
2. Walk-Through Criteria		--	--	--	
a.	Each JPM includes the following, as applicable: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>initial conditions</li> <li>initiating cues</li> <li>references and tools, including associated procedures</li> <li>reasonable and validated time limits (average time allowed for completion) and specific designation if deemed to be time-critical by the facility licensee</li> <li>operationally important specific performance criteria that include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>detailed expected actions with exact criteria and nomenclature</li> <li>system response and other examiner cues</li> <li>statements describing important observations to be made by the applicant</li> <li>criteria for successful completion of the task</li> <li>identification of critical steps and their associated performance standards</li> <li>restrictions on the sequence of steps, if applicable</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	ZE	Rkm	CoD	
b.	Ensure that any changes from the previously approved systems and administrative walk-through outlines (Forms ES-301-1 and 2) have not caused the test to deviate from any of the acceptance criteria (e.g., item distribution, bank use, repetition from the last 2 NRC examinations) specified on those forms and Form ES-201-2.	ZE	Rkm	CoD	
3. Simulator Criteria		--	--	--	
The associated simulator operating tests (scenario sets) have been reviewed in accordance with Form ES-301-4 and a copy is attached.		ZE	Rkm	CoD	
Printed Name / Signature		Date			
a.	Author <u>ZeLax/ZeJax</u>	<u>1/19/17</u>			
b.	Facility Reviewer(*) <u>Randal Martin / Rkm</u>	<u>1-19-17</u>			
c.	NRC Chief Examiner (#) <u>Mr. Clyde Osterholtz / CC Osterholtz</u>	<u>1-31-17</u>			
d.	NRC Supervisor <u>Vincent Gaddy / Vincent Gaddy</u>	<u>2/8/17</u>			
NOTE: * The facility signature is not applicable for NRC-developed tests. # Independent NRC reviewer initial items in Column "c"; chief examiner concurrence required.					

Facility: ANO Unit 2		Date of Exam: 2-13-17		Scenario Numbers: 2 / 3 / 4		Operating Test No.: 2017-1	
QUALITATIVE ATTRIBUTES			Initials				
			a	b*	c#		
1. The initial conditions are realistic, in that some equipment and/or instrumentation may be out of service, but it does not cue the operators into expected events. ✓			ZE	RKM	CD		
2. The scenarios consist mostly of related events. ✓			ZE	RKM	CD		
3. Each event description consists of <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>the point in the scenario when it is to be initiated *</li> <li>the malfunction(s) or conditions that are entered to initiate the event *</li> <li>the symptoms/cues that will be visible to the crew ✓</li> <li>the expected operator actions (by shift position) *</li> <li>the event termination point (if applicable) ✓</li> </ul>			ZE	RKM	CD		
4. The events are valid with regard to physics and thermodynamics.			ZE	RKM	CD		
5. Sequencing and timing of events is reasonable, and allows the examination team to obtain complete evaluation results commensurate with the scenario objectives. ✓			ZE	RKM	CD		
6. If time compression techniques are used, the scenario summary clearly so indicates. Operators have sufficient time to carry out expected activities without undue time constraints. Cues are given. ✓			ZE	RKM	CD		
7. The simulator modeling is not altered. ✓			ZE	RKM	CD		
8. The scenarios have been validated. Pursuant to 10 CFR 55.46(d), any open simulator performance deficiencies or deviations from the referenced plant have been evaluated to ensure that functional fidelity is maintained while running the planned scenarios.			ZE	RKM	CD		
9. Every operator will be evaluated using at least one new or significantly modified scenario. All other scenarios have been altered in accordance with Section D.5 of ES-301. *			ZE	RKM	CD		
10. All individual operator competencies can be evaluated, as verified using Form ES-301-6 (submit the form along with the simulator scenarios). ✓			ZE	RKM	CD		
11. The scenario set provides the opportunity for each applicant to be evaluated in each of the applicable rating factors. (Competency Rating factors as described on forms ES-303-1 and ES-303-3.) ✓			ZE	RKM	CD		
12. Each applicant will be significantly involved in the minimum number of transients and events specified on Form ES-301-5 (submit the form with the simulator scenarios). ✓			ZE	RKM	CD		
13. The level of difficulty is appropriate to support licensing decisions for each crew position. ✓			ZE	RKM	CD		
Target Quantitative Attributes (Per Scenario; See Section D.5.d)		Actual Attributes	-	-	-		
1. Malfunctions after EOP entry (1-2)		1 / 1 / 2 *	ZE	RKM	CD		
2. Abnormal events (2-4)		4 / 4 / 4 *	ZE	RKM	CD		
3. Major transients (1-2)		1 / 2 / 1 *	ZE	RKM	CD		
4. EOPs entered/requiring substantive actions (1-2)		1 / 1 / 1 *	ZE	RKM	CD		
5. EOP contingencies requiring substantive actions (0-2)		0 / 1 / 0 *	ZE	RKM	CD		
6. EOP based Critical tasks (2-3)		2 / 3 / 3 *	ZE	RKM	CD		
NOTE: * The facility signature is not applicable for NRC-developed tests. # Independent NRC reviewer initial items in Column "c"; chief examiner concurrence required.							



