

JPM#	1. Dyn (D/S)	2. LOD (1-5)	3. Attributes					4. Job Content Errors		5. U/E/S	6. Explanation (See below for instructions)
			IC Focus	Cues	Critical Steps	Scope (N/B)	Over- lap	Job- Link	Minutia		
A1										S	
A2										E S	Tcpc is not recorded. In step 4 of the JPM Standards, removed the requirement to "Record Tcpc in Table 1 of Section 3.0"
A3										E S	Provide hard copy prints rather than having applicants use the computer. Changed the Task Performance Aids to state: "OP-2107.002 ESF Electrical System OPS Rev. 37 Attachment 2B62; Electrical E-Prints E-2241 Sheets 1, 1A, and 2 and Mechanical P&ID Drawings M-2231 Sheet 1, 2, 3, and 4 will be provided to the applicants."
A4										E S	No need to inform the examiner when making adjustments. Deleted "Inform examiner when ready to adjust 2RITS-0645 alarm setpoint IAW step 11.3.2." for the initiating cue.
A5										S	
A6										S	
A7										S	
A8										S	
A9										S	

Instructions for Completing Matrix

This form is not contained in or required by NUREG-1021. Utilities are not required or encouraged to use it. The purpose of this form is to enhance regional consistency in reviewing operating tests. Additional information on these areas may be found in Examination Good Practices Appendix D. Check or mark any item(s) requiring comment and explain the issue in the space provided.

- Determine whether the task is dynamic (D) or static (S). A dynamic task is one that involves continuous monitoring and response to varying parameters. A static task is basically a system reconfiguration or realignment.
- Determine level of difficulty (LOD) using established 1-5 rating scale. Levels 1 and 5 represent inappropriate (low or high) discriminatory level for the license being tested.
- Check the appropriate box when an attribute weakness is identified:
 - The initiating cue is not sufficiently clear to ensure the operator understands the task and how to begin.
 - The JPM does not contain sufficient cues that are objective (not leading).
 - All critical steps (elements) have not been properly identified.
 - Scope of the task is either too narrow (N) or too broad (B).
 - Excessive overlap with other part of operating test or written examination.
- Check the appropriate box when a job content error is identified:
 - Topics not linked to job content (e.g., disguised task, not required in real job).
 - Task is trivial and without safety significance.
- Based on the reviewer's judgment, is the JPM as written (U)nacceptable (requiring repair or replacement), in need of (E)ditorial enhancement, or (S)atisfactory?
- Provide a brief description of any U or E rating in the explanation column.
- Save initial review comments as normal black text; indicate how comments were resolved using **blue text** so that each JPM used on the exam is reflected by a (S)atisfactory resolution on this form.

JPM#	1. Dyn (D/S)	2. LOD (1-5)	3. Attributes					4. Job Content Errors		5. U/E/S	6. Explanation (See below for instructions)
			IC Focus	Cues	Critical Steps	Scope (N/B)	Over- lap	Job- Link	Minuta		
S1					X					E S	Add examiner note before step 1 for a booth call for local action is ok. Step 25, standard column, word "and" should be "any." Note after step 25 that Step 6 is N/A. Remove critical step designation from steps 28 and 29. Added note before step 1. Changed and to any. Added note after step 25. Removed critical steps from steps 28 and 29.
S2										E S	Examiner cue in step 2 should be "what do you recommend?" Can steps 3 and 4 be performed in any order? Added examiner cue to step 2. Added note before step 3 that steps 3 and 4 can be performed in any order.
S3										S	
S4										S	
S5										E S	Task standard should include "without going below 600 psi." Step 6 standard column, "Not Applicant" should be "Not Applicable." Modified task standard. Changed not applicant to not applicable.
S6										S	
S7										S	
S8										S	
P1										E S	Add a photo of 2PI-1007. Added a photo of 2PI-1007.
P2											
P3											

Instructions for Completing Matrix

This form is not contained in or required by NUREG-1021. Utilities are not required or encouraged to use it. The purpose of this form is to enhance regional consistency in reviewing operating tests. Additional information on these areas may be found in Examination Good Practices Appendix D. Check or mark any item(s) requiring comment and explain the issue in the space provided.

