

**ENCLOSURE 2 TO NL-17-002**

**ENTERGY ENGINEERING REPORT NO. IP-RPT-16-00078,**

**“INDIAN POINT RAI CLI-16-07 SAMA COST-BENEFIT SENSITIVITIES,”**

**REV. 0 (JAN. 4, 2017)**



**ENTERGY NUCLEAR**  
**Engineering Report Cover Sheet**

**Engineering Report Title:**  
Indian Point RAI CLI-16-07 SAMA Cost-Benefit Sensitivities

**Engineering Report Type:**

New ☒ Revision ☐ Cancelled ☐ Superseded ☐  
Superseded by: \_\_\_\_\_

**Applicable Site(s)**

IP1 ☐ IP2 ☒ IP3 ☒ JAF ☐ PNPS ☐ VY ☐ WPO ☐  
ANO1 ☐ ANO2 ☐ ECH ☐ GGNS ☐ RBS ☐ WF3 ☐ PLP ☐

EC No. 68462

**Report Origin:** ☐ Entergy ☒ Vendor  
Vendor Document No.: none

**Quality-Related:** ☐ Yes ☒ No

Prepared by: Lori Ann Potts / *Lori Ann Potts* Date: 1-4-2017  
Responsible Engineer (Print Name/Sign)

Design Verified: N/A Date: \_\_\_\_\_  
Design Verifier (if required) (Print Name/Sign)

Reviewed by: Andrew J. Spotts / *Andrew Spotts* Date: 1-4-2017  
Reviewer (Print Name/Sign)  
Andrew Spotts  
Date: 2017.01.04  
10:14:04-06'00'

Approved by: David J Lach / *D. J. Lach* Date: 1/4/17  
Supervisor / Manager (Print Name/Sign)

Indian Point RAI CLI-16-07 SAMA Cost-Benefit Sensitivities	IP-RPT-16-00078 Revision 0 Page 2 of 84
--	---

### REVISION DESCRIPTION SHEET

Revision Number	Description	Pages and/or Sections Revised
0	Initial Issue	All

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

1.0	Purpose .....	4
2.0	Summary of Results .....	5
3.0	References .....	15
4.0	Assumptions .....	16
5.0	Evaluation of Potentially Cost-Beneficial SAMAs for the Sensitivity Cases .....	17
5.1	MACCS2 Sensitivity Cases .....	17
5.2	Sensitivity Case 0 – Original Baseline .....	18
5.3	Sensitivity Case 4 – Revised Baseline (Escalated VALWNF and VNFRM) .....	20
5.4	Sensitivity Case 5 – Revised TIMDEC and CDNFRM (with Escalated VALWNF and VNFRM) .....	22
5.5	Sensitivity Case 7 – Alternate Revised Baseline (Escalated VALWNF and VNFRM and revised VDEPOS) .....	23
5.6	Sensitivity Case 8 – Alternate Revised TIMDEC and CDNFRM (with Escalated VALWNF and VNFRM and revised VDEPOS) .....	25
6.0	Conclusions .....	26
	Attachment 1 – List of Electronic Files .....	83



## 1.0 Purpose

This report documents a revised baseline SAMA cost-benefit analysis and SAMA cost-benefit sensitivity cases that were performed to support the response to an NRC Staff Request for Additional Information (RAI) pertaining to the NRC Commission Decision CLI-16-07 for Indian Point Energy Center (IPEC).

On May 4, 2016, the Commission issued a decision (CLI-16-07) in the Indian Point Nuclear Generating Unit Nos 2 and 3 (IP2 and IP3) license renewal proceeding, in which it directed the NRC staff to supplement the IP2 and IP3 Severe Accident Mitigation Alternatives (SAMA) analysis with additional sensitivity analyses. Specifically, the Commission held that documentation was lacking for two inputs – decontamination time (TIMDEC) and cost to decontaminate non-farmland (CDNFRM) – used in the MELCOR Accident Consequence Code (MACCS) computer analyses, and that uncertainties in those input values could potentially affect the SAMA analysis cost-benefit conclusions. The Commission therefore directed the NRC staff to perform additional sensitivity analyses. (Reference 1)

In an RAI letter dated September 12, 2016, the NRC staff requested the following additional information (Reference 2):

1. Entergy Nuclear Operations, Inc. (Entergy) is requested to scale up the value of nonfarm wealth (VALWNF) input to the SAMA analysis' base-year dollars (see pp. 41-42 of CLI-16-07), and to re-run its base analyses using this corrected VALWNF input. Entergy is requested to evaluate how the change in VALWNF may affect its identification of potentially cost-beneficial SAMAs.
2. Using the revised baseline from Request 1, Entergy is requested to run supplemental sensitivity analyses using the input values specified in CLI-16-07. Specifically:
  - a. Apply the maximum values specified by the Commission and allowed by the MACCS code for TIMDEC and CDNFRM values (one year (365 days) and \$100,000, respectively) for "heavy decontamination" (i.e., the decontamination/dose reduction factor of 15).
  - b. Exercise the additional option to explain, with sufficient justification, its rationale for choosing any additional values for the TIMDEC and CDNFRM inputs for its sensitivity analyses.

Entergy at a minimum should run its sensitivity analyses for the four worst release categories, as specified in CLI-16-07. Entergy is requested to evaluate how these sensitivity analyses may affect its identification of potentially cost-beneficial SAMAs.

3. Upon completing these additional analyses, Entergy is requested to submit the input and output files for the IP2 and IP3 MACCS code. Additionally, Entergy is requested to submit the spreadsheet (or equivalent table if another method is used) that conveys the population dose and off-site economic cost for each release category and integrates the results into a Population Dose Risk and an Offsite Economic Cost Risk for IP2 and IP3.

## 2.0 Summary of Results

The results of this analysis provide the following information requested in the RAI letter dated September 12, 2016 (Reference 2).

### Response to RAI 1:

Entergy Nuclear Operations, Inc. (Entergy) scaled up the value of nonfarm wealth (VALWNF) input to the SAMA analysis' base-year (2005) dollars (Reference 4). VALWNF is used in the CHRONC input file and represents an average value for the 50 mile region.

- Original (without lost tourism/business) VALWNF = \$163,631/person. This was developed using SECPOP2000 and its economic database from the 1997 Census of Agriculture.
- Original VALWNF with lost tourism / business = \$208,838/person. The lost tourism / business component is based on 2004 data. The lost tourism/business component is \$45,207/person.

Consumer Price Index Values (Series CUUR0000SA0, All Urban Consumers) are used for escalation purposes:

- Annual 1997 CPI = 160.5
- Annual 2004 CPI = 188.9
- Annual 2005 CPI = 195.3
- Escalation Factor 1997-2005 = 1.22
- Escalation Factor 2004-2005 = 1.03

2005 VALWNF is calculated as follows:

- VALWNF without lost tourism/business = \$163,631/person \* 1.22 = \$199,630/person
- Lost tourism / business component = \$45,207/person \* 1.03 = \$46,563/person
- Total with lost tourism/ business (2005 dollars)= \$246,193/person, rounded up to **\$247,000/person**

Entergy also scaled up the individual county average VNFRM values of nonfarm wealth used in the SITE input file. The same approach and CPI escalation values developed for the VALWNF calculation are applied at the county level data. The values for each county are shown in Table 1 (Reference 4).

Table 1 – Original and Escalated VNFRM County-Level Values

Region	Basis Year for Dollar Values:	1997	1997, 2004	2004	2005
	County	Original No Tourism VNFRM (\$)	Original With Tourism VNFRM (\$)	Tourism Portion (\$)	With Tourism VNFRM (\$)
1	FAIRFIELD	232659	287881	55222	340723
2	BERGEN	205863	262186	56323	309166
3	LITCHFIELD	148522	186016	37494	219816
4	NEWHAVEN	144105	192427	48322	225580
5	ESSEX	147351	197400	50049	231319
6	DUTCHESS	129000	169417	40417	199010
7	MORRIS	213389	277661	64272	326535
8	PASSAIC	121880	161864	39984	189877
9	SUSSEX	136197	165741	29544	196591
10	UNION	160860	209708	48848	246563
11	KINGS	104714	123701	18987	147308
12	NASSAU	192755	239932	47177	283753
13	ORANGE	113976	148873	34897	174995
14	PUTNAM	154926	180274	25348	215118
15	QUEENS <sup>1</sup>	169126	226728	57602	265664
16	ROCKLAND	163105	203359	40254	240450
17	SUFFOLK	149615	192471	42856	226672
18	SULLIVAN	104859	139374	34515	163478
19	ULSTER	104090	138739	34649	162678
20	WESTCHESTER	217278	263389	46111	312573

<sup>1</sup> The Queens economic region comprises four very small counties within New York city (New York, Hudson, Queens, Bronx counties) combined as a weighted average to be certain that economic information pertaining to New York City was included in the analysis. (Reference 9)

Entergy re-ran the baseline analyses using the VALWNF and VNFRM values escalated to 2005 dollar values. Table 2 and Table 3 show the resulting Population Dose Risk (PDR) and Offsite Economic Risk (OECR) for the revised baseline. Comparison of these results to the baseline results in the 2009 analysis (Reference 3) shows a modest OECR increase of approximately 9-10% and no appreciable change in the PDR.

Table 2 - IP2 Escalated VALWNF and VNFRM MACCS2 Results<sup>2</sup>

Release Category	Frequency (per yr)	Population Dose (p-rem)	Population Dose Risk (p-rem/yr)	PDR % of Total	Offsite Economic Cost (\$)	Offsite Economic Cost Risk (\$/yr)	OECR % of Total
H/E	6.50E-07	6.51E+07	4.23E+01	48.44%	2.27E+11	1.48E+05	63.33%
H/L	6.88E-07	1.63E+07	1.12E+01	12.84%	5.04E+10	3.47E+04	14.88%
M/E	4.23E-07	1.94E+07	8.21E+00	9.39%	6.36E+10	2.69E+04	11.55%
M/L	3.43E-06	6.87E+06	2.36E+01	26.98%	6.59E+09	2.26E+04	9.70%
L/E	1.11E-07	7.94E+06	8.81E-01	1.01%	6.93E+09	7.69E+02	0.33%
L/L	6.43E-07	1.61E+06	1.04E+00	1.19%	7.15E+08	4.60E+02	0.20%
LL/L	5.82E-08	1.38E+06	8.03E-02	0.09%	6.10E+08	3.55E+01	0.02%
NCF	1.19E-05	4.75E+03	5.65E-02	0.06%	1.07E+05	1.27E+00	0.00%
<b>Total</b>	1.79E-05	--	8.74E+01	100%	--	2.33E+05	100%

Table 3 – IP3 Escalated VALWNF and VNFRM MACCS2 Results<sup>3</sup>

Release Category	Frequency (per yr)	Population Dose (p-rem)	Population Dose Risk (p-rem/yr)	PDR % of Total	Offsite Economic Cost (\$)	Offsite Economic Cost Risk (\$/yr)	OECR % of Total
H/E	9.43E-07	5.09E+07	4.80E+01	50.55%	1.86E+11	1.75E+05	61.50%
H/L	4.23E-07	1.63E+07	6.89E+00	7.26%	5.00E+10	2.12E+04	7.42%
M/E	1.24E-06	2.00E+07	2.48E+01	26.12%	6.01E+10	7.45E+04	26.13%
M/L	2.01E-06	6.85E+06	1.38E+01	14.50%	6.59E+09	1.32E+04	4.64%
L/E	1.46E-07	5.21E+06	7.61E-01	0.80%	3.87E+09	5.65E+02	0.20%
L/L	3.75E-07	1.61E+06	6.04E-01	0.64%	7.14E+08	2.68E+02	0.09%

<sup>2</sup> Table 2E in IP-RPT-16-00077 (Reference 4)<sup>3</sup> Table 3E in IP-RPT-16-00077 (Reference 4)

Table 3 – IP3 Escalated VALWNF and VNFRM MACCS2 Results<sup>3</sup>

Release Category	Frequency (per yr)	Population Dose (p-rem)	Population Dose Risk (p-rem/yr)	PDR % of Total	Offsite Economic Cost (\$)	Offsite Economic Cost Risk (\$/yr)	OECR % of Total
LL/L	5.66E-08	1.38E+06	7.81E-02	0.08%	6.10E+08	3.45E+01	0.01%
NCF	6.30E-06	8.04E+03	5.07E-02	0.05%	3.17E+05	2.00E+00	0.00%
<b>Total</b>	1.15E-05	--	9.50E+01	100%	--	2.85E+05	100%

The revised baseline with escalation of VALWNF and VNFRM resulted in one additional potentially cost-beneficial SAMA as shown below.

Phase II SAMA	Description	Revised Baseline Benefit	Revised Baseline Benefit With Uncertainty	Estimated Cost (NL-14-143)
IP2-021	021 - Install additional pressure or leak monitoring instrumentation for ISLOCAs.	2,216,549	<u>4,666,419</u>	<u>4,632,227</u>

**Response to RAI 2.a:**

Using the revised baseline from RAI 1, Entergy ran supplemental sensitivity analyses using the input values specified in CLI-16-07. Specifically, the maximum values specified by the Commission and allowed by the MACCS2 code for TIMDEC and CDNFRM values (one year (365 days) and \$100,000, respectively) for "heavy decontamination" (i.e., the decontamination/dose reduction factor of 15) were applied. The parameter value changes are applied to all release categories for consistency, (i.e., not just the four worst release categories as specified in the RAI.)

Table 4 and Table 5 show the PDR and OECR results when the TIMDEC and CDNFRM values are increased to the maximum MACCS2 allowed values as stipulated in the RAI, with the revised baseline. Comparison of these results to the baseline results in the 2009 analysis (Reference 3) shows that the OECR increases approximately 126-133%. Also, the PDR increase is much smaller, approximately 11-12%.

**Table 4 - IP2 Increased TIMDEC and CDNFRM (with Escalated VALWNF and VNFRM) MACCS2 Sensitivity Results<sup>4</sup>**

Release Category	Frequency (per yr)	Population Dose (p-rem)	Population Dose Risk (p-rem/yr)	PDR % of Total	Offsite Economic Cost (\$)	Offsite Economic Cost Risk (\$/yr)	OECR % of Total
H/E	6.50E-07	7.90E+07	5.14E+01	52.57%	5.03E+11	3.27E+05	68.35%
H/L	6.88E-07	1.69E+07	1.16E+01	11.90%	8.56E+10	5.89E+04	12.31%
M/E	4.23E-07	2.06E+07	8.71E+00	8.92%	1.25E+11	5.29E+04	11.05%
M/L	3.43E-06	6.97E+06	2.39E+01	24.48%	1.09E+10	3.74E+04	7.82%
L/E	1.11E-07	8.03E+06	8.91E-01	0.91%	1.12E+10	1.24E+03	0.26%
L/L	6.43E-07	1.63E+06	1.05E+00	1.07%	1.45E+09	9.32E+02	0.19%
LL/L	5.82E-08	1.39E+06	8.09E-02	0.08%	1.24E+09	7.22E+01	0.02%
NCF	1.19E-05	4.75E+03	5.65E-02	0.06%	1.07E+05	1.27E+00	0.00%
<b>Total</b>	1.79E-05	--	9.77E+01	100%	--	4.78E+05	100%

<sup>4</sup> Table 2F in IP-RPT-16-00077 (Reference 4)

**Table 5 - IP3 Increased TIMDEC and CDNFRM (with Escalated VALWNF and VNFRM) MACCS2 Sensitivity Results<sup>5</sup>**

Release Category	Frequency (per yr)	Population Dose (p-rem)	Population Dose Risk (p-rem/yr)	PDR % of Total	Offsite Economic Cost (\$)	Offsite Economic Cost Risk (\$/yr)	OECR % of Total
H/E	9.43E-07	5.95E+07	5.61E+01	53.42%	4.51E+11	4.25E+05	69.93%
H/L	4.23E-07	1.69E+07	7.15E+00	6.81%	8.52E+10	3.60E+04	5.93%
M/E	1.24E-06	2.12E+07	2.63E+01	25.03%	9.95E+10	1.23E+05	20.29%
M/L	2.01E-06	6.95E+06	1.40E+01	13.30%	1.09E+10	2.19E+04	3.60%
L/E	1.46E-07	5.30E+06	7.74E-01	0.74%	6.15E+09	8.98E+02	0.15%
L/L	3.75E-07	1.63E+06	6.11E-01	0.58%	1.45E+09	5.44E+02	0.09%
LL/L	5.66E-08	1.39E+06	7.87E-02	0.07%	1.24E+09	7.02E+01	0.01%
NCF	6.30E-06	8.04E+03	5.07E-02	0.05%	3.17E+05	2.00E+00	0.00%
<b>Total</b>	1.15E-05	--	1.05E+02	100%	--	6.08E+05	100%

Increasing the TIMDEC and CDNFRM values to the maximum MACCS2 allowed values as stipulated in the RAI, with the revised baseline, resulted in one additional potentially cost-beneficial SAMA as shown below.

Phase II SAMA	Description	Sensitivity Benefit	Estimated Cost (IP-RPT-09-00044)
IP3-057	057 - Provide backup cooling water source for the CCW heat exchangers.	<u>118,434</u>	<u>109,000</u>

<sup>5</sup> Table 3F in IP-RPT-16-00077 (Reference 4)

**Response to RAI 2.b:**

RAI 2.b gives Entergy the additional option to explain, with sufficient justification, its rationale for choosing any additional values for the TIMDEC and CDNFRM inputs for its sensitivity analyses. Entergy does not propose additional values for the TIMDEC and CDNFRM inputs. However, Entergy does propose an alternate value for variable VDEPOS, dry deposition velocity.

In Entergy's original SAMA analysis, the dry deposition velocity, VDEPOS, was set to 0.010 m/sec in the ATMOS input file. The recent NRC's State-of-the-Art Reactor Consequence Analyses (SOARCA) Project found a value of 0.003m/sec to be the dominant or average value for use in SOARCA. Thus, 0.003m/sec is viewed as more representative than the value of 0.010m/sec used in the NUREG-1150 studies.

Entergy ran an alternative revised baseline using the VALWNF and VNFRM values escalated to 2005 dollar values, as described in the response to RAI 1, but with a VDEPOS value of 0.003m/sec (Reference 4). Table 6 and Table 7 show the resulting PDR and OECR for the alternative revised baseline. Comparison of these results to those in Table 2 and Table 3 shows that the lower deposition velocity more than offsets the increase associated with escalating the value of non-farm wealth to 2005 values. Comparison of these results to the baseline results in the 2009 analysis (Reference 3) shows that the OECR decreases between 10-17% compared to the SAMA basecase. The PDR value changes a small amount (2.5% decrease for IP-2; 1.8% increase for IP-3).