Facility: **2017 ANO Unit 2** Date of Exam: **02-22-2017** Exam Level: **RO YES SRO YES**

Item Description	Initials		
	a	b	c
1. Clean answer sheets copied before grading	BAC	Rkm	Cuo
2. Answer key changes and question deletions justified and documented	N/A	N/A	N/A
3. Applicants' scores checked for addition errors (reviewers spot check > 25% of examinations)	BAC	Rkm	Cuo
4. Grading for all borderline cases (80 $\pm$ 2% overall and 70 or 80, as applicable, $\pm$ 4% on the SRO-only) reviewed in detail	N/A	N/A	N/A
5. All other failing examinations checked to ensure that grades are justified	N/A	N/A	N/A
6. Performance on missed questions checked for training deficiencies and wording problems; evaluate validity of questions missed by half or more of the applicants	BAC	Rkm	Cuo

	Printed Name/Signature	Date
a. Grader	<u>Bill W. Coble / BAC</u>	<u>2-23-17</u>
b. Facility Reviewer(*)	<u>Randal Martin / Rkm</u>	<u>2-23-17</u>
c. NRC Chief Examiner (*)	<u>Clyde Osterholtz / Cuo</u>	<u>3/14/17</u>
d. NRC Supervisor (*)	<u>Vincent Gaddy / Vincent Gaddy</u>	<u>3/16/17</u>

(\*) The facility reviewer's signature is not applicable for examinations graded by the NRC; two independent NRC reviews are required.

# Operator Licensing Exam Schedule

From 01/01/2017 To 03/30/2017

Region: 4      Phase Code: 5

Exam Week	Site/Docket No./Insp Rpt #	# Candidates	Type	Exam Author	Chief Examiner	Examiners Assigned
09/09/2016	Arkansas Nuclear One / 05000368 / 2017301 TAC #: X02585		Prep		OSTERHOLTZ, CLYDE C.	HAYES, MAHDI O. KIRKLAND, JOHN C. OSTERHOLTZ, CLYDE C. STEELY, CHRISTOPHER D.
01/09/2017	Arkansas Nuclear One / 05000368 / 2017301 TAC #: X02585		Prep		OSTERHOLTZ, CLYDE C.	HAYES, MAHDI O. KIRKLAND, JOHN C. OSTERHOLTZ, CLYDE C. STEELY, CHRISTOPHER D.
01/14/2017	Arkansas Nuclear One / 05000368 / 2017301 TAC #: X02585		Prep		OSTERHOLTZ, CLYDE C.	HAYES, MAHDI O. KIRKLAND, JOHN C. OSTERHOLTZ, CLYDE C. STEELY, CHRISTOPHER D.
02/13/2017	Arkansas Nuclear One / 05000368 / 2017301 TAC #: X02585	RO - 8 SROU - 3	Admin	NFF	OSTERHOLTZ, CLYDE C.	HAYES, MAHDI O. HERNANDEZ, NICHOLAS A. KIRKLAND, JOHN C. OSTERHOLTZ, CLYDE C. STEELY, CHRISTOPHER D.
02/20/2017	Arkansas Nuclear One / 05000368 / 2017301 TAC #: X02585		Doc		OSTERHOLTZ, CLYDE C.	HAYES, MAHDI O. HERNANDEZ, NICHOLAS A. KIRKLAND, JOHN C. OSTERHOLTZ, CLYDE C. STEELY, CHRISTOPHER D.

**Operator Licensing Exam Schedule**  
**From 01/01/2017 To 03/30/2017**

Region: 4                      Phase Code: 5

**Summary By Date**

---

<b>09/2016</b>	<b>ANO - Arkansas Nuclear One</b>				
	RO - 0	SROI - 0	SROU - 0	LSRO - 0	Total for Arkansas Nuclear One: 0
<b>09/2016</b>					
	RO - 0	SROI - 0	SROU - 0	LSRO - 0	Total for 09/2016: 0
<b>01/2017</b>	<b>ANO - Arkansas Nuclear One</b>				
	RO - 0	SROI - 0	SROU - 0	LSRO - 0	Total for Arkansas Nuclear One: 0
<b>01/2017</b>					
	RO - 0	SROI - 0	SROU - 0	LSRO - 0	Total for 01/2017: 0
<b>02/2017</b>	<b>ANO - Arkansas Nuclear One</b>				
	RO - 8	SROI - 0	SROU - 3	LSRO - 0	Total for Arkansas Nuclear One: 11



