

REGULATORY INFORMATION DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM (RIDS)

ACCESSION NBR: 8404060315 DOC. DATE: 84/04/02 NOTARIZED: NO DOCKET #
 FACIL: 50-220 Nine Mile Point Nuclear Station, Unit 1, Niagara Powe 05000220
 AUTH. NAME: AUTHOR AFFILIATION
 MANGAN, C.V. Niagara Mohawk Power Corp.
 RECIP. NAME: RECIPIENT AFFILIATION
 VASSALLO, D.B. Operating Reactors Branch 2

SUBJECT: Application to amend License DPR-63, consisting of revised pages to Tech Specs re triple low reactor water level setpoint change. Changes involve replacing 147.1 inch indicator scale w/-10 inch indicator scale.

DISTRIBUTION CODE: A001S COPIES RECEIVED: LTR 1 ENCL 1 SIZE: 3+8
 TITLE: OR Submittal: General Distribution

NOTES:

RECIPIENT ID CODE/NAME	COPIES LTTR ENCL	RECIPIENT ID CODE/NAME	COPIES LTTR ENCL
NRR ORB2 BC. 01	7 7		
INTERNAL: ELD/HDS3	1 0	NRR/DE/MTEB	1 1
NRR/DL DIR	1 1	NRR/DL/ORAB	1 0
NRR/DSI/METB	1 1	NRR/DSI/RAB	1 1
<u>REG. FILE</u> 04	1 1	RGN1	1 1
EXTERNAL: ACRS 09	6 6	LPDR 03	1 1
NRC PDR 02	1 1	NSIC 05	1 1
NTIS	1 1		

Published weekly, except on Sundays, by the American Medical Association, 535 North Dearborn Street, Chicago, Ill. 60610.
Subscription price, \$5.00 per annum in advance. Single copies, 15 cents.
Entered as Second-Class Matter, May 2, 1912, Post Office at Chicago, Ill., under No. 384,391.
Acceptance for mailing at special rate of postage provided for in Act of October 3, 1917, authorized on July 1, 1968.
Postage paid at Chicago, Ill., and at additional mailing offices.
Copyright, 1968, by American Medical Association

Subscription orders, notices of change of address, notices of non-receipt, and notices of subscription termination should be sent to the American Medical Association, 535 North Dearborn Street, Chicago, Ill. 60610.
Claims for missing issues will be considered only if made immediately on receipt of subsequent issue.

Subscription orders, notices of change of address, notices of non-receipt, and notices of subscription termination should be sent to the American Medical Association, 535 North Dearborn Street, Chicago, Ill. 60610.
Claims for missing issues will be considered only if made immediately on receipt of subsequent issue.

NOTES:

1968		1967		1966		1965		1964	
Subscriptions	Advertising	Subscriptions	Advertising	Subscriptions	Advertising	Subscriptions	Advertising	Subscriptions	Advertising
1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000
1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000
1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000
1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000
1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000
1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000
1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000
1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000
1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000

April 2, 1984

Director of Nuclear Reactor Regulation
Attention: Mr. Domenic B. Vassallo, Chief
Operating Reactors Branch No. 2
Division of Licensing
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D.C. 20555

Re: Nine Mile Point Unit 1
Docket No. 50-220
DPR-63

Dear Mr. Vassallo:

Our May 22, 1980 letter provided an application for an amendment to Operating License DPR-63 that incorporated a recommendation of General Electric Service Information Letter (SIL) 299 "High Drywell Temperature Effect on Reactor Vessel Water Level Instrumentation." That application involved adjusting the triple low reactor water level setpoint 20 inches to conservatively account for possible differences in actual to indicated water level. Raising the setpoint 20 inches resulted from Niagara Mohawk's evaluation of the recommendations contained in the General Electric SIL 299. The adjusted setpoint was established at 6 feet, 3 inches below minimum normal water level 302 feet, 9 inches (147.1 inches indicator scale).

Subsequent to the May 22, 1980 application, by letter dated August 5, 1980, Niagara Mohawk submitted another application for an amendment to the Technical Specifications. This latter submittal affected the same pages as the May 22, 1980 submittal. That application involved revising the indicator scale readings to provide a common reference level for vessel instrumentation as outlined in NUREG 0737 Item II.K.3.27. The triple low reactor water level indicator scale value was changed from 147.1 inches to -10 inches.

8404060315 840402
PDR ADCK 05000220
P PDR

A001
1/1

1 2 3 4 5

[illegible]

Figure 1. The effect of the concentration of the *Agrobacterium* suspension on the transformation efficiency of *Agrobacterium* strains. The concentration of the *Agrobacterium* suspension was 10⁶ cells/ml (A), 10⁷ cells/ml (B), 10⁸ cells/ml (C), and 10⁹ cells/ml (D). The concentration of the *Agrobacterium* suspension was 10⁶ cells/ml (A), 10⁷ cells/ml (B), 10⁸ cells/ml (C), and 10⁹ cells/ml (D). The concentration of the *Agrobacterium* suspension was 10⁶ cells/ml (A), 10⁷ cells/ml (B), 10⁸ cells/ml (C), and 10⁹ cells/ml (D). The concentration of the *Agrobacterium* suspension was 10⁶ cells/ml (A), 10⁷ cells/ml (B), 10⁸ cells/ml (C), and 10⁹ cells/ml (D).