**Table 6 - IP2 Escalated VALWNF and VNFRM and Revised VDEPOS MACCS2 Results<sup>6</sup>**

Release Category	Frequency (per yr)	Population Dose (p-rem)	Population Dose Risk (p-rem/yr)	PDR % of Total	Offsite Economic Cost (\$)	Offsite Economic Cost Risk (\$/yr)	OECR % of Total
H/E	6.50E-07	6.85E+07	4.45E+01	52.30%	1.94E+11	1.26E+05	66.34%
H/L	6.88E-07	1.60E+07	1.10E+01	12.93%	3.71E+10	2.55E+04	13.43%
M/E	4.23E-07	1.90E+07	8.04E+00	9.44%	4.28E+10	1.81E+04	9.52%
M/L	3.43E-06	5.80E+06	1.99E+01	23.37%	5.71E+09	1.96E+04	10.30%
L/E	1.11E-07	6.40E+06	7.10E-01	0.83%	4.67E+09	5.18E+02	0.27%
L/L	6.43E-07	1.33E+06	8.55E-01	1.00%	3.72E+08	2.39E+02	0.13%
LL/L	5.82E-08	1.12E+06	6.52E-02	0.08%	3.19E+08	1.86E+01	0.01%
NCF	1.19E-05	3.25E+03	3.87E-02	0.05%	4.00E+03	4.76E-02	0.00%
<b>Total</b>	<b>1.79E-05</b>	<b>--</b>	<b>8.51E+01</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>--</b>	<b>1.90E+05</b>	<b>100%</b>

<sup>6</sup> Table 2H in IP-RPT-16-00077 (Reference 4)



Table 7 - IP3 Escalated VALWNF and VNFRM and Revised VDEPOS MACCS2 Results<sup>7</sup>

Release Category	Frequency (per yr)	Population Dose (p-rem)	Population Dose Risk (p-rem/yr)	PDR % of Total	Offsite Economic Cost (\$)	Offsite Economic Cost Risk (\$/yr)	OECR % of Total
H/E	9.43E-07	5.49E+07	5.18E+01	53.59%	1.45E+11	1.37E+05	62.57%
H/L	4.23E-07	1.60E+07	6.77E+00	7.01%	3.71E+10	1.57E+04	7.18%
M/E	1.24E-06	2.03E+07	2.52E+01	26.06%	4.36E+10	5.41E+04	24.74%
M/L	2.01E-06	5.79E+06	1.16E+01	12.05%	5.71E+09	1.15E+04	5.25%
L/E	1.46E-07	4.48E+06	6.54E-01	0.68%	2.85E+09	4.16E+02	0.19%
L/L	3.75E-07	1.33E+06	4.99E-01	0.52%	3.72E+08	1.40E+02	0.06%
LL/L	5.66E-08	1.12E+06	6.34E-02	0.07%	3.19E+08	1.81E+01	0.01%
NCF	6.30E-06	5.54E+03	3.49E-02	0.04%	1.17E+04	7.37E-02	0.00%
<b>Total</b>	1.15E-05	--	9.66E+01	100%	--	2.19E+05	100%

The alternative baseline with the change to VDEPOS along with escalation of VALWNF and VNFRM did not result in additional potentially cost-beneficial SAMAs.

Using the alternative revised baseline (with escalated VALWNF and VNFRM and revised VDEPOS), Entergy ran supplemental sensitivity analyses using the input values specified in CLI-16-07 (Reference 4). Specifically, the maximum values specified by the Commission and allowed by the MACCS code for TIMDEC and CDNFRM values (one year (365 days) and \$100,000, respectively) for "heavy decontamination" (i.e., the decontamination/dose reduction factor of 15) were applied. The parameter value changes are applied to all release categories for consistency, (i.e., not just the four worst release categories as specified in the RAI.) Table 8 and Table 9 show the PDR and OECR results, when the TIMDEC and CDNFRM values are increased to the maximum MACCS2 allowed values as stipulated in the RAI, with the alternate revised baseline. Comparison of these results to the baseline results in the 2009 analysis (Reference 3) shows that the OECR increases approximately 82-91%. The PDR increase is much smaller, approximately 7-10%.

<sup>7</sup> Table 3H in IP-RPT-16-00077 (Reference 4)

**Table 8 - IP2 Increased TIMDEC and CDNFRM (with Escalated VALWNF and VNFRM and Revised VDEPOS) MACCS2 Sensitivity Results<sup>8</sup>**

Release Category	Frequency (per yr)	Population Dose (p-rem)	Population Dose Risk (p-rem/yr)	PDR % of Total	Offsite Economic Cost (\$)	Offsite Economic Cost Risk (\$/yr)	OECR % of Total
H/E	6.50E-07	7.90E+07	5.14E+01	55.22%	4.49E+11	2.92E+05	72.05%
H/L	6.88E-07	1.66E+07	1.14E+01	12.28%	7.10E+10	4.88E+04	12.06%
M/E	4.23E-07	1.99E+07	8.42E+00	9.05%	7.96E+10	3.37E+04	8.31%
M/L	3.43E-06	5.87E+06	2.01E+01	21.65%	8.57E+09	2.94E+04	7.26%
L/E	1.11E-07	6.45E+06	7.16E-01	0.77%	7.02E+09	7.79E+02	0.19%
L/L	6.43E-07	1.33E+06	8.55E-01	0.92%	7.21E+08	4.64E+02	0.11%
LL/L	5.82E-08	1.12E+06	6.52E-02	0.07%	6.09E+08	3.54E+01	0.01%
NCF	1.19E-05	3.25E+03	3.87E-02	0.04%	4.00E+03	4.76E-02	0.00%
<b>Total</b>	1.79E-05	--	9.30E+01	100%	--	4.05E+05	100%

**Table 9 - IP3 Increased TIMDEC and CDNFRM (with Escalated VALWNF and VNFRM and Revised VDEPOS) MACCS2 Sensitivity Results<sup>9</sup>**

Release Category	Frequency (per yr)	Population Dose (p-rem)	Population Dose Risk (p-rem/yr)	PDR % of Total	Offsite Economic Cost (\$)	Offsite Economic Cost Risk (\$/yr)	OECR % of Total
H/E	9.43E-07	6.16E+07	5.81E+01	55.72%	3.59E+11	3.39E+05	71.22%
H/L	4.23E-07	1.65E+07	6.98E+00	6.70%	7.10E+10	3.00E+04	6.32%
M/E	1.24E-06	2.11E+07	2.62E+01	25.10%	7.15E+10	8.87E+04	18.65%
M/L	2.01E-06	5.85E+06	1.18E+01	11.28%	8.56E+09	1.72E+04	3.62%
L/E	1.46E-07	4.52E+06	6.60E-01	0.63%	4.10E+09	5.99E+02	0.13%
L/L	3.75E-07	1.33E+06	4.99E-01	0.48%	7.21E+08	2.70E+02	0.06%
LL/L	5.66E-08	1.12E+06	6.34E-02	0.06%	6.09E+08	3.45E+01	0.01%
NCF	6.30E-06	5.54E+03	3.49E-02	0.03%	1.17E+04	7.37E-02	0.00%
<b>Total</b>	1.15E-05	--	1.04E+02	100%	--	4.75E+05	100%

Increasing the TIMDEC and CDNFRM values to the maximum MACCS2 allowed values as stipulated in the RAI, with the alternative revised baseline, did not result in additional potentially cost-beneficial SAMAs.

**Response to RAI 3:**

The input and output files for the IP2 and IP3 MACCS code are provided. Additionally, the tables in the prior responses convey the population dose and off-site economic cost for each release category and integrate the results into a Population Dose Risk and an Offsite Economic Cost Risk for IP2 and IP3.

### 3.0 References

1. ML16125A150, NRC Commission Memorandum and Order (CLI-16-07), May 4, 2016
2. ML16232A119, "Request for Additional Information Related to the Indian Point Nuclear Generating Unit Nos. 2 and 3 License Renewal Application Environmental Review, Severe Accident Mitigation Alternatives", NRC, September 12, 2016
3. IP-RPT-09-00044, "Re-analysis of IP2 and IP3 Severe Accident Mitigation Alternatives (SAMAs)," Rev. 0, December 2009
4. IP-RPT-16-00077, "Indian Point RAI CLI-16-07 MACCS2 Sensitivities," Rev. 0, December 2016
5. ML14337A042, Entergy letter NL-14-143, Reply to Request for Additional Information Regarding the License Renewal Application, Indian Point Nuclear Generating Unit Nos. 2 and 3, November 20, 2014
6. IP-RPT-07-00007, "IP2 Cost-Benefit Analysis of Severe Accident Mitigation Alternatives," Rev. 0, April 2007
7. IP-RPT-07-00008, "IP3 Cost-Benefit Analysis of Severe Accident Mitigation Alternative," Rev. 0, April 2007
8. IPEC-CALC-09-00265, "Re-analysis of MACCS2 Models for IPEC," Rev. 0, December 2009
9. ENERCON, "Site-Specific MACCS2 Input Data for Indian Point Energy Center," Rev. 1, December 2009

**4.0 Assumptions**

- 4.1. Assumptions utilized in IP-RPT-09-00044 (Reference 3), the prior SAMA cost-benefit analysis of record, are carried forward to this calculation.
- 4.2. Assumptions utilized in IP-RPT-16-00077 (Reference 4), the MACCS2 analysis of sensitivities for the RAI response, are carried forward to this calculation.

## 5.0 Evaluation of Potentially Cost-Beneficial SAMAs for the Sensitivity Cases

### 5.1 MACCS2 Sensitivity Cases

In IP-RPT-16-00077 (Reference 4), a series of MACCS2 sensitivity cases are performed with adjustments to select MACCS2 parameter input values. For each sensitivity case, the MACCS2 results for total 50-mile offsite costs and 50-mile population dose for each release category are multiplied by the applicable release category frequency to calculate the SAMA metrics of Offsite Economic Cost Risk (OECR) and Population Dose Risk (PDR). The OECR and PDR of the various sensitivity cases are then compared against applicable reference cases to determine the impact of the revised parameters.

More MACCS2 cases are documented in IP-RPT-16-00077 than are strictly required to address the RAI response. To expedite the evaluation, these additional cases were not carried through the SAMA cost-benefit analysis. Thus, the evaluation of potentially cost-beneficial SAMAs was only performed for the following MACCS2 cases.

For each IPEC unit, the following MACCS2 sensitivity cases are evaluated.

- Case 0
  - SAMA Base Case Check
  - This case confirms that the results of IP-RPT-09-00044 (Reference 3) can be reproduced with the applicable MACCS2 files upon which the sensitivity cases are built.
- Case 4
  - VALWNF & VNFRM (including lost tourism and business) are escalated to 2005 values. VALWNF is used in the CHRONC input file and represents an average value for the 50 mile region. VNFRM is used in the SITE input file and is calculated on a county basis. Calculation of these values is presented below.
  - This case addresses Part 1 of the RAI (i.e., corrected VALWNF), and represents the "revised baseline" as specified in the RAI.
- Case 5
  - TIMDEC is escalated to one year (365 days) and CDNFRM is escalated to \$100,000/person for DF=15 in the CHRONC input file.
  - VALWNF & VNFRM (including lost tourism and business) are escalated to 2005 values in the CHRONC and SITE input files, respectively.
  - This case addresses Part 2(a) of the RAI.
- Case 7
  - VALWNF & VNFRM (including lost tourism and business) are escalated to 2005 values in the CHRONC and SITE input files, respectively.

- The dry deposition velocity, VDEPOS, is set to 0.003 m/sec in the ATMOS input file rather than the value of 0.010 m/sec. The value of 0.003m/sec is documented by the recent NRC's State-of-the-Art Reactor Consequence Analyses (SOARCA) Project to be the dominant or average value for use in SOARCA and is viewed as more representative than the value of 0.010m/sec used in the NUREG-1150 studies.
- Similar to Case 4, Case 7 addresses Part 1 of the RAI (i.e., corrected VALWNF), and represents the "revised baseline" as specified in the RAI, except with an updated dry deposition velocity.
- Case 8
  - TIMDEC is escalated to one year (365 days) and CDNFRM is escalated to \$100,000/person for DF=15 in the CHRONC input file.
  - VALWNF & VNFRM (including lost tourism and business) are escalated to 2005 values in the CHRONC and SITE input files, respectively.
  - The dry deposition velocity, VDEPOS, is set to 0.003 m/sec in the ATMOS input file rather than the value of 0.010 m/sec.
  - Similar to Case 5, Case 8 addresses Part 2(a) of the RAI (i.e., corrected VALWNF, increased TIMDEC & CDNFRM), as specified in the RAI, except with an updated dry deposition velocity.

## 5.2 Sensitivity Case 0 – Original Baseline

### A. Base Case for the Majority of the SAMAs

The SAMA cost-benefit analyses in IP-RPT-09-00044 (Reference 3) were performed using un-linked spreadsheets in which some data was inserted manually. See Attachment 1 for a list of the spreadsheets. To facilitate the evaluation of multiple sensitivity cases, a combined spreadsheet was created, named "Case 0 IPEC SAMA Sensitivities."

This spreadsheet contains a worksheet, "Spreadsheet Conversion," documenting the conversion from the 2009 vintage worksheets and confirming that the combined spreadsheet calculates the 2009 benefit value for each SAMA. For a limited number of SAMAs, the combined spreadsheet calculates a slightly different benefit value from that calculated in 2009. These instances occur because the combined spreadsheet rounds values consistently for all of the SAMAs, which was not the case in the un-linked spreadsheets.

The combined spreadsheet facilitates sensitivity evaluations for the majority of the SAMAs because the PDR and OECR values from the sensitivity cases in IP-RPT-16-00077 (Reference 4) can be placed in worksheets "IP2 MACCS2 Output" and "IP3 MACCS2 Output" and the benefit values are automatically re-calculated and populated in columns C and D of the "Case # (cost-benefit)" worksheet for comparison with the implementation cost estimates. Columns G and H of the "Case # (cost-benefit)" worksheet contain the implementation cost estimates from IP-RPT-09-00044 (Reference 3) and NL-14-143 (Reference 5), respectively.

**B. Base Case for SAMAs IP2-020 and IP3-018**

SAMA IP2-020, "Route the discharge from the main steam safety valves through a structure where a water spray would condense the steam and remove most of the fission products," does not use the same MACCS2 output as the other SAMAs. As described in Appendix B of IP-RPT-04-00007 (Reference 6), analysis case 16 was used to evaluate SAMA IP2-020. A bounding analysis was performed by reducing SGTR accident progression source terms by a factor of 2.

The source terms used for the IP2-020 analysis are contained in file "IP2 SAMA Radionuclide Release Results-Level2-20.xls." A comparison of the IP2-020 source terms with those in Table A-10 of IP-RPT-07-00007 shows that the only differences are the release fractions for the Early High (H-E), Early Medium (M-E), and Early Low (L-E) release categories. This is reasonable, since SGTR accidents are bypass events, which contribute to early release categories.

Since the source term is different for this SAMA, the MACCS2 output is also different for this SAMA. Therefore, the MACCS2 Case 0, Case 4, Case 5, Case 7, and Case 8 sensitivities were reevaluated with the IP2-020 source term information (Reference 4). An additional worksheet, "IP2-020 MACCS2 Output," was added to the combined spreadsheet, "Case 0 IPEC SAMA Sensitivities," to calculate the base case benefits and facilitate the sensitivity evaluations for IP2-020.

SAMA IP3-018, "Route the discharge from the main steam safety valves through a structure where a water spray would condense the steam and remove most of the fission products," does not use the same MACCS2 output as the other SAMAs. As described in Appendix B of IP-RPT-04-00008 (Reference 7), analysis case 14 was used to evaluate SAMA IP3-018. A bounding analysis was performed by reducing SGTR accident progression source terms by a factor of 2.

The source terms used for the IP3-018 analysis are contained in file "IP3 SAMA Radionuclide Release Results-Level2-18.xls." A comparison of the IP3-018 source terms with those in Table A.10 of IP-RPT-07-00008 shows that the only differences are the release fractions for the Early High (H-E), Early Medium (M-E), and Early Low (L-E) release categories. This is reasonable, since SGTR accidents are bypass events, which contribute to early release categories.

Since the source term is different for this SAMA, the MACCS2 output is also different for this SAMA. Therefore, the MACCS2 Case 0, Case 4, Case 5, Case 7, and Case 8 sensitivities were reevaluated with the IP2-020 source term information (Reference 4). An additional worksheet, "IP3-018 MACCS2 Output," was added to the combined spreadsheet, "Case 0 IPEC SAMA Sensitivities," to calculate the base case benefits and facilitate the sensitivity evaluations for IP3-018.

**C. Altered Base Case for TI-SGTR SAMAs**

Section 3.6 of IP-RPT-09-00044 (Reference 3) describes the analysis performed to evaluate the impact of more pessimistic assumptions regarding scenarios that involve the potential for a thermally induced steam generator tube rupture (TI-SGTR). The NUREG-1570 full conditional induced SGTR value (0.25) for moderate tube degradation was applied to all high/dry sequences in the Level 2 model for each unit; in both station blackout and transient sequences.



In the TI-SGTR analysis, twenty seven IP2 SAMAs and twenty two IP3 SAMAs were identified as potentially impacted by the TI-SGTR assumption.

IP2 SAMAs: 1, 6, 18, 19, 20, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 35, 39, 40, 42, 44, 46, 52, 54, 59, 60, 61, 62, 65, 66

IP3 SAMAs: 1, 16, 17, 18, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 33, 38, 40, 42, 43, 55, 56, 58, 61, 62

Since IP2 SAMAs 28, 44, 54, 60, 61, 62 and 65 and IP3 SAMAs 55, 61 and 62 were previously determined to be potentially cost beneficial, they were not re-evaluated. Of the remaining SAMAs, a detailed evaluation was performed for those for which the cost outweighed the benefit by less than a factor of five. This screening criterion was applied to facilitate the re-evaluation by limiting it to those potentially impacted SAMA candidates with a realistic possibility of becoming cost-beneficial.

The unscreened IP2 SAMAs were SAMAs 1, 6, 25, 29, 40 and 52.

The unscreened IP3 SAMAs were SAMAs 1, 16, 18, 30, 40 and 43.