Operator Licensing Exam Schedule  
From 01/01/2017 To 03/30/2017

Region: 4                      Phase Code: 5

Summary By Site

---

ANO - Arkansas Nuclear One				
RO - 8	SROI - 0	SROU - 3	LSRO - 0	Total for Arkansas Nuclear One: 11

Operator Licensing Exam Schedule  
From 01/01/2017 To 03/30/2017

Region: 4                      Phase Code: 5

Summary By Region

Region 4				
RO - 8	SROI - 0	SROU - 3	LSRO - 0	Total for Region 4: 11

ES-201

Examination Security Agreement





























Form ES-201-3

1. Pre-Examination

I acknowledge that I have acquired specialized knowledge about the NRC licensing examinations scheduled for the weeks of ~~02/06 & 02/13 2017~~ <sup>2/13 and 2/20 2017</sup> as of the date of my signature. I agree that I will not knowingly divulge any information about these examinations to any persons who have not been authorized by the NRC chief examiner. I understand that I am not to instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants scheduled to be administered these licensing examinations from this date until completion of examination administration, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC (e.g., acting as a simulator booth operator or communicator is acceptable if the individual does not select the training content or provide direct or indirect feedback). Furthermore, I am aware of the physical security measures and requirements (as documented in the facility licensee's procedures) and understand that violation of the conditions of this agreement may result in cancellation of the examinations and/or an enforcement action against me or the facility licensee. I will immediately report to facility management or the NRC chief examiner any indications or suggestions that examination security may have been compromised.

2. Post-Examination

To the best of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the NRC licensing examinations administered during the week(s) of ~~02/06 & 02/13 2017~~ <sup>2/13 and 2/20 2017</sup>. From the date that I entered into this security agreement until the completion of examination administration, I did not instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants who were administered these licensing examinations, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC.

PRINTED NAME	JOB TITLE / RESPONSIBILITY	SIGNATURE (1)	DATE	SIGNATURE (2)	DATE	NOTE
1. Bill Noble Jr	2017 U2 NRC Exam Project Lead		6/23/16		2/23/17	
2. John W. Cork	Instructor / Exam Reviewer		6/23/16		2/23/17	
3. Steve Garchow	Exam Oversight		6/23/16		2/23/17	
4. Robert Passage	U1 Instructor / Exam Reviewer		6/23/16		2/23/17	
5. Amy Preston	2017 U2 Exam Author		6/23/16		2/23/17	
6. Jim Wright	2017 U2 NRC Exam Lead		6/23/16		2/23/17	
7. David Z Lacy	Exam Developer		7-7-16		2-22-17	
8. JEFFERY D HUNTER	OPS REPRESENTATIVE		7-20-16		7-28-16	①
9. LLOYD A CAWYER	OPS REPRESENTATIVE		7/25/16		2-23-17	
10. Deaver R Walker Jr	Sim Support		8/31/16		2/23/17	
11. Jennifer Brazil	Exam Validator		9/13/16		2-23-17	
12. Scott Thessing	Exam Validator		9/13/16		2-22-17	
13. TODD A ERSKINE	REACTOR ENGINEER		9/19/16		2-23-17	
14. Randal Martin	Trng Supt / Facility Reviewer		9/28/16		2-23-17	
15. TANNER SNOW	EXAM VALIDATOR		10/7/16		2-23-17	

NOTES:

- ① Individual was removed from Security Agreement prior to being exposed to any ~~Exam~~ Exam material due to conflicts with his job duties as a procedure writer could cause potential Exam security issue. Discussed with Lead NRC Examiner.

1. Pre-Examination

I acknowledge that I have acquired specialized knowledge about the NRC licensing examinations scheduled for the week(s) of 01/30 & 02/06 2017 as of the date of my signature. I agree that I will not knowingly divulge any information about these examinations to any persons who have not been authorized by the NRC chief examiner. I understand that I am not to instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants scheduled to be administered these licensing examinations from this date until completion of examination administration, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC (e.g., acting as a simulator booth operator or communicator is acceptable if the individual does not select the training content or provide direct or indirect feedback). Furthermore, I am aware of the physical security measures and requirements (as documented in the facility licensee's procedures) and understand that violation of the conditions of this agreement may result in cancellation of the examinations and/or an enforcement action against me or the facility licensee. I will immediately report to facility management or the NRC chief examiner any indications or suggestions that examination security may have been compromised.