- Determine whether the task is dynamic (D) or static (S). A dynamic task is one that involves continuous monitoring and response to varying parameters. A static task is basically a system reconfiguration or realignment.
- Determine level of difficulty (LOD) using established 1-5 rating scale. Levels 1 and 5 represent inappropriate (low or high) discriminatory level for the license being tested.
- Check the appropriate box when an attribute weakness is identified:
 - \$ The initiating cue is not sufficiently clear to ensure the operator understands the task and how to begin.
 - \$ The JPM does not contain sufficient cues that are objective (not leading).
 - \$ All critical steps (elements) have not been properly identified.
 - \$ Scope of the task is either too narrow (N) or too broad (B).
 - \$ Excessive overlap with other part of operating test or written examination.
- Check the appropriate box when a job content error is identified:
 - Topics not linked to job content (e.g., disguised task, not required in real job).
 - Task is trivial and without safety significance.
- Based on the reviewer's judgment, is the JPM as written (U)nacceptable (requiring repair or replacement), in need of (E)ditorial enhancement, or (S)atisfactory?
- Provide a brief description of any U or E rating in the explanation column.

7. Save initial review comments as normal black text; indicate how comments were resolved using [blue text](#) so that each JPM used on the exam is reflected by a (S)atisfactory resolution on this form.

AN2-2017-02										DRAFT OPERATING TEST COMMENTS										SCENARIOS									
Scenario Set	1. ES	2. TS	3. Crit	4. IC	5. Pred	6. TL	7. L/C	8. Eff	9. U/E/S	10. Explanation (See below for instructions)																			
General Comment: Tech specs should be listed in the D2, as well as the actions required. (i.e., TS 3.7.1.2, action b, restore to operable within 72 hours, or be in Hot Shutdown within the next 12 hours. If tech specs may be entered, the D2 should so state, and the conditions that would require entering them.																													
1									S	No specific comments. Comments likely during validation.																			
2									S	No specific comments. Comments likely during validation.																			
3									S	No specific comments. Comments likely during validation.																			
4									S	No specific comments. Comments likely during validation.																			
<p><u>Instructions for Completing Matrix</u></p> <p>This form is not contained in or required by NUREG-1021. Utilities are not required or encouraged to use it. The purpose of this form is to enhance regional consistency in reviewing operating test scenario sets. Additional information on these areas may be found in Examination Good Practices Appendix D. Check or mark any item(s) requiring comment and explain the issue in the space provided.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> ES: ES-301 checklists 4, 5, & 6 satisfied. TS: Set includes SRO TS actions for each SRO, with required actions explicitly detailed. Crit: Each manipulation or evolution has explicit success criteria documented in Form ES-D-2. IC: Out of service equipment and other initial conditions reasonably consistent between scenarios and not predictive of scenario events and actions. Pred: Scenario sequence and other factors avoid predictability issues. TL: Time line constructed, including event and process triggered conditions, such that scenario can run without routine examiner cuing. L/C: Length and complexity for each scenario in the set is reasonable for the crew mix being examined, such that all applicants have reasonably similar exposure and events are needed for evaluation purposes. Eff: Sequence of events is reasonably efficient for examination purposes, especially with respect to long delays or interactions. Based on the reviewer=s judgment, rate the scenario set as (U)nacceptable (requiring repair or replacement), in need of (E)ditorial enhancement, or (S)atisfactory. Provide a brief description of problem in the explanation column. Save initial review comments as normal black text; indicate how comments were resolved using blue text so that each JPM used on the exam is reflected by a (S)atisfactory resolution on this form. 																													