The source terms used for the IP2 TI-SGTR analysis are contained in file "IP2 Radionuclide Release Results-Base-RAI#5.xls." The source terms used for the IP3 TI-SGTR analysis are contained in file "IP3 Radionuclide Release Results-Base-RAI#5.xls." A comparison of the TI-SGTR source terms with those in Table A-10 of IP-RPT-07-00007 and Table A.10 of IP-RPT-07-00008 shows that the only differences are the timing and release fractions for the Early High (H-E) release category. This is reasonable, since high/dry sequences would be expected to contribute to this release category.

Since the source term is different for these SAMAs, the MACCS2 output is also different for these SAMAs. Therefore, the MACCS2 Case 0, Case 4, Case 5, Case 7, and Case 8 sensitivities were reevaluated with the TI-SGTR source term information (Reference 4). Additional worksheets (IP2 TI-SGTR Base, IP2 TI-SGTR MACCS2 Output, IP3 TI-SGTR Base, IP3 TI-SGTR MACCS2 Output, and individual TI-SGTR SAMA worksheets) were added to the combined spreadsheet, "Case 0 IPEC SAMA Sensitivities," to calculate the base case benefits and facilitate the sensitivity evaluations for the TI-SGTR SAMAs.

### 5.3 Sensitivity Case 4 – Revised Baseline (Escalated VALWNF and VNFRM)

- Case 4
  - VALWNF & VNFRM (including lost tourism and business) are escalated to 2005 values. VALWNF is used in the CHRONC input file and represents an average value for the 50 mile region. VNFRM is used in the SITE input file and is calculated on a county basis.
  - This case addresses Part 1 of the RAI (i.e., corrected VALWNF), and represents the "revised baseline" as specified in the RAI.

The following steps were performed to evaluate Sensitivity Case 4.

1. Copied combined spreadsheet and renamed, "Case 4 IPEC SAMA Sensitivities.xlsx."
2. Renamed worksheet "Case 0 (cost-benefit)" to "Case 4 (cost-benefit)" and deleted columns J and K from this worksheet.
3. Copied PDR and OECR values from the sensitivity cases in IP-RPT-16-00077 into the appropriate worksheets in Case 4 IPEC SAMA Sensitivities.xlsx (see table below).

Worksheet in Case 4 IPEC SAMA Sensitivities.xlsx	Spreadsheet from IP-RPT-16-00077	Worksheet in IP-RPT-16-00077 Spreadsheet
IP2 MACCS2 Output	2016 IPEC MACCS2 Sens – RevD.xlsx	U2 Results Detailed
IP2-020 MACCS2 Output		
IP2 TI-SGTR MACCS2 Output		
IP3 MACCS2 Output		U3 Results Detailed
IP3-018 MACCS2 Output		
IP3 TI-SGTR MACCS2 Output		

4. The benefit values were automatically re-calculated and populated in columns C and D of the "Case 4 (cost-benefit)" worksheet for comparison with the implementation cost estimates.
5. Since Case 4 is considered a "new baseline," the SAMAs potentially impacted by the TI-SGTR assumption were re-screened consistent with the screening in IP-RPT-09-00044 and described in Section 5.2.C above.

In the TI-SGTR analysis, twenty seven IP2 SAMAs and twenty two IP3 SAMAs were identified as potentially impacted by the TI-SGTR assumption.

IP2 SAMAs: 1, 6, 18, 19, 20, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 35, 39, 40, 42, 44, 46, 52, 54, 59, 60, 61, 62, 65, 66

IP3 SAMAs: 1, 16, 17, 18, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 33, 38, 40, 42, 43, 55, 56, 58, 61, 62

Since IP2 SAMAs 28, 44, 54, 60, 61, 62 and 65 and IP3 SAMAs 55, 61 and 62 were previously determined to be potentially cost beneficial, they were not re-evaluated. Of the remaining SAMAs, a detailed evaluation was performed for those for which the cost outweighed the benefit by less than a factor of five. [Column J of the "Case 4 (cost-benefit)" worksheet was used for this screening.] This screening criterion was applied to facilitate the re-evaluation by limiting it to those potentially impacted SAMA candidates with a realistic possibility of becoming cost-beneficial.

In Case 0, the unscreened IP2 SAMAs were SAMAs 1, 6, 25, 29, 40 and 52.  
In Case 4, the unscreened IP2 SAMAs are SAMAs 1, 25, 29, 40 and 52.

In Case 0, the unscreened IP3 SAMAs were SAMAs 1, 16, 18, 30, 40 and 43.  
In Case 4, the unscreened IP3 SAMAs are SAMAs 1, 16, 17, 30, 40 and 43.

6. As described in Section 5.2.C above for the other TI-SGTR SAMAs, an additional worksheet was added to the Case 4 spreadsheet to evaluate the IP3-017 TI-SGTR benefit. The "Case 4 (cost-benefit)" worksheet was adjusted accordingly.
7. SAMA IP2-006 does not meet the screening criterion in Case 4 due to rounding of the benefit values. However, the TI-SGTR analysis for this SAMA was retained in the Case 4 spreadsheet.
8. SAMA IP3-018 does not meet the screening criterion in Case 4 due to the new implementation cost estimate reported in NL-14-143 (Reference 5). Nevertheless, the TI-SGTR analysis for this SAMA was retained in the Case 4 spreadsheet. This SAMA does not become cost-beneficial in Case 4 or Case 5, so there is no impact from keeping the TI-SGTR analysis.

#### 5.4 Sensitivity Case 5 – Revised TIMDEC and CDNFRM (with Escalated VALWNF and VNFRM)

- Case 5
  - TIMDEC is escalated to one year (365 days) and CDNFRM is escalated to \$100,000/person for DF=15 in the CHRONC input file.
  - VALWNF and VNFRM (including lost tourism and business) are escalated to 2005 values in the CHRONC and SITE input files, respectively.
  - This case addresses Part 2(a) of the RAI.

The following steps were performed to evaluate Sensitivity Case 5.

1. Copied Case 4 spreadsheet and renamed, "Case 5 IPEC SAMA Sensitivities.xlsx."
2. Renamed worksheet "Case 4 (cost-benefit)" to "Case 5 (cost-benefit)."
3. Cleared the contents of columns D and F and revised equations in column I to use the values in columns C and E for comparison. Since Case 5 is a sensitivity analysis on the revised baseline from Case 4, the 95<sup>th</sup> percentile uncertainty sensitivity does not also need to be calculated. Also, deleted column J since the TI-SGTR screening was performed in Case 4.
4. Copied PDR and OECR values from the sensitivity cases in IP-RPT-16-00077 into the appropriate worksheets in Case 5 IPEC SAMA Sensitivities.xlsx (see table below).

Worksheet in Case 5 IPEC SAMA Sensitivities.xlsx	Spreadsheet from IP-RPT-16-00077	Worksheet in IP-RPT-16-00077 Spreadsheet
IP2 MACCS2 Output	2016 IPEC MACCS2 Sens – RevD.xlsx	U2 Results Detailed
IP2-020 MACCS2 Output		
IP2 TI-SGTR MACCS2 Output		
IP3 MACCS2 Output		
IP3-018 MACCS2 Output		U3 Results Detailed
IP3 TI-SGTR MACCS2 Output		

5. The benefit values were automatically re-calculated and populated in columns C and E of the "Case 5 (cost-benefit)" worksheet for comparison with the implementation cost estimates.
6. A few of the IP2 SAMAs showed a negative benefit in the Case 5 results. This occurred because the SAMAs had no benefit and the release mode frequencies for the individual SAMAs carried more digits than the release mode frequencies for the IP2-Base Benefit. The release mode frequencies from the IP2-Base Benefit worksheet were copied over the release mode frequencies in worksheets IP2-033, IP2-046, IP2-047, IP2-051, IP2-055, and IP2-058. This corrects the SAMA benefits in the Case 5 (Cost-Benefit) worksheet so that they are zero, rather than negative.

#### 5.5 Sensitivity Case 7 – Alternate Revised Baseline (Escalated VALWNF and VNFRM and revised VDEPOS)

- Case 7
  - VALWNF & VNFRM (including lost tourism and business) are escalated to 2005 values in the CHRONC and SITE input files, respectively.
  - The dry deposition velocity, VDEPOS, is set to 0.003 m/sec in the ATMOS input file rather than the IP-CALC-09-00265 (Reference 8) value of 0.010 m/sec. The value of 0.003m/sec is documented by the recent NRC's State-of-the-Art Reactor Consequence Analyses (SOARCA) Project to be the dominant or average value for use in SOARCA and is viewed as more representative than the value of 0.010m/sec used in the NUREG-1150 studies.
  - Similar to Case 4, Case 7 addresses Part 1 of the RAI (i.e., escalated VALWNF), and represents the "revised baseline" as specified in the RAI, except with an updated dry deposition velocity.

The following steps were performed to evaluate Sensitivity Case 7.

1. Copied combined spreadsheet and renamed, "Case 7 IPEC SAMA Sensitivities.xlsx."
2. Renamed worksheet "Case 0 (cost-benefit)" to "Case 7 (cost-benefit)" and deleted columns J and K from this worksheet.
3. Copied PDR and OECR values from the sensitivity cases in IP-RPT-16-00077 into the appropriate worksheets in Case 7 IPEC SAMA Sensitivities.xlsx (see table below).

Worksheet in Case 4 IPEC SAMA Sensitivities.xlsx	Spreadsheet from IP-RPT-16-00077	Worksheet in IP-RPT-16-00077 Spreadsheet
IP2 MACCS2 Output	2016 IPEC MACCS2 Sens – RevD.xlsx	U2 Results Detailed
IP2-020 MACCS2 Output		
IP2 TI-SGTR MACCS2 Output		
IP3 MACCS2 Output		
IP3-018 MACCS2 Output		U3 Results Detailed
IP3 TI-SGTR MACCS2 Output		

4. The benefit values were automatically re-calculated and populated in columns C and D of the "Case 7 (cost-benefit)" worksheet for comparison with the implementation cost estimates.
5. A few of the IP2 SAMAs showed a negative benefit in the Case 7 results. This occurred because the SAMAs had little or no benefit and the release mode frequencies for the individual SAMAs carried more digits than the release mode frequencies for the IP2-Base Benefit. The release mode frequencies from the IP2-Base Benefit worksheet were copied over the release mode frequencies in worksheets IP2-012, IP2-013, IP2-016, IP2-033, IP2-046, IP2-047, IP2-051, IP2-055, and IP2-058. Also, the IP2-Base Benefit release frequencies for the non-NCF release modes were copied over the release mode frequencies in worksheet IP2-067. This corrects the SAMA benefits in the Case 5 (Cost-Benefit) worksheet so that they are non-negative.
6. Since Case 7 is a proposed, alternate "new baseline," the SAMAs potentially impacted by the TI-SGTR assumption were re-screened consistent with the screening in IP-RPT-09-00044 and described in Section 5.2.C above.

In the TI-SGTR analysis, twenty seven IP2 SAMAs and twenty two IP3 SAMAs were identified as potentially impacted by the TI-SGTR assumption.

IP2 SAMAs: 1, 6, 18, 19, 20, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 35, 39, 40, 42, 44, 46, 52, 54, 59, 60, 61, 62, 65, 66

IP3 SAMAs: 1, 16, 17, 18, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 33, 38, 40, 42, 43, 55, 56, 58, 61, 62

Since IP2 SAMAs 28, 44, 54, 60, 61, and 65 and IP3 SAMAs 55, 61 and 62 were previously determined to be potentially cost beneficial, they were not re-evaluated. Of the remaining SAMAs, a detailed evaluation was performed for those for which the cost outweighed the benefit by less than a factor of five. [Column J of the "Case 7 (cost-benefit)" worksheet was used for this screening.] This screening criterion was applied to facilitate the re-evaluation by limiting it to those potentially impacted SAMA candidates with a realistic possibility of becoming cost-beneficial.

In Case 0, the unscreened IP2 SAMAs were SAMAs 1, 6, 25, 29, 40 and 52.

In Case 7, the unscreened IP2 SAMAs are SAMAs 1, 25, 40, 52, and 62.

In Case 0, the unscreened IP3 SAMAs were SAMAs 1, 16, 18, 30, 40 and 43.

In Case 7, the unscreened IP3 SAMAs are SAMAs 1, 16, 30, 40 and 43.

7. As described in Section 5.2.C above for the other TI-SGTR SAMAs, an additional worksheet was added to the Case 7 spreadsheet to evaluate the IP2-062 TI-SGTR benefit. The "Case 7 (cost-benefit)" worksheet was adjusted accordingly. [IP2-062 was not evaluated for TI-SGTR in Case 0 because it was cost-beneficial in Case 0. However, IP2-062 is not cost-beneficial in Case 7, so it is included in the TI-SGTR evaluation.]

8. Similar to Case 4, SAMAs IP2-006, IP2-029, and IP3-018 do not meet the screening criterion in Case 7. However, the TI-SGTR analyses for these SAMAs were retained in the Case 7 spreadsheet.

**5.6 Sensitivity Case 8 – Alternate Revised TIMDEC and CDNFRM (with Escalated VALWNF and VNFRM and revised VDEPOS)**

- **Case 8**
  - TIMDEC is escalated to one year (365 days) and CDNFRM is escalated to \$100,000/person for DF=15 in the CHRONC input file.
  - VALWNF & VNFRM (including lost tourism and business) are escalated to 2005 values in the CHRONC and SITE input files, respectively.
  - The dry deposition velocity, VDEPOS, is set to 0.003 m/sec in the ATMOS input file rather than the IP-CALC-09-00265 (Reference 8) value of 0.010 m/sec.
  - Similar to Case 5, Case 8 addresses Part 2(a) of the RAI (i.e., corrected VALWNF, increased TIMDEC & CDNFRM), as specified in the RAI, except with an updated dry deposition velocity.

The following steps were performed to evaluate Sensitivity Case 8.

1. Copied Case 7 spreadsheet and renamed, "Case 8 IPEC SAMA Sensitivities.xlsx."
2. Renamed worksheet "Case 7 (cost-benefit)" to "Case 8 (cost-benefit)."
3. Cleared the contents of columns D and F and revised equations in column I to use the values in columns C and E for comparison. Since Case 8 is a sensitivity analysis on the alternate revised baseline in Case 7, the 95<sup>th</sup> percentile uncertainty sensitivity does not also need to be calculated. Also, deleted column J since the TI-SGTR screening was performed in Case 7.
4. Copied PDR and OECR values from the sensitivity cases in IP-RPT-16-00077 into the appropriate worksheets in Case 8 IPEC SAMA Sensitivities.xlsx (see table below).

Worksheet in Case 5 IPEC SAMA Sensitivities.xlsx	Spreadsheet from IP-RPT-16-00077	Worksheet in IP-RPT-16-00077 Spreadsheet
IP2 MACCS2 Output	2016 IPEC MACCS2 Sens – RevD.xlsx	U2 Results Detailed
IP2-020 MACCS2 Output		
IP2 TI-SGTR MACCS2 Output		
IP3 MACCS2 Output		U3 Results Detailed
IP3-018 MACCS2 Output		
IP3 TI-SGTR MACCS2 Output		

5. The benefit values were automatically re-calculated and populated in columns C and E of the "Case 8 (cost-benefit)" worksheet for comparison with the implementation cost estimates.

## 6.0 Conclusions

### 6.1 Sensitivity Case 4 – Revised Baseline (Escalated VALWNF and VNFRM)

The revised baseline with escalation of VALWNF and VNFRM resulted in one additional potentially cost-beneficial SAMA; IP2-021, *"Install additional pressure or leak monitoring instrumentation for ISLOCAs."*

Table 10 provides the Sensitivity Case 4 SAMA results.

### 6.2 Sensitivity Case 5 – Revised TIMDEC and CDNFRM (with Escalated VALWNF and VNFRM)

Increasing TIMDEC and CDNFRM (with escalated VALWNF and VNFRM) resulted in one additional potentially cost-beneficial SAMA; SAMA IP3-057, *"Provide backup cooling water source for the CCW heat exchangers."*

Table 11 provides the Sensitivity Case 5 SAMA results.

### 6.3 Sensitivity Case 7 – Alternate Revised Baseline (Escalated VALWNF and VNFRM and revised VDEPOS)

Sensitivity Case 7 shows that the change to VDEPOS along with escalation of VALWNF and VNFRM did not result in additional potentially cost-beneficial SAMAs.

[In Case 0, SAMA IP2-062 was cost beneficial without the TI-SGTR evaluation. However, in Case 7, SAMA IP2-062 was not cost beneficial until after the TI-SGTR evaluation. This has no bearing on the results of this analysis since SAMA IP2-062 was retained in Case 0.]

Table 12 provides the Sensitivity Case 7 SAMA results.

### 6.4 Sensitivity Case 8 – Alternate Revised TIMDEC and CDNFRM (with Escalated VALWNF and VNFRM and revised VDEPOS)

Increasing TIMDEC and CDNFRM (with escalated VALWNF and VNFRM and revised VDEPOS) did not result in additional potentially cost-beneficial SAMAs.

Table 13 provides the Sensitivity Case 8 SAMA results.