(8)

1. The first step in the process of the investigation is the identification of the problem. This is done by the investigator, who is usually a member of the research team. The investigator will identify the problem by looking at the data and trying to find out what is going on.

2. The second step is to define the problem. This is done by the investigator, who will define the problem in terms of the research question. The research question is a statement that describes the problem and what the investigator wants to find out.

3. The third step is to design the study. This is done by the investigator, who will design the study in terms of the research question. The study design is a plan that describes how the investigator will collect and analyze the data.

4. The fourth step is to collect the data. This is done by the investigator, who will collect the data in terms of the research question. The data collection is the process of gathering information about the problem.

5. The fifth step is to analyze the data. This is done by the investigator, who will analyze the data in terms of the research question. The data analysis is the process of looking at the data and trying to find out what it means.

6. The sixth step is to interpret the results. This is done by the investigator, who will interpret the results in terms of the research question. The interpretation is the process of looking at the results and trying to find out what they mean.

7. The seventh step is to write the report. This is done by the investigator, who will write the report in terms of the research question. The report is a document that describes the results of the investigation.

8. The eighth step is to present the results. This is done by the investigator, who will present the results in terms of the research question. The presentation is the process of showing the results to the research team.

9. The ninth step is to discuss the results. This is done by the investigator, who will discuss the results in terms of the research question. The discussion is the process of talking about the results and trying to find out what they mean.

10. The tenth step is to conclude the investigation. This is done by the investigator, who will conclude the investigation in terms of the research question. The conclusion is the final step in the process of the investigation.

[illegible]

Amendment 45 was subsequently issued in response to the August 5, 1980 submittal. However, the triple low reactor water level setpoint was stated as being 7 feet, 11 inches (-30 inches indicator scale). Our May 22, 1980 application correctly states the triple low setpoint at 6 feet, 3 inches but includes the old indicator scale values. Therefore, Niagara Mohawk intends to supplement and clarify our outstanding May 22, 1980 submittal with the attached revised Technical Specification pages. As noted below, the changes involve replacing the 147.1 inches indicator scale with the -10 inches indicator scale. The following pages should be replaced in their entirety with the attached revised pages. Revisions have been indicated with marginal revision bars.

1. Replace pages 6, 13, 53a, 59, 159 and 213 of our May 22, 1980 submittal with the attached revised pages 6, 13, 53a, 59, 159 and 213. The revision involves changing the 147.1 inches indicator scale value to -10 inches. In addition, the second paragraph on page 13 was revised to include an explanation of why the triple low setpointed was changed.
2. Replace page 52 of our current Technical Specifications with the attached revised page 52. The revision involves changing the 7 feet, 11 inches and -30 inches indicator scale to 6 feet, 3 inches and -10 inches indicator scale, respectively. This page was inadvertently omitted from the May 22, 1980 application.
3. Replace page 20 in our May 22, 1980 submittal with the attached revised page 20. The reference number for General Electric SIL 299 should now be 14 because of a previous amendment that contained a number 13 reference.

The current Technical Specifications list the triple low setpoint to be 7 feet, 11 inches (-30 inches indicator scale). The actual instrumentation is set at 6 feet, 3 inches (-10 inches indicator scale). The higher triple low trip setting is more restrictive, and therefore, in the conservative direction.

This proposed revision to the May 22, 1980 Technical Specification change regarding the triple low reactor water level setpoint change involves no significant hazard considerations. Therefore, the operation of Nine Mile Point Unit 1 in accordance with the proposed amendment will not 1) involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated, 2) create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated, or 3) involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety. This determination is based on the following analysis.

The revision is being submitted to achieve consistency with the actual instrumentation scales at Nine Mile Point Unit 1. This change in indicator scale was previously approved by your staff in Amendment 45 dated June 1, 1981. This proposed determination is supported by the fact that the requested action corresponds with example (i) of the Sholly Rule published in the Federal Register on April 6, 1983, which involves a change to achieve consistency, correction of an error or a change in nomenclature.

April 2, 1984

Page 3

The information contained in this submittal has been reviewed and approved by the Site Operations Review Committee and the Safety Review and Audit Board.

Sincerely,

NIAGARA MOHAWK POWER CORPORATION


C. V. Mangan

Vice President

Nuclear Engineering and Licensing

CVM/MTG:djm
Attachments

1. The first part of the document is a list of names and addresses of the members of the committee.

2.

3. The second part of the document is a list of names and addresses of the members of the committee.

4. The third part of the document is a list of names and addresses of the members of the committee.

5. The fourth part of the document is a list of names and addresses of the members of the committee.

6. The fifth part of the document is a list of names and addresses of the members of the committee.

7. The sixth part of the document is a list of names and addresses of the members of the committee.

8. The seventh part of the document is a list of names and addresses of the members of the committee.