

2/27/76

DISTRIBUTION:

Docket  
NRC PDR  
Local PDR  
ORB#3 Rdg  
KRGoller  
TJCarter  
GLear  
CParrish  
JGuibert  
OELD  
OI&E (7)  
DEisenhut  
TBAbernathy  
JRBuchanan  
ACRS (16)

Docket No. 50-220

Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation  
ATTN: Mr. Gerald K. Rhode  
Vice President - Engineering  
300 Erie Boulevard West  
Syracuse, New York 13202

Gentlemen:

RE: Nine Mile Point, Unit 1

As a result of a recent additional assessment of the structural integrity of the Mark I containment system used in your reactor facility, members of our respective technical staffs met in Bethesda on February 26, 1976. This letter will confirm the results of these discussions and the conclusions reached by the NRR staff subsequent to the meeting.

On January 28, 1976, the Mark I owners group specified that the torus support downward load strength ratios were based on an elastic analysis. Ratios around 1.0 were not of great concern to you because you believed that a redistribution of loads would occur and that these factors would be reduced when an elastic-plastic analysis was later performed. On those facilities with strength ratios greater than 0.9, the NRC staff requested further information which we are currently evaluating.

On February 19 and 20, 1976, a more detailed discussion of the above technical points occurred. After studying details of the stress distribution from Bechtel's computer output, it is our judgment and your consultants agree that redistribution of loads may not be significant for the welds at the top of the torus columns. Therefore, the margin of safety at these welds are lower than those for other Mark I components.

Because of this reduced margin, the meeting in Bethesda was called to discuss your plans to promptly restore adequate margins of safety for the structure. You described and discussed with our staff various operating modifications which would reduce the loads, such as use of an increased drywell pressure and decreased torus water level. After discussing your planned actions and the length of time required to provide enhanced safety margins using the operational actions, you and other members of the Mark I owners'

OFFICE						
SURNAME						
DATE						

10-11-1944

10-11-1944

10-11-1944

10-11-1944

10-11-1944

10-11-1944

10-11-1944

10-11-1944

10-11-1944

10-11-1944

10-11-1944

10-11-1944

10-11-1944

10-11-1944

10-11-1944

10-11-1944

10-11-1944

10-11-1944

10-11-1944

10-11-1944

10-11-1944

10-11-1944

10-11-1944

10-11-1944

10-11-1944

10-11-1944

10-11-1944

10-11-1944

10-11-1944

10-11-1944

10-11-1944

10-11-1944

10-11-1944

10-11-1944

10-11-1944

10-11-1944

10-11-1944

10-11-1944

10-11-1944

10-11-1944

10-11-1944

10-11-1944

10-11-1944

10-11-1944

group indicated that you would promptly enhance safety margins by pressuring the containment to establish a differential pressure of at least 1 psi between the drywell atmosphere and torus. You proposed to assure that such differential was established by Monday, March 1, 1976.

Our technical staff has assessed this proposal and agree with your technical representatives that the establishment of a differential pressure of at least 1 psi will provide a reduction in potential loads during a postulated loss of coolant accident and an associated restoration of the margins of safety so that the margin of safety is about a factor of two.

I am pleased with the prompt response by the Mark I owners' group to our concern that safety margins be restored in a prompt fashion. This, therefore confirms our discussions of yesterday that you will establish by Monday, March 1, 1976, a differential pressure of a minimum of one psi between the drywell atmosphere and the torus vapor space and that this differential pressure will be maintained whenever the reactor is in operation, until further authorization by the NRC. This will respond to our directive of yesterday that safety margins for the structure be enhanced promptly.

Some of the systems may be modified thereafter by a pumpback system to minimize the nitrogen requirements. Our staff will review any such proposal promptly when your plans become more definite.

Moreover, we also will continue to work with your staff on prompt implementation of your plans for structural modifications of the containment systems in accordance with the established program of the Mark I owners' group.

Sincerely,

Ben C. Rusche, Director  
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

cc: See next page

*BR*

OFFICE ➤	DOR:ORB-2	OELD	SE:DIR	DOR:DIR	NRR:DIR	
SURNAME ➤	DLZiemann:esp	JScinto	RHeineman	US:Gero	BCRusche	
DATE ➤	2/27/76	2/ /76	2/ /76	2/27/76	2/ /76	



Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation - 3 -

cc:

Arvin E. Upton, Esquire  
LeBoeuf, Lamb, Leiby & MacRae  
1757 N Street, N. W.  
Washington, D. C. 20036

Anthony Z. Roisman, Esquire  
Roisman, Kessler and Cashdan  
1712 N Street, N. W.  
Washington, D. C. 20036

Dr. William Seymour, Staff Coordinator  
New York State Atomic Energy Council  
New York State Department of Commerce  
99 Washington Street  
Albany, New York 12207

Oswego City Library  
120 E. Second Street  
Oswego, New York 13126

Mr. Robert P. Jones, Supervisor  
Town of Scriba  
R. D. #4  
Oswego, New York 13126