Table 10 – Case 4 Sensitivity SAMA Results<sup>(1)</sup>

Phase II SAMA	Description	Baseline Benefit	Benefit With Uncertainty	TI-SGTR Benefit Without Uncertainty	TI-SGTR Benefit With Uncertainty	Estimated Cost (IP- RPT-09- 00044)	Estimated Cost (NL- 14-143)	Conclusion
IP2-001	001 - Create an independent RCP seal injection system with a dedicated diesel.	374,757	788,963	374,757	788,963	1,137,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP2-002	002 - Create an independent RCP seal injection system without a dedicated diesel.	350,396	737,676	n/a	n/a	1,000,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP2-003	003 - Install an additional CCW pump.	0	0	n/a	n/a	1,500,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP2-004	004 - Enhance procedural guidance for use of service water pumps.	48,723	102,574	n/a	n/a	1,750,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP2-005	005 - Improve ability to cool the RHR heat exchangers by allowing manual alignment of the fire protection system.	105,892	222,931	n/a	n/a	565,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP2-006	006 - Add a diesel building high temperature alarm.	24,361	51,287	32,541	68,508	274,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP2-007	007 - Install a filtered containment vent to provide fission product scrubbing.	1,766,838	3,719,658	n/a	n/a	5,700,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP2-008	008 - Create a large concrete crucible with heat removal potential under the base mat to contain molten core debris.	6,592,922	13,879,837	n/a	n/a	108,000,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP2-009	009 - Create a reactor cavity flooding system.	6,592,922	13,879,837	n/a	n/a	4,100,000	1,741,724	Retained
IP2-010	010 - Create a core melt source reduction system.	6,592,922	13,879,837	n/a	n/a	90,000,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP2-011	011 - Provide a means to inert containment.	3,296,461	6,939,918	n/a	n/a	10,900,000	n/a	Not Beneficial



Table 10 – Case 4 Sensitivity SAMA Results<sup>(1)</sup>

Phase II SAMA	Description	Baseline Benefit	Benefit With Uncertainty	TI-SGTR Benefit Without Uncertainty	TI-SGTR Benefit With Uncertainty	Estimated Cost (IP- RPT-09- 00044)	Estimated Cost (NL- 14-143)	Conclusion
IP2-012	012 - Use the fire protection system as a backup source for the containment spray system.	0	0	n/a	n/a	565,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP2-013	013 - Install a passive containment spray system.	0	0	n/a	n/a	2,000,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP2-014	014 - Increase the depth of the concrete base mat or use an alternative concrete material to ensure melt-through does not occur.	1,194,251	2,514,214	n/a	n/a	5,000,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP2-015	015 - Construct a building connected to primary containment that is maintained at a vacuum.	6,208,472	13,070,466	n/a	n/a	61,000,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP2-016	016 - Install a redundant containment spray system.	0	0	n/a	n/a	5,800,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP2-017	017 - Erect a barrier that provides containment liner protection from ejected core debris at high pressure.	1,864,995	3,926,306	n/a	n/a	5,500,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP2-018	018 - Install a highly reliable steam generator shell-side heat removal system that relies on natural circulation and stored water sources.	73,618	154,986	n/a	n/a	7,400,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP2-019	019 - Increase secondary side pressure capacity such that a SGTR would not cause the relief valves to lift.	5,962,632	12,552,910	n/a	n/a	100,000,000	n/a	Not Beneficial

Table 10 – Case 4 Sensitivity SAMA Results<sup>(1)</sup>

Phase II SAMA	Description	Baseline Benefit	Benefit With Uncertainty	TI-SGTR Benefit Without Uncertainty	TI-SGTR Benefit With Uncertainty	Estimated Cost (IP-RPT-09-00044)	Estimated Cost (NL-14-143)	Conclusion
IP2-020	020 - Route the discharge from the main steam safety valves through a structure where a water spray would condense the steam and remove most of the fission products.	646,205	1,360,431	n/a	n/a	9,700,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP2-021	021 - Install additional pressure or leak monitoring instrumentation for ISLOCAs.	2,216,549	4,666,419	n/a	n/a	3,200,000	4,632,227	Retained
IP2-022	022 - Add redundant and diverse limit switches to each containment isolation valve.	1,112,364	2,341,820	n/a	n/a	2,200,000	7,692,784	Not Beneficial
IP2-023	023 - Increase leak testing of valves in ISLOCA paths.	1,112,364	2,341,820	n/a	n/a	7,964,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP2-024	024 - Ensure all ISLOCA releases are scrubbed.	2,216,549	4,666,419	n/a	n/a	9,700,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP2-025	025 - Improve MSIV design.	122,697	258,310	188,136	396,075	476,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP2-026	026 - Provide additional DC battery capacity.	48,723	102,574	n/a	n/a	1,875,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP2-027	027 - Use fuel cells instead of lead-acid batteries.	48,723	102,574	n/a	n/a	2,000,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP2-028	028 - Provide a portable diesel-driven battery charger.	1,397,945	2,943,043	n/a	n/a	938,000	2,154,767	Retained
IP2-029	029 - Increase/ improve DC bus load shedding.	48,723	102,574	48,723	102,574	460,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP2-030	030 - Create AC power cross-tie capability with other unit.	56,813	119,607	n/a	n/a	1,156,000	n/a	Not Beneficial



Table 10 – Case 4 Sensitivity SAMA Results<sup>(1)</sup>

Phase II SAMA	Description	Baseline Benefit	Benefit With Uncertainty	TI-SGTR Benefit Without Uncertainty	TI-SGTR Benefit With Uncertainty	Estimated Cost (IP- RPT-09- 00044)	Estimated Cost (NL- 14-143)	Conclusion
IP2-031	031 - Create a backup source for diesel cooling (not from existing system).	40,632	85,541	n/a	n/a	1,700,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP2-032	032 - Use fire protection system as a backup source for diesel cooling.	40,632	85,541	n/a	n/a	497,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP2-033	033 - Convert under-voltage AFW and reactor protective system actuation signals from 2-out-of-4 to 3-out-of-4 logic.	0	0	n/a	n/a	1,254,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP2-034	034 - Provide capability for diesel-driven, low pressure vessel makeup.	8,180	17,221	n/a	n/a	632,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP2-035	035 - Provide an additional high pressure injection pump with independent diesel.	73,529	154,798	n/a	n/a	5,000,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP2-036	036 - Create automatic swap-over to recirculation cooling upon RWST depletion.	138,344	291,251	n/a	n/a	1,000,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP2-037	037 - Provide capability for alternate injection via diesel-driven fire pump.	8,180	17,221	n/a	n/a	750,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP2-038	038 - Throttle low pressure injection pumps earlier in medium or large-break LOCAs to maintain reactor water storage tank inventory.	16,271	34,254	n/a	n/a	82,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP2-039	039 - Replace two of three motor-driven SI pumps with diesel-powered pumps.	73,529	154,798	n/a	n/a	2,000,000	n/a	Not Beneficial

Table 10 – Case 4 Sensitivity SAMA Results<sup>(1)</sup>

Phase II SAMA	Description	Baseline Benefit	Benefit With Uncertainty	TI-SGTR Benefit Without Uncertainty	TI-SGTR Benefit With Uncertainty	Estimated Cost (IP- RPT-09- 00044)	Estimated Cost (NL- 14-143)	Conclusion
IP2-040	040 - Create/enhance a reactor coolant depressurization system.	613,307	1,291,173	613,307	1,291,173	2,000,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP2-041	041 - Install a digital feed water upgrade.	179,154	377,167	n/a	n/a	900,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP2-042	042 - Provide automatic nitrogen backup to steam generator atmospheric dump valves.	16,360	34,441	n/a	n/a	214,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP2-043	043 - Add a motor-driven feed water pump.	179,154	377,167	n/a	n/a	2,000,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP2-044	044 - Use fire water system as backup for steam generator inventory.	2,432,328	5,120,691	n/a	n/a	1,656,000	3,073,130	Retained
IP2-045	045 - Replace current pilot operated relief valves with larger ones such that only one is required for successful feed and bleed.	667,806	1,405,907	n/a	n/a	2,700,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP2-046	046 - Modify emergency operating procedures for ability to align diesel power to more air compressors.	0	0	n/a	n/a	82,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP2-047	047 - Add an independent boron injection system.	0	0	n/a	n/a	300,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP2-048	048 - Add a system of relief valves that prevent equipment damage from a pressure spike during an ATWS.	105,981	223,119	n/a	n/a	615,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP2-049	049 - Install motor generator set trip breakers in control room.	32,541	68,508	n/a	n/a	716,000	n/a	Not Beneficial

Table 10 – Case 4 Sensitivity SAMA Results<sup>(1)</sup>

Phase II SAMA	Description	Baseline Benefit	Benefit With Uncertainty	TI-SGTR Benefit Without Uncertainty	TI-SGTR Benefit With Uncertainty	Estimated Cost (IP- RPT-09- 00044)	Estimated Cost (NL- 14-143)	Conclusion
IP2-050	050 - Provide capability to remove power from the bus powering the control rods.	32,541	68,508	n/a	n/a	90,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP2-051	051- Provide digital large break LOCA protection.	0	0	n/a	n/a	2,036,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP2-052	052 - Install secondary side guard pipes up to the MSIVs.	335,283	705,859	457,980	964,168	1,100,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP2-053	053 - Keep both pressurizer PORV block valves open.	659,715	1,388,873	n/a	n/a	800,000	1,471,234	Not Beneficial
IP2-054	054 - Install flood alarm in the 480V switchgear room.	5,796,276	12,202,686	n/a	n/a	200,000	458,843	Retained
IP2-055	055 - Perform a hardware modification to allow high-head recirculation from either RHR heat exchanger.	0	0	n/a	n/a	1,330,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP2-056	056 - Keep RHR heat exchanger discharge motor operated valves (MOV) normally open.	48,723	102,574	n/a	n/a	82,000	1,704,938	Not Beneficial
IP2-057	057 - Provide DC power backup for the PORVs.	89,800	189,052	n/a	n/a	376,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP2-058	058 - Provide procedural guidance to allow high-head recirculation from either RHR heat exchanger.	0	0	n/a	n/a	82,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP2-059	059 - Re-install the low pressure suction trip on the AFW pumps and enhance procedures to respond to loss of the normal suction path.	24,450	51,474	n/a	n/a	318,000	n/a	Not Beneficial



Table 10 – Case 4 Sensitivity SAMA Results<sup>(1)</sup>

Phase II SAMA	Description	Baseline Benefit	Benefit With Uncertainty	TI-SGTR Benefit Without Uncertainty	TI-SGTR Benefit With Uncertainty	Estimated Cost (IP-RPT-09-00044)	Estimated Cost (NL-14-143)	Conclusion
IP2-060	060 - Provide added protection against flood propagation from stairwell 4 into the 480V switchgear room.	1,316,236	2,771,024	n/a	n/a	216,000	721,303	Retained
IP2-061	061 - Provide added protection against flood propagation from the deluge room into the 480V switchgear room.	2,877,688	6,058,291	n/a	n/a	192,000	943,792	Retained
IP2-062	062 - Provide a hard-wired connection to an SI pump from ASSS power supply.	891,064	1,875,925	n/a	n/a	1,500,000	1,662,692	Retained
IP2-063	063 - Provide a water-tight door for additional protection of the RHR pumps against flooding.	32,452	68,320	n/a	n/a	324,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP2-064	064 - Provide backup cooling water source for the CCW heat exchangers.	40,632	85,541	n/a	n/a	710,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP2-065	065 - Upgrade the ASSS to allow timely restoration of seal injection and cooling.	5,796,276	12,202,686	n/a	n/a	560,000	1,859,587	Retained
IP2-066	066 - Harden the EDG building and fuel oil transfer pumps against tornados and high winds.	2,546,745	5,361,568	n/a	n/a	33,500,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP2-067	067 - Provide hardware connections to allow the primary water system to cool the charging pumps.	8,091	17,033	n/a	n/a	576,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP2-068	068 - Provide independent source of cooling for the recirculation pump motors.	8,091	17,033	n/a	n/a	710,000	n/a	Not Beneficial



Table 10 – Case 4 Sensitivity SAMA Results<sup>(1)</sup>

Phase II SAMA	Description	Baseline Benefit	Benefit With Uncertainty	TI-SGTR Benefit Without Uncertainty	TI-SGTR Benefit With Uncertainty	Estimated Cost (IP-RPT-09-00044)	Estimated Cost (NL-14-143)	Conclusion
IP2-GAG	Not numbered - Use a gagging device to close a stuck open main steam safety valve following a steam generator tube rupture.	n/a	13,000,000	n/a	n/a	50,000	453,745	Retained
IP3-001	001 - Create an independent RCP seal injection system with a dedicated diesel.	236,610	342,913	296,021	429,016	1,137,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP3-002	002 - Create an independent RCP seal injection system without a dedicated diesel.	213,104	308,847	n/a	n/a	1,000,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP3-003	003 - Install an additional CCW pump.	0	0	n/a	n/a	1,500,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP3-004	004 - Improved ability to cool the RHR heat exchangers by allowing manual alignment of the fire protection system.	130,575	189,240	n/a	n/a	565,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP3-005	005 - Install a filtered containment vent to provide fission product scrubbing.	1,556,574	2,255,904	n/a	n/a	5,700,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP3-006	006 - Create a large concrete crucible with heat removal potential under the base mat to contain molten core debris.	5,275,716	7,645,965	n/a	n/a	108,000,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP3-007	007 - Create a reactor cavity flooding system.	5,275,716	7,645,965	n/a	n/a	4,100,000	1,874,933	Retained
IP3-008	008 - Create a core melt source reduction system.	5,275,716	7,645,965	n/a	n/a	90,000,000	n/a	Not Beneficial

Table 10 – Case 4 Sensitivity SAMA Results<sup>(1)</sup>

Phase II SAMA	Description	Baseline Benefit	Benefit With Uncertainty	TI-SGTR Benefit Without Uncertainty	TI-SGTR Benefit With Uncertainty	Estimated Cost (IP- RPT-09- 00044)	Estimated Cost (NL- 14-143)	Conclusion
IP3-009	009 - Provide means to inert containment.	2,530,918	3,667,996	n/a	n/a	10,900,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP3-010	010 - Use the fire protection system as a backup source for the containment spray system.	0	0	n/a	n/a	565,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP3-011	011 - Install a passive containment spray system.	0	0	n/a	n/a	2,000,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP3-012	012 - Increase the depth of the concrete base mat or use an alternative concrete material to ensure melt-through does not occur.	926,815	1,343,210	n/a	n/a	5,000,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP3-013	013 - Construct a building connected to primary containment that is maintained at a vacuum.	5,180,658	7,508,199	n/a	n/a	61,000,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP3-014	014 - Install a redundant containment spray system.	0	0	n/a	n/a	5,800,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP3-015	015 - Erect a barrier that provides containment liner protection from ejected core debris at high pressure.	1,259,518	1,825,388	n/a	n/a	5,500,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP3-016	016 - Install a highly reliable steam generator shell-side heat removal system that relies on natural circulation and stored water sources.	1,520,539	2,203,680	1,532,421	2,220,900	7,400,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP3-017	017 - Increase secondary side pressure capacity such that an SGTR would not cause the relief valves to lift.	14,364,337	20,817,880	23,347,312	33,836,685	100,000,000	n/a	Not Beneficial



Table 10 – Case 4 Sensitivity SAMA Results<sup>(1)</sup>

Phase II SAMA	Description	Baseline Benefit	Benefit With Uncertainty	TI-SGTR Benefit Without Uncertainty	TI-SGTR Benefit With Uncertainty	Estimated Cost (IP-RPT-09-00044)	Estimated Cost (NL-14-143)	Conclusion
IP3-018	018 - Route the discharge from the main steam safety valves through a structure where a water spray would condense the steam and remove most of the fission products.	3,517,144	5,097,310	10,587,078	15,343,591	12,000,000	35,691,159	Not Beneficial
IP3-019	019 - Install additional pressure or leak monitoring instrumentation for ISLOCAs.	2,316,779	3,357,650	n/a	n/a	2,800,000	6,369,223	Not Beneficial
IP3-020	020 - Add redundant and diverse limit switches to each containment isolation valve.	1,128,684	1,635,773	n/a	n/a	4,000,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP3-021	021 - Increase leak testing of valves in ISLOCA paths.	1,128,684	1,635,773	n/a	n/a	10,604,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP3-022	022 - Ensure all ISLOCA releases are scrubbed.	2,316,779	3,357,650	n/a	n/a	9,700,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP3-023	023 - Improve MSIV design.	0	0	n/a	n/a	476,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP3-024	024 - Provide additional DC battery capacity.	47,141	68,320	n/a	n/a	1,875,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP3-025	025 - Use fuel cells instead of lead-acid batteries.	47,141	68,320	n/a	n/a	2,000,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP3-026	026 - Increase/ improve DC bus load shedding.	47,141	68,320	n/a	n/a	460,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP3-027	027 - Create AC power cross-tie capability with other unit.	70,647	102,387	n/a	n/a	1,156,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP3-028	028 - Create a backup source for diesel cooling (not from existing system).	11,753	17,033	n/a	n/a	1,700,000	n/a	Not Beneficial

Table 10 – Case 4 Sensitivity SAMA Results<sup>(1)</sup>

Phase II SAMA	Description	Baseline Benefit	Benefit With Uncertainty	TI-SGTR Benefit Without Uncertainty	TI-SGTR Benefit With Uncertainty	Estimated Cost (IP-RPT-09-00044)	Estimated Cost (NL-14-143)	Conclusion
IP3-029	029 - Use fire protection system as a backup source for diesel cooling.	11,753	17,033	n/a	n/a	497,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP3-030	030 - Provide a portable diesel-driven battery charger.	284,656	412,546	296,539	429,766	938,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP3-031	031 - Convert under-voltage, AFW and reactor protective system actuation signals from 2-out-of-4 to 3-out-of-4 logic.	118,822	172,206	n/a	n/a	1,254,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP3-032	032 - Provide capability for diesel-driven, low pressure vessel makeup.	23,764	34,441	n/a	n/a	632,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP3-033	033 - Provide an additional high pressure injection pump with independent diesel.	118,693	172,019	n/a	n/a	5,000,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP3-034	034 - Create automatic swap-over to recirculation upon RWST depletion.	591,137	856,721	n/a	n/a	1,000,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP3-035	035 - Provide capability for alternate injection via diesel-driven fire pump.	23,764	34,441	n/a	n/a	750,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP3-036	036 - Throttle low pressure injection pumps earlier in medium or large-break LOCAs to maintain reactor water storage tank inventory.	11,753	17,033	n/a	n/a	82,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP3-037	037 - Replace two of three motor-driven SI pumps with diesel-powered pumps.	118,693	172,019	n/a	n/a	2,000,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP3-038	038 - Create/enhance a reactor coolant depressurization system.	308,809	447,549	n/a	n/a	4,600,000	n/a	Not Beneficial



Table 10 – Case 4 Sensitivity SAMA Results<sup>(1)</sup>

Phase II SAMA	Description	Baseline Benefit	Benefit With Uncertainty	TI-SGTR Benefit Without Uncertainty	TI-SGTR Benefit With Uncertainty	Estimated Cost (IP-RPT-09-00044)	Estimated Cost (NL-14-143)	Conclusion
IP3-039	039 - Install a digital feed water upgrade.	330,892	479,554	n/a	n/a	900,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP3-040	040 - Provide automatic nitrogen backup to steam generator atmospheric dump valves.	237,516	344,225	296,927	430,329	950,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP3-041	041 - Add a motor-driven feedwater pump.	330,892	479,554	n/a	n/a	2,000,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP3-042	042 - Provide hookup for portable generators to power the turbine-driven AFW pump after station batteries are depleted.	47,141	68,320	n/a	n/a	1,072,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP3-043	043 - Use fire water system as backup for steam generator inventory.	450,490	652,885	509,902	738,988	1,656,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP3-044	044 - Replace current pilot operated relief valves with larger ones such that only one is required for successful feed and bleed.	1,365,811	1,979,436	n/a	n/a	2,700,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP3-045	045 - Add an independent boron injection system.	0	0	n/a	n/a	300,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP3-046	046 - Add a system of relief valves that prevent equipment damage from a pressure spike during an ATWS.	283,622	411,046	n/a	n/a	615,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP3-047	047 - Install motor generator set trip breakers in control room.	35,388	51,287	n/a	n/a	716,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP3-048	048 - Provide capability to remove power from the bus powering the control rods.	35,388	51,287	n/a	n/a	90,000	n/a	Not Beneficial

Table 10 – Case 4 Sensitivity SAMA Results<sup>(1)</sup>

Phase II SAMA	Description	Baseline Benefit	Benefit With Uncertainty	TI-SGTR Benefit Without Uncertainty	TI-SGTR Benefit With Uncertainty	Estimated Cost (IP-RPT-09-00044)	Estimated Cost (NL-14-143)	Conclusion
IP3-049	049 - Provide digital large break LOCA protection.	0	0	n/a	n/a	2,036,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP3-050	050 - Install secondary side guard pipes up to the MSIVs.	2,565,918	3,718,721	n/a	n/a	9,671,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP3-051	051 - Operator action: Align main feedwater for secondary heat removal.	23,635	34,254	n/a	n/a	55,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP3-052	052 - Open city water supply valve for alternative AFW pump suction.	308,809	447,549	n/a	n/a	50,000	138,378	Retained
IP3-053	053 - Install an excess flow valve to reduce the risk associated with hydrogen explosions.	486,913	705,671	n/a	n/a	228,000	344,599	Retained
IP3-054	054 - Provide DC power backup for the PORVs.	0	0	n/a	n/a	376,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP3-055	055 - Provide hard-wired connection to a SI or RHR pump from the Appendix R bus (MCC 312A).	4,263,267	6,178,648	n/a	n/a	1,288,000	1,601,888	Retained
IP3-056	056 - Install pneumatic controls and indication for the turbine-driven AFW pump.	47,141	68,320	n/a	n/a	982,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP3-057	057 - Provide backup cooling water source for the CCW heat exchangers.	59,023	85,541	n/a	n/a	109,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP3-058	058 - Provide automatic DC power backup.	94,282	136,640	n/a	n/a	1,868,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP3-059	059 - Provide hardware connections to allow the primary water system to cool the charging pumps.	0	0	n/a	n/a	576,000	n/a	Not Beneficial



Table 10 – Case 4 Sensitivity SAMA Results<sup>(1)</sup>

Phase II SAMA	Description	Baseline Benefit	Benefit With Uncertainty	TI-SGTR Benefit Without Uncertainty	TI-SGTR Benefit With Uncertainty	Estimated Cost (IP- RPT-09- 00044)	Estimated Cost (NL- 14-143)	Conclusion
IP3-060	060 - Provide independent source of cooling for the recirculation pump motors.	0	0	n/a	n/a	710,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP3-061	061 - Upgrade the ASSS to allow timely restoration of seal injection and cooling.	4,536,430	6,574,536	n/a	n/a	560,000	2,282,668	Retained
IP3-062	062 - Install flood alarm in the 480 VAC switchgear room.	4,536,430	6,574,536	n/a	n/a	196,800	496,071	Retained
IP3-GAG	Not numbered - Use a gagging device to close a stuck open main steam safety valve following a steam generator tube rupture.	n/a	19,000,000	n/a	n/a	50,000	453,745	Retained

- (1) Legend: green highlight = SAMAs retained in Case 0, baseline case.  
lavender highlight = SAMAs evaluated for TI-SGTR.  
yellow highlight = SAMA newly retained in Case 4, revised baseline case  
red text = SAMAs with NL-14-143 estimated costs.

Table 11 – Case 5 Sensitivity SAMA Results<sup>(1)</sup>

Phase II SAMA	Description	Baseline Benefit	TI-SGTR Benefit Without Uncertainty	Estimated Cost (IP-RPT-09-00044)	Estimated Cost (NL-14-143)	Conclusion
IP2-001	001 - Create an independent RCP seal injection system with a dedicated diesel.	456,555	497,454	1,137,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP2-002	002 - Create an independent RCP seal injection system without a dedicated diesel.	440,374	n/a	1,000,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP2-003	003 - Install an additional CCW pump.	0	n/a	1,500,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP2-004	004 - Enhance procedural guidance for use of service water pumps.	48,723	n/a	1,750,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP2-005	005 - Improve ability to cool the RHR heat exchangers by allowing manual alignment of the fire protection system.	105,892	n/a	565,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP2-006	006 - Add a diesel building high temperature alarm.	24,361	24,361	274,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP2-007	007 - Install a filtered containment vent to provide fission product scrubbing.	2,110,390	n/a	5,700,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP2-008	008 - Create a large concrete crucible with heat removal potential under the base mat to contain molten core debris.	9,414,955	n/a	108,000,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP2-009	009 - Create a reactor cavity flooding system.	9,414,955	n/a	4,100,000	1,741,724	Retained



Table 11 – Case 5 Sensitivity SAMA Results<sup>(1)</sup>

Phase II SAMA	Description	Baseline Benefit	TI-SGTR Benefit Without Uncertainty	Estimated Cost (IP-RPT-09-00044)	Estimated Cost (NL-14-143)	Conclusion
IP2-010	010 - Create a core melt source reduction system.	9,414,955	n/a	90,000,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP2-011	011 - Provide a means to inert containment.	5,259,614	n/a	10,900,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP2-012	012 - Use the fire protection system as a backup source for the containment spray system.	0	n/a	565,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP2-013	013 - Install a passive containment spray system.	0	n/a	2,000,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP2-014	014 - Increase the depth of the concrete base mat or use an alternative concrete material to ensure melt-through does not occur.	1,456,005	n/a	5,000,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP2-015	015 - Construct a building connected to primary containment that is maintained at a vacuum.	9,349,516	n/a	61,000,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP2-016	016 - Install a redundant containment spray system.	0	n/a	5,800,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP2-017	017 - Erect a barrier that provides containment liner protection from ejected core debris at high pressure.	3,296,461	n/a	5,500,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP2-018	018 - Install a highly reliable steam generator shell-side heat removal system that relies on natural circulation and stored water sources.	114,517	n/a	7,400,000	n/a	Not Beneficial

Table 11 – Case 5 Sensitivity SAMA Results<sup>(1)</sup>

Phase II SAMA	Description	Baseline Benefit	TI-SGTR Benefit Without Uncertainty	Estimated Cost (IP-RPT-09-00044)	Estimated Cost (NL-14-143)	Conclusion
IP2-019	019 - Increase secondary side pressure capacity such that a SGTR would not cause the relief valves to lift.	10,911,414	n/a	100,000,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP2-020	020 - Route the discharge from the main steam safety valves through a structure where a water spray would condense the steam and remove most of the fission products.	1,300,589	n/a	9,700,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP2-021	021 - Install additional pressure or leak monitoring instrumentation for ISLOCAs.	4,106,084	n/a	3,200,000	4,632,227	Not Beneficial
IP2-022	022 - Add redundant and diverse limit switches to each containment isolation valve.	2,053,042	n/a	2,200,000	7,692,784	Not Beneficial
IP2-023	023 - Increase leak testing of valves in ISLOCA paths.	2,053,042	n/a	7,964,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP2-024	024 - Ensure all ISLOCA releases are scrubbed.	4,106,084	n/a	9,700,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP2-025	025 - Improve MSIV design.	212,675	319,012	476,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP2-026	026 - Provide additional DC battery capacity.	48,723	n/a	1,875,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP2-027	027 - Use fuel cells instead of lead-acid batteries.	48,723	n/a	2,000,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP2-028	028 - Provide a portable diesel-driven battery charger.	1,921,453	n/a	938,000	2,154,767	Not Beneficial
IP2-029	029 - Increase/ improve DC bus load shedding.	48,723	48,723	460,000	n/a	Not Beneficial



Table 11 – Case 5 Sensitivity SAMA Results<sup>(1)</sup>

Phase II SAMA	Description	Baseline Benefit		TI-SGTR Benefit Without Uncertainty		Estimated Cost (IP-RPT-09-00044)	Estimated Cost (NL-14-143)	Conclusion
IP2-030	030 - Create AC power cross-tie capability with other unit.	56,813		n/a		1,156,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP2-031	031 - Create a backup source for diesel cooling (not from existing system).	32,452		n/a		1,700,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP2-032	032 - Use fire protection system as a backup source for diesel cooling.	32,452		n/a		497,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP2-033	033 - Convert under-voltage AFW and reactor protective system actuation signals from 2-out-of-4 to 3-out-of-4 logic.	0		n/a		1,254,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP2-034	034 - Provide capability for diesel-driven, low pressure vessel makeup.	0		n/a		632,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP2-035	035 - Provide an additional high pressure injection pump with independent diesel.	73,529		n/a		5,000,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP2-036	036 - Create automatic swap-over to recirculation cooling upon RWST depletion.	138,344		n/a		1,000,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP2-037	037 - Provide capability for alternate injection via diesel-driven fire pump.	0		n/a		750,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP2-038	038 - Throttle low pressure injection pumps earlier in medium or large-break LOCAs to maintain reactor water storage tank inventory.	8,091		n/a		82,000	n/a	Not Beneficial

Table 11 – Case 5 Sensitivity SAMA Results<sup>(1)</sup>

Phase II SAMA	Description	Baseline Benefit	TI-SGTR Benefit Without Uncertainty	Estimated Cost (IP-RPT-09-00044)	Estimated Cost (NL-14-143)	Conclusion
IP2-039	039 - Replace two of three motor-driven SI pumps with diesel-powered pumps.	73,529	n/a	2,000,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP2-040	040 - Create/enhance a reactor coolant depressurization system.	956,859	956,859	2,000,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP2-041	041 - Install a digital feed water upgrade.	220,053	n/a	900,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP2-042	042 - Provide automatic nitrogen backup to steam generator atmospheric dump valves.	57,259	n/a	214,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP2-043	043 - Add a motor-driven feed water pump.	220,053	n/a	2,000,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP2-044	044 - Use fire water system as backup for steam generator inventory.	3,201,230	n/a	1,656,000	3,073,130	Retained
IP2-045	045 - Replace current pilot operated relief valves with larger ones such that only one is required for successful feed and bleed.	798,683	n/a	2,700,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP2-046	046 - Modify emergency operating procedures for ability to align diesel power to more air compressors.	0	n/a	82,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP2-047	047 - Add an independent boron injection system.	0	n/a	300,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP2-048	048 - Add a system of relief valves that prevent equipment damage from a pressure spike during an ATWS.	105,981	n/a	615,000	n/a	Not Beneficial



Table 11 – Case 5 Sensitivity SAMA Results<sup>(1)</sup>

Phase II SAMA	Description	Baseline Benefit	TI-SGTR Benefit Without Uncertainty	Estimated Cost (IP-RPT-09-00044)	Estimated Cost (NL-14-143)	Conclusion
IP2-049	049 - Install motor generator set trip breakers in control room.	32,541	n/a	716,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP2-050	050 - Provide capability to remove power from the bus powering the control rods.	32,541	n/a	90,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP2-051	051- Provide digital large break LOCA protection.	0	n/a	2,036,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP2-052	052 - Install secondary side guard pipes up to the MSIVs.	556,138	817,891	1,100,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP2-053	053 - Keep both pressurizer PORV block valves open.	823,311	n/a	800,000	1,471,234	Not Beneficial
IP2-054	054 - Install flood alarm in the 480V switchgear room.	8,045,722	n/a	200,000	458,843	Retained
IP2-055	055 - Perform a hardware modification to allow high-head recirculation from either RHR heat exchanger.	0	n/a	1,330,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP2-056	056 - Keep RHR heat exchanger discharge motor operated valves (MOV's) normally open.	48,723	n/a	82,000	1,704,938	Not Beneficial
IP2-057	057 - Provide DC power backup for the PORVs.	89,800	n/a	376,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP2-058	058 - Provide procedural guidance to allow high-head recirculation from either RHR heat exchanger.	0	n/a	82,000	n/a	Not Beneficial

Table 11 – Case 5 Sensitivity SAMA Results<sup>(1)</sup>

Phase II SAMA	Description	Baseline Benefit	TI-SGTR Benefit Without Uncertainty	Estimated Cost (IP-RPT-09-00044)	Estimated Cost (NL-14-143)	Conclusion
IP2-059	059 - Re-install the low pressure suction trip on the AFW pumps and enhance procedures to respond to loss of the normal suction path.	24,450	n/a	318,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP2-060	060 - Provide added protection against flood propagation from stairwell 4 into the 480V switchgear room.	1,790,665	n/a	216,000	721,303	Retained
IP2-061	061 - Provide added protection against flood propagation from the deluge room into the 480V switchgear room.	3,965,602	n/a	192,000	943,792	Retained
IP2-062	062 - Provide a hard-wired connection to an SI pump from ASSS power supply.	1,234,616	n/a	1,500,000	1,662,692	Not Beneficial
IP2-063	063 - Provide a water-tight door for additional protection of the RHR pumps against flooding.	32,452	n/a	324,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP2-064	064 - Provide backup cooling water source for the CCW heat exchangers.	40,632	n/a	710,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP2-065	065 - Upgrade the ASSS to allow timely restoration of seal injection and cooling.	8,045,722	n/a	560,000	1,859,587	Retained
IP2-066	066 - Harden the EDG building and fuel oil transfer pumps against tornados and high winds.	3,070,252	n/a	33,500,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP2-067	067 - Provide hardware connections to allow the primary water system to cool the charging pumps.	8,091	n/a	576,000	n/a	Not Beneficial



Table 11 – Case 5 Sensitivity SAMA Results<sup>(1)</sup>

Phase II SAMA	Description	Baseline Benefit	TI-SGTR Benefit Without Uncertainty	Estimated Cost (IP-RPT-09-00044)	Estimated Cost (NL-14-143)	Conclusion
IP2-068	068 - Provide independent source of cooling for the recirculation pump motors.	8,091	n/a	710,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP2-GAG	Not numbered - Use a gagging device to close a stuck open main steam safety valve following a steam generator tube rupture. (See Round 2 RAI 6 in Reference 2.)	n/a	n/a	50,000	453,745	Retained
IP3-001	001 - Create an independent RCP seal injection system with a dedicated diesel.	307,904	307,904	1,137,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP3-002	002 - Create an independent RCP seal injection system without a dedicated diesel.	272,516	n/a	1,000,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP3-003	003 - Install an additional CCW pump.	0	n/a	1,500,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP3-004	004 - Improved ability to cool the RHR heat exchangers by allowing manual alignment of the fire protection system.	189,987	n/a	565,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP3-005	005 - Install a filtered containment vent to provide fission product scrubbing.	1,924,923	n/a	5,700,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP3-006	006 - Create a large concrete crucible with heat removal potential under the base mat to contain molten core debris.	7,521,459	n/a	108,000,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP3-007	007 - Create a reactor cavity flooding system.	7,521,459	n/a	4,100,000	1,874,933	Retained

Table 11 – Case 5 Sensitivity SAMA Results<sup>(1)</sup>

Phase II SAMA	Description	Baseline Benefit	TI-SGTR Benefit Without Uncertainty	Estimated Cost (IP-RPT-09-00044)	Estimated Cost (NL-14-143)	Conclusion
IP3-008	008 - Create a core melt source reduction system.	7,521,459	n/a	90,000,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP3-009	009 - Provide means to inert containment.	4,028,080	n/a	10,900,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP3-010	010 - Use the fire protection system as a backup source for the containment spray system.	0	n/a	565,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP3-011	011 - Install a passive containment spray system.	0	n/a	2,000,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP3-012	012 - Increase the depth of the concrete base mat or use an alternative concrete material to ensure melt-through does not occur.	1,116,931	n/a	5,000,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP3-013	013 - Construct a building connected to primary containment that is maintained at a vacuum.	7,675,928	n/a	61,000,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP3-014	014 - Install a redundant containment spray system.	0	n/a	5,800,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP3-015	015 - Erect a barrier that provides containment liner protection from ejected core debris at high pressure.	2,269,508	n/a	5,500,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP3-016	016 - Install a highly reliable steam generator shell-side heat removal system that relies on natural circulation and stored water sources.	2,162,180	2,090,887	7,400,000	n/a	Not Beneficial



Table 11 – Case 5 Sensitivity SAMA Results<sup>(1)</sup>

Phase II SAMA	Description	Baseline Benefit	TI-SGTR Benefit Without Uncertainty	Estimated Cost (IP-RPT-09-00044)	Estimated Cost (NL-14-143)	Conclusion
IP3-017	017 - Increase secondary side pressure capacity such that an SGTR would not cause the relief valves to lift.	27,708,095	45,044,287	100,000,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP3-018	018 - Route the discharge from the main steam safety valves through a structure where a water spray would condense the steam and remove most of the fission products.	5,905,474	20,710,748	12,000,000	35,691,159	Not Beneficial
IP3-019	019 - Install additional pressure or leak monitoring instrumentation for ISLOCAs.	4,526,876	n/a	2,800,000	6,369,223	Not Beneficial
IP3-020	020 - Add redundant and diverse limit switches to each containment isolation valve.	2,269,379	n/a	4,000,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP3-021	021 - Increase leak testing of valves in ISLOCA paths.	2,269,379	n/a	10,604,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP3-022	022 - Ensure all ISLOCA releases are scrubbed.	4,526,876	n/a	9,700,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP3-023	023 - Improve MSIV design.	0	n/a	476,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP3-024	024 - Provide additional DC battery capacity.	47,141	n/a	1,875,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP3-025	025 - Use fuel cells instead of lead-acid batteries.	47,141	n/a	2,000,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP3-026	026 - Increase/ improve DC bus load shedding.	47,141	n/a	460,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP3-027	027 - Create AC power cross-tie capability with other unit.	82,529	n/a	1,156,000	n/a	Not Beneficial

Table 11 – Case 5 Sensitivity SAMA Results<sup>(1)</sup>

Phase II SAMA	Description	Baseline Benefit	TI-SGTR Benefit Without Uncertainty	Estimated Cost (IP-RPT-09-00044)	Estimated Cost (NL-14-143)	Conclusion
IP3-028	028 - Create a backup source for diesel cooling (not from existing system).	23,635	n/a	1,700,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP3-029	029 - Use fire protection system as a backup source for diesel cooling.	23,635	n/a	497,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP3-030	030 - Provide a portable diesel-driven battery charger.	344,068	415,361	938,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP3-031	031 - Convert under-voltage, AFW and reactor protective system actuation signals from 2-out-of-4 to 3-out-of-4 logic.	178,234	n/a	1,254,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP3-032	032 - Provide capability for diesel-driven, low pressure vessel makeup.	83,176	n/a	632,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP3-033	033 - Provide an additional high pressure injection pump with independent diesel.	189,987	n/a	5,000,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP3-034	034 - Create automatic swap-over to recirculation upon RWST depletion.	721,842	n/a	1,000,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP3-035	035 - Provide capability for alternate injection via diesel-driven fire pump.	83,176	n/a	750,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP3-036	036 - Throttle low pressure injection pumps earlier in medium or large-break LOCAs to maintain reactor water storage tank inventory.	23,635	n/a	82,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP3-037	037 - Replace two of three motor-driven SI pumps with diesel-powered pumps.	189,987	n/a	2,000,000	n/a	Not Beneficial



Table 11 – Case 5 Sensitivity SAMA Results<sup>(1)</sup>

Phase II SAMA	Description	Baseline Benefit	TI-SGTR Benefit Without Uncertainty	Estimated Cost (IP-RPT-09-00044)	Estimated Cost (NL-14-143)	Conclusion
IP3-038	038 - Create/enhance a reactor coolant depressurization system.	427,631	n/a	4,600,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP3-039	039 - Install a digital feed water upgrade.	390,303	n/a	900,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP3-040	040 - Provide automatic nitrogen backup to steam generator atmospheric dump valves.	356,338	356,338	950,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP3-041	041 - Add a motor-driven feedwater pump.	390,303	n/a	2,000,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP3-042	042 - Provide hookup for portable generators to power the turbine-driven AFW pump after station batteries are depleted.	47,141	n/a	1,072,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP3-043	043 - Use fire water system as backup for steam generator inventory.	640,606	640,606	1,656,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP3-044	044 - Replace current pilot operated relief valves with larger ones such that only one is required for successful feed and bleed.	1,876,748	n/a	2,700,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP3-045	045 - Add an independent boron injection system.	11,882	n/a	300,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP3-046	046 - Add a system of relief valves that prevent equipment damage from a pressure spike during an ATWS.	283,622	n/a	615,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP3-047	047 - Install motor generator set trip breakers in control room.	35,388	n/a	716,000	n/a	Not Beneficial

Table 11 – Case 5 Sensitivity SAMA Results<sup>(1)</sup>

Phase II SAMA	Description	Baseline Benefit	TI-SGTR Benefit Without Uncertainty	Estimated Cost (IP-RPT-09-00044)	Estimated Cost (NL-14-143)	Conclusion
IP3-048	048 - Provide capability to remove power from the bus powering the control rods.	35,388	n/a	90,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP3-049	049 - Provide digital large break LOCA protection.	0	n/a	2,036,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP3-050	050 - Install secondary side guard pipes up to the MSIVs.	3,647,202	n/a	9,671,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP3-051	051 - Operator action: Align main feedwater for secondary heat removal.	23,635	n/a	55,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP3-052	052 - Open city water supply valve for alternative AFW pump suction.	439,514	n/a	50,000	138,378	Retained
IP3-053	053 - Install an excess flow valve to reduce the risk associated with hydrogen explosions.	677,029	n/a	228,000	344,599	Retained
IP3-054	054 - Provide DC power backup for the PORVs.	11,882	n/a	376,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP3-055	055 - Provide hard-wired connection to a SI or RHR pump from the Appendix R bus (MCC 312A).	5,938,664	n/a	1,288,000	1,601,888	Retained
IP3-056	056 - Install pneumatic controls and indication for the turbine-driven AFW pump.	47,141	n/a	982,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP3-057	057 - Provide backup cooling water source for the CCW heat exchangers.	118,434	n/a	109,000	n/a	Retained
IP3-058	058 - Provide automatic DC power backup.	165,575	n/a	1,868,000	n/a	Not Beneficial



Table 11 – Case 5 Sensitivity SAMA Results<sup>(1)</sup>

Phase II SAMA	Description	Baseline Benefit		TI-SGTR Benefit Without Uncertainty		Estimated Cost (IP-RPT-09-00044)	Estimated Cost (NL-14-143)	Conclusion
IP3-059	059 - Provide hardware connections to allow the primary water system to cool the charging pumps.	11,882		n/a		576,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP3-060	060 - Provide independent source of cooling for the recirculation pump motors.	11,882		n/a		710,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP3-061	061 - Upgrade the ASSS to allow timely restoration of seal injection and cooling.	6,342,530		n/a		560,000	2,282,668	Retained
IP3-062	062 - Install flood alarm in the 480 VAC switchgear room.	6,342,530		n/a		196,800	496,071	Retained
IP3-GAG	Not numbered - Use a gagging device to close a stuck open main steam safety valve following a steam generator tube rupture. (See Round 2 RAI 6 in Reference 2.)	n/a		n/a		50,000	453,745	Retained

- (1) Legend: green highlight = SAMAs retained in Case 0, baseline case.  
lavender highlight = SAMAs evaluated for TI-SGTR.  
yellow highlight = SAMA newly retained in Case 4, revised baseline case  
red text = SAMAs with NL-14-143 estimated costs.

Table 12 – Case 7 Sensitivity SAMA Results<sup>(1)</sup>

Phase II SAMA	Description	Baseline Benefit	Benefit With Uncertainty	TI-SGTR Benefit Without Uncertainty	TI-SGTR Benefit With Uncertainty	Estimated Cost (IP-RPT-09-00044)	Estimated Cost (NL-14-143)	Conclusion
IP2-001	001 - Create an independent RCP seal injection system with a dedicated diesel.	317,499	668,418	325,679	685,639	1,137,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP2-002	002 - Create an independent RCP seal injection system without a dedicated diesel.	301,317	634,352	n/a	n/a	1,000,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP2-003	003 - Install an additional CCW pump.	0	0	n/a	n/a	1,500,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP2-004	004 - Enhance procedural guidance for use of service water pumps.	40,543	85,353	n/a	n/a	1,750,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP2-005	005 - Improve ability to cool the RHR heat exchangers by allowing manual alignment of the fire protection system.	56,813	119,607	n/a	n/a	565,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP2-006	006 - Add a diesel building high temperature alarm.	16,182	34,066	24,361	51,287	274,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP2-007	007 - Install a filtered containment vent to provide fission product scrubbing.	1,488,724	3,134,157	n/a	n/a	5,700,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP2-008	008 - Create a large concrete crucible with heat removal potential under the base mat to contain molten core debris.	5,635,885	11,865,022	n/a	n/a	108,000,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP2-009	009 - Create a reactor cavity flooding system.	5,635,885	11,865,022	n/a	n/a	4,100,000	1,741,724	Retained
IP2-010	010 - Create a core melt source reduction system.	5,635,885	11,865,022	n/a	n/a	90,000,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP2-011	011 - Provide a means to inert containment.	2,805,673	5,906,680	n/a	n/a	10,900,000	n/a	Not Beneficial



Table 12 – Case 7 Sensitivity SAMA Results<sup>(1)</sup>

Phase II SAMA	Description	Baseline Benefit	Benefit With Uncertainty	TI-SGTR Benefit Without Uncertainty	TI-SGTR Benefit With Uncertainty	Estimated Cost (IP-RPT-09-00044)	Estimated Cost (NL-14-143)	Conclusion
IP2-012	012 - Use the fire protection system as a backup source for the containment spray system.	0	0	n/a	n/a	565,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP2-013	013 - Install a passive containment spray system.	0	0	n/a	n/a	2,000,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP2-014	014 - Increase the depth of the concrete base mat or use an alternative concrete material to ensure melt-through does not occur.	1,022,476	2,152,580	n/a	n/a	5,000,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP2-015	015 - Construct a building connected to primary containment that is maintained at a vacuum.	5,333,232	11,227,858	n/a	n/a	61,000,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP2-016	016 - Install a redundant containment spray system.	0	0	n/a	n/a	5,800,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP2-017	017 - Erect a barrier that provides containment liner protection from ejected core debris at high pressure.	1,668,680	3,513,011	n/a	n/a	5,500,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP2-018	018 - Install a highly reliable steam generator shell-side heat removal system that relies on natural circulation and stored water sources.	65,438	137,765	n/a	n/a	7,400,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP2-019	019 - Increase secondary side pressure capacity such that a SGTR would not cause the relief valves to lift.	5,496,383	11,571,333	n/a	n/a	100,000,000	n/a	Not Beneficial

Table 12 – Case 7 Sensitivity SAMA Results<sup>(1)</sup>

Phase II SAMA	Description	Baseline Benefit	Benefit With Uncertainty	TI-SGTR Benefit Without Uncertainty	TI-SGTR Benefit With Uncertainty	Estimated Cost (IP- RPT-09- 00044)	Estimated Cost (NL- 14-143)	Conclusion
IP2-020	020 - Route the discharge from the main steam safety valves through a structure where a water spray would condense the steam and remove most of the fission products.	556,227	1,171,004	n/a	n/a	9,700,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP2-021	021 - Install additional pressure or leak monitoring instrumentation for ISLOCAs.	2,052,953	4,322,006	n/a	n/a	3,200,000	4,632,227	Not Beneficial
IP2-022	022 - Add redundant and diverse limit switches to each containment isolation valve.	1,038,746	2,186,834	n/a	n/a	2,200,000	7,692,784	Not Beneficial
IP2-023	023 - Increase leak testing of valves in ISLOCA paths.	1,038,746	2,186,834	n/a	n/a	7,964,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP2-024	024 - Ensure all ISLOCA releases are scrubbed.	2,052,953	4,322,006	n/a	n/a	9,700,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP2-025	025 - Improve MSIV design.	81,798	172,206	147,236	309,972	476,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP2-026	026 - Provide additional DC battery capacity.	40,543	85,353	n/a	n/a	1,875,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP2-027	027 - Use fuel cells instead of lead-acid batteries.	40,543	85,353	n/a	n/a	2,000,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP2-028	028 - Provide a portable diesel-driven battery charger.	1,168,911	2,460,865	n/a	n/a	938,000	2,154,767	Retained
IP2-029	029 - Increase/ improve DC bus load shedding.	40,543	85,353	48,723	102,574	460,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP2-030	030 - Create AC power cross-tie capability with other unit.	48,634	102,387	n/a	n/a	1,156,000	n/a	Not Beneficial

Table 12 – Case 7 Sensitivity SAMA Results<sup>(1)</sup>

Phase II SAMA	Description	Baseline Benefit	Benefit With Uncertainty	TI-SGTR Benefit Without Uncertainty	TI-SGTR Benefit With Uncertainty	Estimated Cost (IP-RPT-09-00044)	Estimated Cost (NL-14-143)	Conclusion
IP2-031	031 - Create a backup source for diesel cooling (not from existing system).	32,452	68,320	n/a	n/a	1,700,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP2-032	032 - Use fire protection system as a backup source for diesel cooling.	32,452	68,320	n/a	n/a	497,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP2-033	033 - Convert under-voltage AFW and reactor protective system actuation signals from 2-out-of-4 to 3-out-of-4 logic.	0	0	n/a	n/a	1,254,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP2-034	034 - Provide capability for diesel-driven, low pressure vessel makeup.	0	0	n/a	n/a	632,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP2-035	035 - Provide an additional high pressure injection pump with independent diesel.	24,450	51,474	n/a	n/a	5,000,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP2-036	036 - Create automatic swap-over to recirculation cooling upon RWST depletion.	89,266	187,927	n/a	n/a	1,000,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP2-037	037 - Provide capability for alternate injection via diesel-driven fire pump.	0	0	n/a	n/a	750,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP2-038	038 - Throttle low pressure injection pumps earlier in medium or large-break LOCAs to maintain reactor water storage tank inventory.	8,091	17,033	n/a	n/a	82,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP2-039	039 - Replace two of three motor-driven SI pumps with diesel-powered pumps.	24,450	51,474	n/a	n/a	2,000,000	n/a	Not Beneficial



Table 12 – Case 7 Sensitivity SAMA Results<sup>(1)</sup>

Phase II SAMA	Description	Baseline Benefit	Benefit With Uncertainty	TI-SGTR Benefit Without Uncertainty	TI-SGTR Benefit With Uncertainty	Estimated Cost (IP- RPT-09- 00044)	Estimated Cost (NL- 14-143)	Conclusion
IP2-040	040 - Create/enhance a reactor coolant depressurization system.	482,430	1,015,643	482,430	1,015,643	2,000,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP2-041	041 - Install a digital feed water upgrade.	162,795	342,726	n/a	n/a	900,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP2-042	042 - Provide automatic nitrogen backup to steam generator atmospheric dump valves.	8,180	17,221	n/a	n/a	214,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP2-043	043 - Add a motor-driven feed water pump.	162,795	342,726	n/a	n/a	2,000,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP2-044	044 - Use fire water system as backup for steam generator inventory.	2,121,496	4,466,307	n/a	n/a	1,656,000	3,073,130	Retained
IP2-045	045 - Replace current pilot operated relief valves with larger ones such that only one is required for successful feed and bleed.	594,187	1,250,921	n/a	n/a	2,700,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP2-046	046 - Modify emergency operating procedures for ability to align diesel power to more air compressors.	0	0	n/a	n/a	82,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP2-047	047 - Add an independent boron injection system.	0	0	n/a	n/a	300,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP2-048	048 - Add a system of relief valves that prevent equipment damage from a pressure spike during an ATWS.	56,902	119,795	n/a	n/a	615,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP2-049	049 - Install motor generator set trip breakers in control room.	24,361	51,287	n/a	n/a	716,000	n/a	Not Beneficial



Table 12 – Case 7 Sensitivity SAMA Results<sup>(1)</sup>

Phase II SAMA	Description	Baseline Benefit	Benefit With Uncertainty	TI-SGTR Benefit Without Uncertainty	TI-SGTR Benefit With Uncertainty	Estimated Cost (IP-RPT-09-00044)	Estimated Cost (NL-14-143)	Conclusion
IP2-050	050 - Provide capability to remove power from the bus powering the control rods.	24,361	51,287	n/a	n/a	90,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP2-051	051- Provide digital large break LOCA protection.	0	0	n/a	n/a	2,036,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP2-052	052 - Install secondary side guard pipes up to the MSIVs.	286,204	602,535	384,362	809,183	1,100,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP2-053	053 - Keep both pressurizer PORV block valves open.	586,097	1,233,888	n/a	n/a	800,000	1,471,234	Not Beneficial
IP2-054	054 - Install flood alarm in the 480V switchgear room.	4,970,116	10,463,401	n/a	n/a	200,000	458,843	Retained
IP2-055	055 - Perform a hardware modification to allow high-head recirculation from either RHR heat exchanger.	0	0	n/a	n/a	1,330,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP2-056	056 - Keep RHR heat exchanger discharge motor operated valves (MOV) normally open.	40,543	85,353	n/a	n/a	82,000	1,704,938	Not Beneficial
IP2-057	057 - Provide DC power backup for the PORVs.	40,721	85,728	n/a	n/a	376,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP2-058	058 - Provide procedural guidance to allow high-head recirculation from either RHR heat exchanger.	0	0	n/a	n/a	82,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP2-059	059 - Re-install the low pressure suction trip on the AFW pumps and enhance procedures to respond to loss of the normal suction path.	16,271	34,254	n/a	n/a	318,000	n/a	Not Beneficial

Table 12 – Case 7 Sensitivity SAMA Results<sup>(1)</sup>

Phase II SAMA	Description	Baseline Benefit	Benefit With Uncertainty	TI-SGTR Benefit Without Uncertainty	TI-SGTR Benefit With Uncertainty	Estimated Cost (IP-RPT-09-00044)	Estimated Cost (NL-14-143)	Conclusion
IP2-060	060 - Provide added protection against flood propagation from stairwell 4 into the 480V switchgear room.	1,128,101	2,374,949	n/a	n/a	216,000	721,303	Retained
IP2-061	061 - Provide added protection against flood propagation from the deluge room into the 480V switchgear room.	2,468,698	5,197,259	n/a	n/a	192,000	943,792	Retained
IP2-062	062 - Provide a hard-wired connection to an SI pump from ASSS power supply.	768,367	1,617,616	841,986	1,772,601	1,500,000	1,662,692	Retained
IP2-063	063 - Provide a water-tight door for additional protection of the RHR pumps against flooding.	32,452	68,320	n/a	n/a	324,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP2-064	064 - Provide backup cooling water source for the CCW heat exchangers.	32,452	68,320	n/a	n/a	710,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP2-065	065 - Upgrade the ASSS to allow timely restoration of seal injection and cooling.	4,970,116	10,463,401	n/a	n/a	560,000	1,859,587	Retained
IP2-066	066 - Harden the EDG building and fuel oil transfer pumps against tornados and high winds.	2,350,429	4,948,273	n/a	n/a	33,500,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP2-067	067 - Provide hardware connections to allow the primary water system to cool the charging pumps.	8,091	17,033	n/a	n/a	576,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP2-068	068 - Provide independent source of cooling for the recirculation pump motors.	8,091	17,033	n/a	n/a	710,000	n/a	Not Beneficial



Table 12 – Case 7 Sensitivity SAMA Results<sup>(1)</sup>

Phase II SAMA	Description	Baseline Benefit	Benefit With Uncertainty	TI-SGTR Benefit Without Uncertainty	TI-SGTR Benefit With Uncertainty	Estimated Cost (IP-RPT-09-00044)	Estimated Cost (NL-14-143)	Conclusion
IP2-GAG	Not numbered - Use a gagging device to close a stuck open main steam safety valve following a steam generator tube rupture.	n/a	13,000,000	n/a	n/a	50,000	453,745	Retained
IP3-001	001 - Create an independent RCP seal injection system with a dedicated diesel.	224,728	325,693	236,610	342,913	1,137,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP3-002	002 - Create an independent RCP seal injection system without a dedicated diesel.	129,929	188,302	n/a	n/a	1,000,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP3-003	003 - Install an additional CCW pump.	0	0	n/a	n/a	1,500,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP3-004	004 - Improved ability to cool the RHR heat exchangers by allowing manual alignment of the fire protection system.	118,693	172,019	n/a	n/a	565,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP3-005	005 - Install a filtered containment vent to provide fission product scrubbing.	1,318,929	1,911,491	n/a	n/a	5,700,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP3-006	006 - Create a large concrete crucible with heat removal potential under the base mat to contain molten core debris.	4,491,488	6,509,402	n/a	n/a	108,000,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP3-007	007 - Create a reactor cavity flooding system.	4,491,488	6,509,402	n/a	n/a	4,100,000	1,874,933	Retained
IP3-008	008 - Create a core melt source reduction system.	4,491,488	6,509,402	n/a	n/a	90,000,000	n/a	Not Beneficial

Table 12 – Case 7 Sensitivity SAMA Results<sup>(1)</sup>

Phase II SAMA	Description	Baseline Benefit	Benefit With Uncertainty	TI-SGTR Benefit Without Uncertainty	TI-SGTR Benefit With Uncertainty	Estimated Cost (IP- RPT-09- 00044)	Estimated Cost (NL- 14-143)	Conclusion
IP3-009	009 - Provide means to inert containment.	2,115,039	3,065,274	n/a	n/a	10,900,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP3-010	010 - Use the fire protection system as a backup source for the containment spray system.	0	0	n/a	n/a	565,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP3-011	011 - Install a passive containment spray system.	0	0	n/a	n/a	2,000,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP3-012	012 - Increase the depth of the concrete base mat or use an alternative concrete material to ensure melt-through does not occur.	772,346	1,119,342	n/a	n/a	5,000,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP3-013	013 - Construct a building connected to primary containment that is maintained at a vacuum.	4,372,665	6,337,196	n/a	n/a	61,000,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP3-014	014 - Install a redundant containment spray system.	0	0	n/a	n/a	5,800,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP3-015	015 - Erect a barrier that provides containment liner protection from ejected core debris at high pressure.	1,093,166	1,584,299	n/a	n/a	5,500,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP3-016	016 - Install a highly reliable steam generator shell-side heat removal system that relies on natural circulation and stored water sources.	1,235,365	1,790,384	1,294,776	1,876,487	7,400,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP3-017	017 - Increase secondary side pressure capacity such that an SGTR would not cause the relief valves to lift.	12,641,412	18,320,887	n/a	n/a	100,000,000	n/a	Not Beneficial



Table 12 – Case 7 Sensitivity SAMA Results<sup>(1)</sup>

Phase II SAMA	Description	Baseline Benefit	Benefit With Uncertainty	TI-SGTR Benefit Without Uncertainty	TI-SGTR Benefit With Uncertainty	Estimated Cost (IP-RPT-09-00044)	Estimated Cost (NL-14-143)	Conclusion
IP3-018	018 - Route the discharge from the main steam safety valves through a structure where a water spray would condense the steam and remove most of the fission products.	2,839,856	4,115,733	9,612,734	13,931,498	12,000,000	35,691,159	Not Beneficial
IP3-019	019 - Install additional pressure or leak monitoring instrumentation for ISLOCAs.	2,007,840	2,909,913	n/a	n/a	2,800,000	6,369,223	Not Beneficial
IP3-020	020 - Add redundant and diverse limit switches to each containment isolation valve.	974,215	1,411,905	n/a	n/a	4,000,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP3-021	021 - Increase leak testing of valves in ISLOCA paths.	974,215	1,411,905	n/a	n/a	10,604,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP3-022	022 - Ensure all ISLOCA releases are scrubbed.	2,007,840	2,909,913	n/a	n/a	9,700,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP3-023	023 - Improve MSIV design.	0	0	n/a	n/a	476,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP3-024	024 - Provide additional DC battery capacity.	35,259	51,100	n/a	n/a	1,875,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP3-025	025 - Use fuel cells instead of lead-acid batteries.	35,259	51,100	n/a	n/a	2,000,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP3-026	026 - Increase/ improve DC bus load shedding.	35,259	51,100	n/a	n/a	460,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP3-027	027 - Create AC power cross-tie capability with other unit.	70,647	102,387	n/a	n/a	1,156,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP3-028	028 - Create a backup source for diesel cooling (not from existing system).	11,753	17,033	n/a	n/a	1,700,000	n/a	Not Beneficial

Table 12 – Case 7 Sensitivity SAMA Results<sup>(1)</sup>

Phase II SAMA	Description	Baseline Benefit	Benefit With Uncertainty	TI-SGTR Benefit Without Uncertainty	TI-SGTR Benefit With Uncertainty	Estimated Cost (IP- RPT-09- 00044)	Estimated Cost (NL- 14-143)	Conclusion
IP3-029	029 - Use fire protection system as a backup source for diesel cooling.	11,753	17,033	n/a	n/a	497,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP3-030	030 - Provide a portable diesel-driven battery charger.	201,481	292,001	284,656	412,546	938,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP3-031	031 - Convert under-voltage, AFW and reactor protective system actuation signals from 2-out-of-4 to 3-out-of-4 logic.	106,940	154,986	n/a	n/a	1,254,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP3-032	032 - Provide capability for diesel-driven, low pressure vessel makeup.	11,882	17,221	n/a	n/a	632,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP3-033	033 - Provide an additional high pressure injection pump with independent diesel.	59,282	85,916	n/a	n/a	5,000,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP3-034	034 - Create automatic swap-over to recirculation upon RWST depletion.	519,844	753,397	n/a	n/a	1,000,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP3-035	035 - Provide capability for alternate injection via diesel-driven fire pump.	11,882	17,221	n/a	n/a	750,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP3-036	036 - Throttle low pressure injection pumps earlier in medium or large-break LOCAs to maintain reactor water storage tank inventory.	11,753	17,033	n/a	n/a	82,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP3-037	037 - Replace two of three motor-driven SI pumps with diesel-powered pumps.	59,282	85,916	n/a	n/a	2,000,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP3-038	038 - Create/enhance a reactor coolant depressurization system.	237,516	344,225	n/a	n/a	4,600,000	n/a	Not Beneficial

Table 12 – Case 7 Sensitivity SAMA Results<sup>(1)</sup>

Phase II SAMA	Description	Baseline Benefit	Benefit With Uncertainty	TI-SGTR Benefit Without Uncertainty	TI-SGTR Benefit With Uncertainty	Estimated Cost (IP-RPT-09-00044)	Estimated Cost (NL-14-143)	Conclusion
IP3-039	039 - Install a digital feed water upgrade.	247,716	359,009	n/a	n/a	900,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP3-040	040 - Provide automatic nitrogen backup to steam generator atmospheric dump valves.	166,222	240,902	237,516	344,225	950,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP3-041	041 - Add a motor-driven feedwater pump.	247,716	359,009	n/a	n/a	2,000,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP3-042	042 - Provide hookup for portable generators to power the turbine-driven AFW pump after station batteries are depleted.	35,259	51,100	n/a	n/a	1,072,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP3-043	043 - Use fire water system as backup for steam generator inventory.	379,197	549,561	438,608	635,664	1,656,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP3-044	044 - Replace current pilot operated relief valves with larger ones such that only one is required for successful feed and bleed.	1,128,166	1,635,024	n/a	n/a	2,700,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP3-045	045 - Add an independent boron injection system.	0	0	n/a	n/a	300,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP3-046	046 - Add a system of relief valves that prevent equipment damage from a pressure spike during an ATWS.	200,446	290,501	n/a	n/a	615,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP3-047	047 - Install motor generator set trip breakers in control room.	35,388	51,287	n/a	n/a	716,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP3-048	048 - Provide capability to remove power from the bus powering the control rods.	35,388	51,287	n/a	n/a	90,000	n/a	Not Beneficial



Table 12 – Case 7 Sensitivity SAMA Results<sup>(1)</sup>

Phase II SAMA	Description	Baseline Benefit	Benefit With Uncertainty	TI-SGTR Benefit Without Uncertainty	TI-SGTR Benefit With Uncertainty	Estimated Cost (IP-RPT-09-00044)	Estimated Cost (NL-14-143)	Conclusion
IP3-049	049 - Provide digital large break LOCA protection.	0	0	n/a	n/a	2,036,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP3-050	050 - Install secondary side guard pipes up to the MSIVs.	2,161,921	3,133,219	n/a	n/a	9,671,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP3-051	051 - Operator action: Align main feedwater for secondary heat removal.	11,753	17,033	n/a	n/a	55,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP3-052	052 - Open city water supply valve for alternative AFW pump suction.	249,398	361,446	n/a	n/a	50,000	138,378	Retained
IP3-053	053 - Install an excess flow valve to reduce the risk associated with hydrogen explosions.	415,620	602,348	n/a	n/a	228,000	344,599	Retained
IP3-054	054 - Provide DC power backup for the PORVs.	0	0	n/a	n/a	376,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP3-055	055 - Provide hard-wired connection to a SI or RHR pump from the Appendix R bus (MCC 312A).	3,657,273	5,300,396	n/a	n/a	1,288,000	1,601,888	Retained
IP3-056	056 - Install pneumatic controls and indication for the turbine-driven AFW pump.	35,259	51,100	n/a	n/a	982,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP3-057	057 - Provide backup cooling water source for the CCW heat exchangers.	47,141	68,320	n/a	n/a	109,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP3-058	058 - Provide automatic DC power backup.	94,282	136,640	n/a	n/a	1,868,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP3-059	059 - Provide hardware connections to allow the primary water system to cool the charging pumps.	0	0	n/a	n/a	576,000	n/a	Not Beneficial

Table 12 – Case 7 Sensitivity SAMA Results<sup>(1)</sup>

Phase II SAMA	Description	Baseline Benefit	Benefit With Uncertainty	TI-SGTR Benefit Without Uncertainty	TI-SGTR Benefit With Uncertainty	Estimated Cost (IP-RPT-09-00044)	Estimated Cost (NL-14-143)	Conclusion
IP3-060	060 - Provide independent source of cooling for the recirculation pump motors.	0	0	n/a	n/a	710,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP3-061	061 - Upgrade the ASSS to allow timely restoration of seal injection and cooling.	3,859,142	5,592,959	n/a	n/a	560,000	2,282,668	Retained
IP3-062	062 - Install flood alarm in the 480 VAC switchgear room.	3,859,142	5,592,959	n/a	n/a	196,800	496,071	Retained
IP3-GAG	Not numbered - Use a gagging device to close a stuck open main steam safety valve following a steam generator tube rupture.	n/a	19,000,000	n/a	n/a	50,000	453,745	Retained

- (1) Legend: green highlight = SAMAs retained in Case 0, baseline case.  
lavender highlight = SAMAs evaluated for TI-SGTR.  
red text = SAMAs with NL-14-143 estimated costs.



Table 13 – Case 8 Sensitivity SAMA Results<sup>(1)</sup>

Phase II SAMA	Description	Baseline Benefit	TI-SGTR Benefit Without Uncertainty	Estimated Cost (IP-RPT-09-00044)	Estimated Cost (NL-14-143)	Conclusion
IP2-001	001 - Create an independent RCP seal injection system with a dedicated diesel.	407,477	407,477	1,137,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP2-002	002 - Create an independent RCP seal injection system without a dedicated diesel.	342,216	n/a	1,000,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP2-003	003 - Install an additional CCW pump.	0	n/a	1,500,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP2-004	004 - Enhance procedural guidance for use of service water pumps.	40,543	n/a	1,750,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP2-005	005 - Improve ability to cool the RHR heat exchangers by allowing manual alignment of the fire protection system.	105,892	n/a	565,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP2-006	006 - Add a diesel building high temperature alarm.	24,361	65,260	274,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP2-007	007 - Install a filtered containment vent to provide fission product scrubbing.	1,750,478	n/a	5,700,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP2-008	008 - Create a large concrete crucible with heat removal potential under the base mat to contain molten core debris.	8,098,006	n/a	108,000,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP2-009	009 - Create a reactor cavity flooding system.	8,098,006	n/a	4,100,000	1,741,724	Retained
IP2-010	010 - Create a core melt source reduction system.	8,098,006	n/a	90,000,000	n/a	Not Beneficial

Table 13 – Case 8 Sensitivity SAMA Results<sup>(1)</sup>

Phase II SAMA	Description	Baseline Benefit	TI-SGTR Benefit Without Uncertainty	Estimated Cost (IP-RPT-09-00044)	Estimated Cost (NL-14-143)	Conclusion
IP2-011	011 - Provide a means to inert containment.	4,629,769	n/a	10,900,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP2-012	012 - Use the fire protection system as a backup source for the containment spray system.	0	n/a	565,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP2-013	013 - Install a passive containment spray system.	0	n/a	2,000,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP2-014	014 - Increase the depth of the concrete base mat or use an alternative concrete material to ensure melt-through does not occur.	1,194,251	n/a	5,000,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP2-015	015 - Construct a building connected to primary containment that is maintained at a vacuum.	8,114,366	n/a	61,000,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP2-016	016 - Install a redundant containment spray system.	0	n/a	5,800,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP2-017	017 - Erect a barrier that provides containment liner protection from ejected core debris at high pressure.	2,993,808	n/a	5,500,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP2-018	018 - Install a highly reliable steam generator shell-side heat removal system that relies on natural circulation and stored water sources.	106,337	n/a	7,400,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP2-019	019 - Increase secondary side pressure capacity such that a SGTR would not cause the relief valves to lift.	10,011,635	n/a	100,000,000	n/a	Not Beneficial



Table 13 – Case 8 Sensitivity SAMA Results<sup>(1)</sup>

Phase II SAMA	Description	Baseline Benefit	TI-SGTR Benefit Without Uncertainty	Estimated Cost (IP-RPT-09-00044)	Estimated Cost (NL-14-143)	Conclusion
IP2-020	020 - Route the discharge from the main steam safety valves through a structure where a water spray would condense the steam and remove most of the fission products.	981,577	n/a	9,700,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP2-021	021 - Install additional pressure or leak monitoring instrumentation for ISLOCAs.	3,778,892	n/a	3,200,000	4,632,227	Not Beneficial
IP2-022	022 - Add redundant and diverse limit switches to each containment isolation valve.	1,889,446	n/a	2,200,000	7,692,784	Not Beneficial
IP2-023	023 - Increase leak testing of valves in ISLOCA paths.	1,889,446	n/a	7,964,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP2-024	024 - Ensure all ISLOCA releases are scrubbed.	3,778,892	n/a	9,700,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP2-025	025 - Improve MSIV design.	171,776	319,012	476,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP2-026	026 - Provide additional DC battery capacity.	40,543	n/a	1,875,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP2-027	027 - Use fuel cells instead of lead-acid batteries.	40,543	n/a	2,000,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP2-028	028 - Provide a portable diesel-driven battery charger.	1,643,339	n/a	938,000	2,154,767	Not Beneficial
IP2-029	029 - Increase/ improve DC bus load shedding.	40,543	81,442	460,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP2-030	030 - Create AC power cross-tie capability with other unit.	56,813	n/a	1,156,000	n/a	Not Beneficial



Table 13 – Case 8 Sensitivity SAMA Results<sup>(1)</sup>

Phase II SAMA	Description	Baseline Benefit	TI-SGTR Benefit Without Uncertainty	Estimated Cost (IP-RPT-09-00044)	Estimated Cost (NL-14-143)	Conclusion
IP2-031	031 - Create a backup source for diesel cooling (not from existing system).	32,452	n/a	1,700,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP2-032	032 - Use fire protection system as a backup source for diesel cooling.	32,452	n/a	497,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP2-033	033 - Convert under-voltage AFW and reactor protective system actuation signals from 2-out-of-4 to 3-out-of-4 logic.	0	n/a	1,254,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP2-034	034 - Provide capability for diesel-driven, low pressure vessel makeup.	0	n/a	632,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP2-035	035 - Provide an additional high pressure injection pump with independent diesel.	73,529	n/a	5,000,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP2-036	036 - Create automatic swap-over to recirculation cooling upon RWST depletion.	130,165	n/a	1,000,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP2-037	037 - Provide capability for alternate injection via diesel-driven fire pump.	0	n/a	750,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP2-038	038 - Throttle low pressure injection pumps earlier in medium or large-break LOCAs to maintain reactor water storage tank inventory.	8,091	n/a	82,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP2-039	039 - Replace two of three motor-driven SI pumps with diesel-powered pumps.	73,529	n/a	2,000,000	n/a	Not Beneficial

Table 13 – Case 8 Sensitivity SAMA Results<sup>(1)</sup>

Phase II SAMA	Description	Baseline Benefit	TI-SGTR Benefit Without Uncertainty	Estimated Cost (IP-RPT-09-00044)	Estimated Cost (NL-14-143)	Conclusion
IP2-040	040 - Create/enhance a reactor coolant depressurization system.	695,105	736,004	2,000,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP2-041	041 - Install a digital feed water upgrade.	170,975	n/a	900,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP2-042	042 - Provide automatic nitrogen backup to steam generator atmospheric dump valves.	8,180	n/a	214,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP2-043	043 - Add a motor-driven feed water pump.	170,975	n/a	2,000,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP2-044	044 - Use fire water system as backup for steam generator inventory.	2,767,700	n/a	1,656,000	3,073,130	Not Beneficial
IP2-045	045 - Replace current pilot operated relief valves with larger ones such that only one is required for successful feed and bleed.	725,064	n/a	2,700,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP2-046	046 - Modify emergency operating procedures for ability to align diesel power to more air compressors.	0	n/a	82,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP2-047	047 - Add an independent boron injection system.	0	n/a	300,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP2-048	048 - Add a system of relief valves that prevent equipment damage from a pressure spike during an ATWS.	97,801	n/a	615,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP2-049	049 - Install motor generator set trip breakers in control room.	24,361	n/a	716,000	n/a	Not Beneficial



Table 13 – Case 8 Sensitivity SAMA Results<sup>(1)</sup>

Phase II SAMA	Description	Baseline Benefit	TI-SGTR Benefit Without Uncertainty	Estimated Cost (IP-RPT-09-00044)	Estimated Cost (NL-14-143)	Conclusion
IP2-050	050 - Provide capability to remove power from the bus powering the control rods.	24,361	n/a	90,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP2-051	051- Provide digital large break LOCA protection.	0	n/a	2,036,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP2-052	052 - Install secondary side guard pipes up to the MSIVs.	515,239	727,914	1,100,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP2-053	053 - Keep both pressurizer PORV block valves open.	716,974	n/a	800,000	1,471,234	Not Beneficial
IP2-054	054 - Install flood alarm in the 480V switchgear room.	6,957,808	n/a	200,000	458,843	Retained
IP2-055	055 - Perform a hardware modification to allow high-head recirculation from either RHR heat exchanger.	0	n/a	1,330,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP2-056	056 - Keep RHR heat exchanger discharge motor operated valves (MOVs) normally open.	40,543	n/a	82,000	1,704,938	Not Beneficial
IP2-057	057 - Provide DC power backup for the PORVs.	89,800	n/a	376,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP2-058	058 - Provide procedural guidance to allow high-head recirculation from either RHR heat exchanger.	0	n/a	82,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP2-059	059 - Re-install the low pressure suction trip on the AFW pumps and enhance procedures to respond to loss of the normal suction path.	16,271	n/a	318,000	n/a	Not Beneficial