2. Post-Examination

To the best of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the NRC licensing examinations administered during the week(s) of 01/30 & 02/06 2017. From the date that I entered into this security agreement until the completion of examination administration, I did not instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants who were administered these licensing examinations, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC.

PRINTED NAME	JOB TITLE / RESPONSIBILITY	SIGNATURE (1)	DATE	SIGNATURE (2)	DATE	NOTE
1. <u>SHANTZ HOOPER</u>	<u>EXAM VALIDATOR</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>10/10/16</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>2/28/17</u>	
2. <u>Josh Smith</u>	<u>Exam Validator</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>10-11-16</u>	<u>per email Smith Pkm</u>	<u>2-28-17</u>	
3. <u>David Davis</u>	<u>Exam Validator</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>10-26-16</u>	<u>per email Davis Pkm</u>	<u>2-24-17</u>	
4. <u>JEFF HORTON</u>	<u>ROM LOGS Management Review</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>11/7/16</u>	<u>per email Horton Pkm</u>	<u>2-23-17</u>	
5. <u>Nick Soe</u>	<u>LOG FLEET LEAD</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>11/9/16</u>	<u>per email Joe Pkm</u>	<u>2-23-17</u>	
6. <u>Chris Linz</u>	<u>Exam Validator</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>1-5-17</u>	<u>per email Linz Pkm</u>	<u>3-7-17</u>	
7. <u>Thomas Malise</u>	<u>Exam Validator</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>1-6-17</u>	<u>Thomas L. Malise</u>	<u>2-23-17</u>	
8. <u>Jonathan Cheek</u>	<u>Exam Validator</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>1-10-17</u>	<u>per email Cheek Pkm</u>	<u>2-23-17</u>	
9. <u>Nick Ledbetter</u>	<u>Exam Validator</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>1-10-17</u>	<u>per email Ledbetter Pkm</u>	<u>2-27-17</u>	
10. <u>Brian Hurley</u>	<u>Instructor / Exam (sim) support</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>2/6/17</u>	<u>per telecon Hurley Pkm</u>	<u>2-23-17</u>	
11. <u>Gregory Wallace</u>	<u>Instructor / Sequester</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>2-6-17</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>2-23-17</u>	
12. <u>THOMAS BRUCE</u>	<u>Instructor / Sequester</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>2-6-17</u>	<u>per email Bruce Pkm</u>	<u>2-23-17</u>	
13. <u>Henry Taylor</u>	<u>Instructor / Sequester</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>2-6-17</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>2-23-17</u>	
14. <u>Don Thomsen</u>	<u>Instructor / Sequester</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>2-13-17</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>2-28-17</u>	
15. <u>Mitchell Robinson</u>	<u>CSG</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>2-13-17</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>2-23-17</u>	

NOTES:

ES-201

## Examination Security Agreement

Form ES-201-3

1. Pre-Examination

I acknowledge that I have acquired specialized knowledge about the NRC licensing examinations scheduled for the week(s) of 2/13 and 2/20 2017 as of the date of my signature. I agree that I will not knowingly divulge any information about these examinations to any persons who have not been authorized by the NRC chief examiner. I understand that I am not to instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants scheduled to be administered these licensing examinations from this date until completion of examination administration, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC (e.g., acting as a simulator booth operator or communicator is acceptable if the individual does not select the training content or provide direct or indirect feedback). Furthermore, I am aware of the physical security measures and requirements (as documented in the facility licensee's procedures) and understand that violation of the conditions of this agreement may result in cancellation of the examinations and/or an enforcement action against me or the facility licensee. I will immediately report to facility management or the NRC chief examiner any indications or suggestions that examination security may have been compromised.

2. Post-Examination

To the best of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the NRC licensing examinations administered during the week(s) of 2/13 and 2/20 2017. From the date that I entered into this security agreement until the completion of examination administration, I did not instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants who were administered these licensing examinations, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC.

PRINTED NAME	JOB TITLE / RESPONSIBILITY	SIGNATURE (1)	DATE	SIGNATURE (2)	DATE	NOTE
1. John Taylor	Simulator Support Technician	John Z. Taylor	2/13/17	John Z. Taylor	2/20/17	
2. Scott Cypri	Simulator Support Tech	Scott Cypri	2/13/17	Scott Cypri	2/20/17	
3. DAVID OERTLING	OPERATIONS Mgmt/OBS	David Oertling	2-14-17	David Oertling	2-23-17	
4. TERRY A EVANS	G.M.P.O.	Terry A Evans	2-15-17	Terry A Evans	2-23-17	
5. DAVID OERTLING	OPERATIONS Mgmt/OBS	David Oertling	2-23-17	David Oertling	2-23-17	
6.						
7.						
8.						
9.						
10.						
11.						
12.						
13.						
14.						
15.						

NOTES: