

Presentation Slides
Crystal River Nuclear Plant Decommissioning
January 30, 2017



Crystal River Nuclear Plant Decommissioning

January 30, 2017



January 30, 2017 Meeting

- Purpose of Meeting
 - Discuss the Dry Shielded Canister design changes associated with the CR3 ISFSI project
- Duke Energy Participants
 - Terry Hobbs, General Manager Decommissioning
 - Alan Fata, ISFSI Project Director
 - Phyllis Dixon, Manager Technical Support- SAFSTOR
 - Steve Edwards, Manager Nuclear Engineering, Spent Fuel Mgmt
 - Chris Nolan, Director Fleet Regulatory Affairs
 - Ryan Stephens, Senior Nuclear Engineer, Spent Fuel Mgmt
- TN Participants

■ Prakash Narayanan	■ Raheel Haroon	■ Jayant Bondre
■ Jibu Abraham (tentative)	■ Venkata Venigalla	■ Phillipe Pham
■ Don Shaw	■ Kamran Tavassoli	
■ Girish Patel	■ Joe Faldowski	

Decommissioning Strategy, Timeline and Cost

- **PSDAR:** Submitted December 2013
- **SAFSTOR:** Reached SAFSTOR on July 1, 2015
- **Timeline:** Uses the 60 years allowed by NRC regulation
- **Cost estimate:** \$1.18 billion in 2013 dollars
 - The nuclear decommissioning trust fund is currently sufficient to decommission the plant
 - No additional charges from Florida customers anticipated
 - Does not include ISFSI construction costs

Where are we headed?

- **2018** – Complete loading campaign
 - This milestone gates the transition to a much smaller security organization
- **First Quarter 2019**
 - Complete systems, structures and components abandonment
 - Complete modifications to systems, structures and components that will remain in service
 - Complete program and process changes to support SAFSTOR II
- **Second Quarter 2019**
 - Transition to SAFSTOR II organization

ISFSI Project Scope

- Dry Cask Storage of 1,243 Spent Fuel Assemblies
- AREVA NUHOMS 32PTH1 Type 2-W System
- 39 DSC / HSM Modules
- 130 Ton Single Failure Proof Cask Handling Crane
- Construction of ISFSI Facility
 - Ground Stabilization
 - Structural Backfill to 20 ft.
 - Pad & Apron

ISFSI Project Status

- Replacement of the 130 ton cask handling crane and NRC inspection complete
- Construction of the ISFSI pad and NRC inspection complete
- Fabrication of dry cask storage system continues with scheduled delivery dates on track
 - DSC's = 18 of 39 received
 - HSM's = 30 of 39 received
- HSM installation scheduled to commence in February 2017
First array anticipated completion in May 2017
- Dry Runs scheduled for May 2017
- Loading Campaign scheduled to commence in June 2017
- Loading Campaign anticipated completion in February 2018


ISFSI Project Status



January 30, 2017

ISFSI Project Status





Summary of Draft LR 721004-1586 (32PTH1 Type 2-W)

Outline

- ▶ **Overview of Draft LR 721004-1586**
- ▶ **Summary of Nuclear Discipline**
- ▶ **Summary of Structural Discipline**
- ▶ **Summary of Thermal Discipline**

Overview

- ▶ **Draft LR 721004-1586 – Licensing Review of the proposed 32PTH1 Type 2-W basket configuration**
- ▶ **Proposed changes of 32PTH1 Type 2-W as follows:**
 - ◆ **Reduction in thickness of the paired aluminum and poison plates and reduction in the thickness of the center section basket plates**
 - ◆ **And increase of fuel compartment size**