Table 13 – Case 8 Sensitivity SAMA Results<sup>(1)</sup>

Phase II SAMA	Description	Baseline Benefit	TI-SGTR Benefit Without Uncertainty	Estimated Cost (IP-RPT-09-00044)	Estimated Cost (NL-14-143)	Conclusion
IP2-060	060 - Provide added protection against flood propagation from stairwell 4 into the 480V switchgear room.	1,561,630	n/a	216,000	721,303	Retained
IP2-061	061 - Provide added protection against flood propagation from the deluge room into the 480V switchgear room.	3,417,556	n/a	192,000	943,792	Retained
IP2-062	062 - Provide a hard-wired connection to an SI pump from ASSS power supply.	1,071,020	1,226,436	1,500,000	1,662,692	Not Beneficial
IP2-063	063 - Provide a water-tight door for additional protection of the RHR pumps against flooding.	32,452	n/a	324,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP2-064	064 - Provide backup cooling water source for the CCW heat exchangers.	32,452	n/a	710,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP2-065	065 - Upgrade the ASSS to allow timely restoration of seal injection and cooling.	6,957,808	n/a	560,000	1,859,587	Retained
IP2-066	066 - Harden the EDG building and fuel oil transfer pumps against tornados and high winds.	2,824,858	n/a	33,500,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP2-067	067 - Provide hardware connections to allow the primary water system to cool the charging pumps.	8,091	n/a	576,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP2-068	068 - Provide independent source of cooling for the recirculation pump motors.	8,091	n/a	710,000	n/a	Not Beneficial



Table 13 – Case 8 Sensitivity SAMA Results<sup>(1)</sup>

Phase II SAMA	Description	Baseline Benefit	TI-SGTR Benefit Without Uncertainty	Estimated Cost (IP-RPT-09-00044)	Estimated Cost (NL-14-143)	Conclusion
IP2-GAG	Not numbered - Use a gagging device to close a stuck open main steam safety valve following a steam generator tube rupture. (See Round 2 RAI 6 in Reference 2.)	n/a	n/a	50,000	453,745	Retained
IP3-001	001 - Create an independent RCP seal injection system with a dedicated diesel.	296,021	296,021	1,137,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP3-002	002 - Create an independent RCP seal injection system without a dedicated diesel.	201,222	n/a	1,000,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP3-003	003 - Install an additional CCW pump.	0	n/a	1,500,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP3-004	004 - Improved ability to cool the RHR heat exchangers by allowing manual alignment of the fire protection system.	130,575	n/a	565,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP3-005	005 - Install a filtered containment vent to provide fission product scrubbing.	1,568,456	n/a	5,700,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP3-006	006 - Create a large concrete crucible with heat removal potential under the base mat to contain molten core debris.	6,357,000	n/a	108,000,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP3-007	007 - Create a reactor cavity flooding system.	6,357,000	n/a	4,100,000	1,874,933	Retained
IP3-008	008 - Create a core melt source reduction system.	6,357,000	n/a	90,000,000	n/a	Not Beneficial

Table 13 – Case 8 Sensitivity SAMA Results<sup>(1)</sup>

Phase II SAMA	Description	Baseline Benefit	TI-SGTR Benefit Without Uncertainty	Estimated Cost (IP-RPT-09-00044)	Estimated Cost (NL-14-143)	Conclusion
IP3-009	009 - Provide means to inert containment.	3,422,086	n/a	10,900,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP3-010	010 - Use the fire protection system as a backup source for the containment spray system.	0	n/a	565,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP3-011	011 - Install a passive containment spray system.	0	n/a	2,000,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP3-012	012 - Increase the depth of the concrete base mat or use an alternative concrete material to ensure melt-through does not occur.	903,050	n/a	5,000,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP3-013	013 - Construct a building connected to primary containment that is maintained at a vacuum.	6,428,293	n/a	61,000,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP3-014	014 - Install a redundant containment spray system.	0	n/a	5,800,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP3-015	015 - Erect a barrier that provides containment liner protection from ejected core debris at high pressure.	1,913,041	n/a	5,500,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP3-016	016 - Install a highly reliable steam generator shell-side heat removal system that relies on natural circulation and stored water sources.	1,675,008	1,734,419	7,400,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP3-017	017 - Increase secondary side pressure capacity such that an SGTR would not cause the relief valves to lift.	23,323,548	n/a	100,000,000	n/a	Not Beneficial



Table 13 – Case 8 Sensitivity SAMA Results<sup>(1)</sup>

Phase II SAMA	Description	Baseline Benefit	TI-SGTR Benefit Without Uncertainty	Estimated Cost (IP-RPT-09-00044)	Estimated Cost (NL-14-143)	Conclusion
IP3-018	018 - Route the discharge from the main steam safety valves through a structure where a water spray would condense the steam and remove most of the fission products.	5,145,011	18,037,244	12,000,000	35,691,159	Not Beneficial
IP3-019	019 - Install additional pressure or leak monitoring instrumentation for ISLOCAs.	3,790,177	n/a	2,800,000	6,369,223	Not Beneficial
IP3-020	020 - Add redundant and diverse limit switches to each containment isolation valve.	1,924,794	n/a	4,000,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP3-021	021 - Increase leak testing of valves in ISLOCA paths.	1,924,794	n/a	10,604,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP3-022	022 - Ensure all ISLOCA releases are scrubbed.	3,790,177	n/a	9,700,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP3-023	023 - Improve MSIV design.	0	n/a	476,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP3-024	024 - Provide additional DC battery capacity.	47,141	n/a	1,875,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP3-025	025 - Use fuel cells instead of lead-acid batteries.	47,141	n/a	2,000,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP3-026	026 - Increase/ improve DC bus load shedding.	47,141	n/a	460,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP3-027	027 - Create AC power cross-tie capability with other unit.	70,647	n/a	1,156,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP3-028	028 - Create a backup source for diesel cooling (not from existing system).	11,753	n/a	1,700,000	n/a	Not Beneficial



Table 13 – Case 8 Sensitivity SAMA Results<sup>(1)</sup>

Phase II SAMA	Description	Baseline Benefit	TI-SGTR Benefit Without Uncertainty	Estimated Cost (IP-RPT-09-00044)	Estimated Cost (NL-14-143)	Conclusion
IP3-029	029 - Use fire protection system as a backup source for diesel cooling.	11,753	n/a	497,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP3-030	030 - Provide a portable diesel-driven battery charger.	272,774	403,479	938,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP3-031	031 - Convert under-voltage, AFW and reactor protective system actuation signals from 2-out-of-4 to 3-out-of-4 logic.	118,822	n/a	1,254,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP3-032	032 - Provide capability for diesel-driven, low pressure vessel makeup.	23,764	n/a	632,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP3-033	033 - Provide an additional high pressure injection pump with independent diesel.	118,693	n/a	5,000,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP3-034	034 - Create automatic swap-over to recirculation upon RWST depletion.	591,137	n/a	1,000,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP3-035	035 - Provide capability for alternate injection via diesel-driven fire pump.	23,764	n/a	750,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP3-036	036 - Throttle low pressure injection pumps earlier in medium or large-break LOCAs to maintain reactor water storage tank inventory.	11,753	n/a	82,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP3-037	037 - Replace two of three motor-driven SI pumps with diesel-powered pumps.	118,693	n/a	2,000,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP3-038	038 - Create/enhance a reactor coolant depressurization system.	308,809	n/a	4,600,000	n/a	Not Beneficial

Table 13 – Case 8 Sensitivity SAMA Results<sup>(1)</sup>

Phase II SAMA	Description	Baseline Benefit	TI-SGTR Benefit Without Uncertainty	Estimated Cost (IP-RPT-09-00044)	Estimated Cost (NL-14-143)	Conclusion
IP3-039	039 - Install a digital feed water upgrade.	319,010	n/a	900,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP3-040	040 - Provide automatic nitrogen backup to steam generator atmospheric dump valves.	296,927	296,927	950,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP3-041	041 - Add a motor-driven feedwater pump.	319,010	n/a	2,000,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP3-042	042 - Provide hookup for portable generators to power the turbine-driven AFW pump after station batteries are depleted.	47,141	n/a	1,072,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP3-043	043 - Use fire water system as backup for steam generator inventory.	509,902	569,313	1,656,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP3-044	044 - Replace current pilot operated relief valves with larger ones such that only one is required for successful feed and bleed.	1,508,398	n/a	2,700,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP3-045	045 - Add an independent boron injection system.	0	n/a	300,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP3-046	046 - Add a system of relief valves that prevent equipment damage from a pressure spike during an ATWS.	271,739	n/a	615,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP3-047	047 - Install motor generator set trip breakers in control room.	35,388	n/a	716,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP3-048	048 - Provide capability to remove power from the bus powering the control rods.	35,388	n/a	90,000	n/a	Not Beneficial



Table 13 – Case 8 Sensitivity SAMA Results<sup>(1)</sup>

Phase II SAMA	Description	Baseline Benefit	TI-SGTR Benefit Without Uncertainty	Estimated Cost (IP-RPT-09-00044)	Estimated Cost (NL-14-143)	Conclusion
IP3-049	049 - Provide digital large break LOCA protection.	0	n/a	2,036,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP3-050	050 - Install secondary side guard pipes up to the MSIVs.	2,922,385	n/a	9,671,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP3-051	051 - Operator action: Align main feedwater for secondary heat removal.	23,635	n/a	55,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP3-052	052 - Open city water supply valve for alternative AFW pump suction.	320,691	n/a	50,000	138,378	Retained
IP3-053	053 - Install an excess flow valve to reduce the risk associated with hydrogen explosions.	593,853	n/a	228,000	344,599	Retained
IP3-054	054 - Provide DC power backup for the PORVs.	0	n/a	376,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP3-055	055 - Provide hard-wired connection to a SI or RHR pump from the Appendix R bus (MCC 312A).	5,083,142	n/a	1,288,000	1,601,888	Retained
IP3-056	056 - Install pneumatic controls and indication for the turbine-driven AFW pump.	47,141	n/a	982,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP3-057	057 - Provide backup cooling water source for the CCW heat exchangers.	59,023	n/a	109,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP3-058	058 - Provide automatic DC power backup.	94,282	n/a	1,868,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP3-059	059 - Provide hardware connections to allow the primary water system to cool the charging pumps.	0	n/a	576,000	n/a	Not Beneficial



Table 13 – Case 8 Sensitivity SAMA Results<sup>(1)</sup>

Phase II SAMA	Description	Baseline Benefit	TI-SGTR Benefit Without Uncertainty	Estimated Cost (IP-RPT-09-00044)	Estimated Cost (NL-14-143)	Conclusion
IP3-060	060 - Provide independent source of cooling for the recirculation pump motors.	0	n/a	710,000	n/a	Not Beneficial
IP3-061	061 - Upgrade the ASSS to allow timely restoration of seal injection and cooling.	5,415,716	n/a	560,000	2,282,668	Retained
IP3-062	062 - Install flood alarm in the 480 VAC switchgear room.	5,415,716	n/a	196,800	496,071	Retained
IP3-GAG	Not numbered - Use a gagging device to close a stuck open main steam safety valve following a steam generator tube rupture. (See Round 2 RAI 6 in Reference 2.)	n/a	n/a	50,000	453,745	Retained

- (1) Legend: green highlight = SAMAs retained in Case 0, baseline case.  
lavender highlight = SAMAs evaluated for TI-SGTR.  
red text = SAMAs with NL-14-143 estimated costs.

**Attachment 1 – List of Electronic Files**

Input spreadsheets from IP-RPT-09-00044 (Reference 3):

File Name	Unit	Date	Time	Description
sumi2NS-noE-R-NmetEC.xls	IP2	11/19/2009	10:17AM	PDR and OECR for SAMA analysis cases – baseline
Cost-20-7-IP2-NS-noE-R-NmetEC.xls	IP2	11/19/2009	10:14AM	Benefit calculations for SAMA analysis cases – baseline
IP2 Radionuclide Release Results-Base-RAI#5.xls	IP2	04/30/2008	11:03AM	Source term for IP2 TI-SGTR sensitivity
sumi2NS-noE-R-NmetEC-RAI5.xls	IP2	11/18/2009	09:44AM	PDR and OECR for SAMA analysis cases – TI-SGTR sensitivity
Cost-20-7-IP2-NS-noE-R-NmetEC-RAI5.xls	IP2	11/18/2009	10:10AM	Benefit calculations for SAMA analysis cases – TI-SGTR sensitivity
sumi3NS-noE-NmetEC-R-level130a.xls	IP3	11/19/2009	10:29AM	PDR and OECR for SAMA analysis cases – baseline
ER-Cost-20-7-IP3-NS-noE-NmetEC-R-nm8-level1-30a.xls	IP3	11/19/2009	10:33AM	Benefit calculations for SAMA analysis cases – baseline
IP3 Radionuclide Release Results-Base-RAI#5.xls	IP3	04/30/2008	11:53AM	Source term for IP3 TI-SGTR sensitivity
sumi3NS-noE-NmetEC-R-level130a-RAI5.xls	IP3	11/18/2009	10:10AM	PDR and OECR for SAMA analysis cases – TI-SGTR sensitivity
ER-Cost-20-7-IP3-NS-noE-NmetEC-R-nm8-level1-30a-RAI5.xls	IP3	11/18/2009	10:08AM	Benefit calculations for SAMA analysis cases – TI-SGTR sensitivity

Input spreadsheet from IP-RPT-07-00007 (Reference 6):

File Name	Unit	Date	Time	Description
IP2 SAMA Radionuclide Release Results-Level1-20.xls	IP2	01/29/2007	12:55PM	Source Term for Analysis Case 16 – Phase II SAMA IP2-020.

Input spreadsheet from IP-RPT-07-00008 (Reference 7):

File Name	Unit	Date	Time	Description
IP3 SAMA Radionuclide Release Results-Level2-18.xls	IP3	01/23/2007	06:36AM	Source Term for Analysis Case 14 – Phase II SAMA IP3-018.

Input spreadsheet from IP-RPT-16-00077 (Reference 4):

File Name	Unit	Date	Time	Description
2016 IPEC MACCS2 Sens – RevD.xlsx	IP2 and IP3	11/24/16	1:08PM	MACCS2 results for RAI CLI-16-07 sensitivity cases



**Attachment 1 – List of Electronic Files (cont.)**

Output spreadsheets for IP-RPT-16-00078 (this report):

File Name	Unit	Date	Time	Description
Case 0 IPEC SAMA Sensitivities.xlsx	IP2 and IP3	11/26/16	3:41PM	Case 0 spreadsheet to confirm that the benefit results of IP-RPT-09-00044 can be reproduced.
Case 4 IPEC SAMA Sensitivities.xlsx	IP2 and IP3	12/3/16	9:18AM	Case 4 spreadsheet to evaluate escalated VALWNF and VNFRM and support response to RAI #1.
Case 5 IPEC SAMA Sensitivities.xlsx	IP2 and IP3	12/23/16	11:25AM	Case 5 spreadsheet to evaluate increased TIMDEC and CDNFRM and support response to RAI #2.a.
Case 7 IPEC SAMA Sensitivities.xlsx	IP2 and IP3	12/3/16	10:32AM	Case 7 spreadsheet to evaluate escalated VALWNF and VNFRM and revised VDEPOS.
Case 8 IPEC SAMA Sensitivities.xlsx	IP2 and IP3	12/23/16	11:33AM	Case 8 spreadsheet to evaluate increased TIMDEC and CDNFRM with revised VDEPOS.





**Engineering Report  
Technical Review Comments and Resolutions Form**

Engineering Report Number	IP-RPT-16-00078	Rev. 0	Indian Point RAI CLI-16-07 SAMA Cost-Benefit Sensitivities	
Quality Related: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No		Special Notes or Instructions:		
Comment Number	Section/ Page No.	Review Comment	Response/Resolution	Preparer's Accept Initials
1	2.a, page 4	It states \$100k is the maximum CDNFRM value. However, the range for Indian Point is \$71,255 to \$112,856 (CLI-12-07 page 37). How is it the maximum if it is middle of the site range? Is it the maximum the MACCS code permits? I believe this is correct treatment and it may be more a comment on the CLI-12-07 report or RAI itself.	\$100k is the maximum the MACCS2 code permits. The range in the order is merely a range of values proposed by the intervener in the license renewal legal proceeding for Indian Point.	AJS 12/12/16
2	3. page 4	Consider defining Population Dose Risk (PDR) and an Offsite Economic Cost Risk (OECR) acronyms. They are spelled out in the RAI text and used later but never formally defined.	Yes, I did this just before Table 2, which is the first place I used the acronyms that wasn't in the text directly from the NRC RAI.	AJS 12/12/16
3	Table 1	Does table need units (\$) ? Most other tables list units (p-rem, p-rem/yr, \$/yr) but this table does not denote that it is dollars (\$) for the listed values.4	Units added.	AJS 12/12/16
4	Page 6 – below table 1	It states the OECR increase of 9-10%. This information is in Reference 4 tables A-1A/1B but it is not clear how to get these numbers from the content of this report as stated "as shown in Table 2 and Table 3"	Reworded all similar sentences.	AJS 12/12/16
5	Table 6	It states and shows the SAMAs that appear potentially cost beneficial. Is it worth showing the details on the ones that are not?	In Section 6 of the report, I inserted the full results (all SAMAs) for each of the sensitivity cases. In Table 6 and Table 11, I left just the new potentially cost-beneficial SAMAs since I believe that is all we want to tell the NRC. I reworded the intros to Tables 6 and 11 accordingly.	AJS 12/12/16



Engineering Report  
Technical Review Comments and Resolutions Form

Engineering Report Number	IP-RPT-16-00078	Rev. 0	Indian Point RAI CLI-16-07 SAMA Cost-Benefit Sensitivities	
Quality Related: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No		Special Notes or Instructions:		
Comment Number	Section/ Page No.	Review Comment	Response/Resolution	Preparer's Accept Initials
6	Page 15 and table 11	<p>Basically same as last comment. The wording states that <i>As shown in Table 11, when the TIMDEC and CDNFRM values are increased to the maximum MACCS2 allowed values as stipulated in the RAI, with the alternative revised baseline, none of the SAMA benefits are higher than the existing implementation cost estimate.</i></p> <p>The table only shows 4 SAMAs – only the ones relevant to the next paragraph. Is it worth showing the details of the ones that are not cost beneficial? If not consider rewording the text.</p>	See response to comment 5.	AJS 12/12/16
Verified/Reviewed By:		Andrew Spotts	Date	12/07/16
Site/Department:		Entergy/PSA	Ph. 281.788.0583	Resolved By: Lori Ann Potts
			Date: 12/11/2016	