Nuclear Discipline

- ▶ **Criticality performance is not adversely impacted**
 - ◆ Fuel compartment size increase: Minimum fuel compartment width is the most reactive configuration
 - ◆ Reduction of paired poison/aluminum plates thickness: poison plates/aluminum plates thickness does not have impact on criticality since the poison loading (B-10) is maintained
- ▶ **Criticality Sensitivity Evaluation is performed focusing the Most Reactive Configuration (MRC) using identical methodology and computer code version (SCALE 4.4)**
 - ◆ Model and compare 32PTH1 Type 2-W MRC to UFSAR 32PTH1 MRC
 - ◆ Confirm UFSAR 32PTH1 MRC is bounding

Nuclear Discipline

- ▶ **Shielding performance evaluation for adverse impact**
 - ◆ Fuel compartment size increase
 - ◆ Reduction of paired poison/aluminum plates thickness
- ▶ **A full evaluation is performed using identical methodology and computer code version (MCNP5 v1.4)**
 - ◆ Shielding analysis performed for 32PTH1 Type 2-W loaded with design basis source at 32 kW
 - ◆ UFSAR 32PTH1 dose rates are bounding

Nuclear Discipline

- ▶ **Criticality Safety, UFSAR U.6 criticality analysis and maximum assembly average initial enrichments determined for basket type and minimum soluble loading (intact fuel and damaged fuels) apply to 32PTH1 Type 2-W**
- ▶ **Shielding Safety, UFSAR U.5 shielding analysis for the normal, off-normal and accident conditions apply to 32PTH1 Type 2-W**
- ▶ **No adverse impact on criticality safety and shielding safety and still in compliance with UFSAR U.6 and U.5 analyses**

Structural Discipline

- ▶ **Structural performance is evaluated for adverse impact**
 - ◆ Fuel compartment size increase
 - ◆ Temperature differences
- ▶ **A full evaluation is performed**
 - ◆ UFSAR analysis models modified with proposed design changes
 - ◆ Results using different versions of ANSYS and LS-DYNA determined to be “conservative or essentially the same”
 - ◆ Proposed design change results in minor changes to the basket stresses
 - ◆ SSCs are in compliance with the UFSAR requirements
 - ◆ UFSAR will be updated with bounding results

Thermal Discipline

- ▶ Thermal performance of 32PTH1 Type 2-W DSC is evaluated for adverse impact due to the reduction in the thickness of the paired poison/aluminum plates compared to 32PTH1 Type 2 DSC.
- ▶ To evaluate the impact, the 32PTH1 Type 2-W DSC is re-evaluated using the same methodology described in the UFSAR based on ANSYS models.
- ▶ Results using different versions of ANSYS determined to be “conservative or essentially the same”.
- ▶ Maximum Fuel Cladding Temperature

Loading Condition	Intact (°F) Type 2-W / Type 2	Damaged (°F) Type 2-W / Type 2
Bounding Storage	728 / 717	749 / 733
Bounding Transfer	737 / 727	747 / 731

- ▶ Maximum temperatures for all components and Internal Pressure for DSC remain below applicable limits described in the UFSAR.

CR-3 ISFSI Regulatory Path Forward

- CR-3 will proceed with current loading plans when the 72.48 evaluation based on original methods of evaluation is approved by TN and all inspection concerns resolved
- If the new 72.48 evaluation using original methods is not approved by TN or if new regulatory concerns are identified during inspection of the ISFSI project that cannot be resolved, CR-3 will submit an exemption request for approval to use the 32PTH1 Type 2-W canister design
- Exemption request would include a basis for expedited approval to minimize impact on the transition from wet to dry storage, currently scheduled to begin in June 2017

Proposed Exemption Request

- Request exemption from sections of 72.212 and 72.214 that require compliance with Certification of Compliance 1004 for the 32PTH1 canister design to permit use of the Type 2-W basket
- Include description and supporting analyses for design changes made to the 32PTH1 basket to create the Type 2-W
- Supporting analyses will be based on original methodologies used when 32PTH1 canister design was approved
 - Limitation of the maximum allowable heat load to approximately 28 KW as compared to 31.2 KW used in the analysis for the Type 2-W design

