

JUL 25 1975

Docket No. 50-220

Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation  
ATTN: Mr. Gerald K. Rhode  
Vice President - Engineering  
300 Erie Boulevard West  
Syracuse, New York 13202

Gentlemen:

In the summer of 1973 a significant number of hydraulic shock suppressors (snubbers) were found to be inoperable at many reactor facilities. The failures were caused by severe degradation of seal materials and the subsequent leakage of hydraulic fluid. Subsequently, an extensive seal replacement program was carried out which significantly reduced the incidence of snubber failure. In addition, by letter dated October 1, 1973, we requested that Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation submit proposed changes to the Technical Specifications which would establish a snubber surveillance program for Nine Mile Point Unit 1. Your letter of November 18, 1974 was responsive to our request.

Concurrent with our review of your November 18, 1974 submittal, the NRC staff developed model technical specifications and bases which provide additional assurance of satisfactory snubber operation. These model technical specifications reflect the accumulated industry-wide experience with respect to snubber performance and reliability. A copy of these specifications is enclosed. Since they were not prepared explicitly for your plant, some editing may be necessary in order to adapt them to the Nine Mile Point Unit 1 design and nomenclature.

You are requested to submit, within 45 days from your receipt of this letter, an application for amendment to the license for Nine Mile Point Unit 1 that would change the technical specifications to be in conformance with the requirements of the enclosed model technical specifications. In addition to the technical specification changes, please include in your submittal a separate listing of those hydraulic snubbers which you consider to be non-safety related.

*Appl 3*  
*LL*

|         |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|---------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| OFFICE  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SURNAME |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| DATE    |  |  |  |  |  |  |

— 36 —

1. The first step is to identify the problem or question that needs to be answered. This involves understanding the context and the specific requirements of the task.

$$p_{\alpha} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{\alpha} + \alpha \right) = 1$$

1. The first step in the process of the investigation is the identification of the problem. This is done by the investigator who is responsible for the study. The next step is to collect data. This is done by the investigator who is responsible for the study. The next step is to analyze the data. This is done by the investigator who is responsible for the study. The next step is to interpret the data. This is done by the investigator who is responsible for the study. The next step is to report the results. This is done by the investigator who is responsible for the study.

[illegible]

Since the Commission has not yet received the information requested by the Commission, it is not possible to provide a final answer at this time. The Commission is currently reviewing the information received and will provide a final answer as soon as possible.

Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation

- 2 -

We would be pleased to meet with you if you have questions concerning this request.

Sincerely,

George Lear, Chief  
Operating Reactors Branch #3  
Division of Reactor Licensing

Enclosure:  
Model Technical Specifications

cc w/encl:  
See next page

DISTRIBUTION:

Docket  
NRC PDR  
Local PDR  
ORB-3 Reading  
KRGoller  
TJCarter  
OELD  
OI&E (3)  
GLear  
JGuibert  
SATEets  
JRBuchanan  
TBAbernathy  
SVarga  
DEisenhut  
ACRS (14)

|                    |              |          |  |  |  |  |
|--------------------|--------------|----------|--|--|--|--|
| OFFICE ➤           | RL:ORB-30    | RL:ORB-3 |  |  |  |  |
| x7872<br>SURNAME ➤ | JGuibert:esp | GLear 6L |  |  |  |  |
| DATE ➤             | 7/24/75      | 7/24/75  |  |  |  |  |



JUL 25 1975

cc:

Arvin E. Upton, Esquire  
LeBoeuf, Lamb, Leiby & MacRae  
1757 N Street, N. W.  
Washington, D. C. 20036

Anthony Z. Roisman, Esquire  
Berlin, Roisman & Kessler  
1712 N Street, N. W.  
Washington, D. C. 20036

Dr. William Seymour, Staff Coordinator  
New York State Atomic Energy Council  
New York State Department of Commerce  
112 State Street  
Albany, New York 12207

Oswego City Library  
120 E. Second Street  
Oswego, New York 13126



# MODEL TECHNICAL SPECIFICATIONS

## LIMITING CONDITION FOR OPERATION

### 3.6.I Hydraulic Snubbers

1. During all modes of operation except Cold Shutdown and Refuel, all hydraulic snubbers which are required to protect the primary coolant system or any other safety related system or component shall be operable except as noted in 3.6.I.2 through 3.6.I.4 below. These safety related hydraulic snubbers are listed in Table 3.6.I.
2. From and after the time that a hydraulic snubber is determined to be inoperable, continued reactor operation is permissible only during the succeeding 72 hours unless the snubber is sooner made operable.
3. If the requirements of 3.6.I.1 and 3.6.I.2 cannot be met, an orderly shutdown shall be initiated and the reactor shall be in a cold shutdown condition with 36 hours.
4. If a hydraulic snubber is determined to be inoperable while the reactor is in the shutdown or refuel mode, the snubber shall be made operable prior to reactor startup.

## SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENT

### 4.6.I Hydraulic Snubbers

The following surveillance requirements apply to all hydraulic snubbers listed in Table 3.6.I.

1. All hydraulic snubbers whose seal material has been demonstrated by operating experience, lab testing or analysis to be compatible with the operating environment shall be visually inspected to verify their operability in accordance with the following scheduled:

| Number of Snubbers Found Inoperable During Inspection or During Inspection Interval | Next Required Inspection Interval |
|---|-----------------------------------|
| 0   | 18 months $\pm$ 25%               |
| 1   | 12 months $\pm$ 25%               |
| 2   | 6 months $\pm$ 25%                |
| 3,4   | 124 days $\pm$ 25%                |
| 5,6,7   | 62 days $\pm$ 25%                 |
| $\geq 8$  | 31 days $\pm$ 25%                 |

The required inspection interval shall not be lengthened more than one step at a time.

Snubbers may be categorized in two groups, "accessible" or "inaccessible" based on their accessibility for inspection during reactor operation. These two groups may be inspected independently according to the above schedule.

2. All hydraulic snubbers whose seal materials have not been demonstrated to be compatible with the operating environment shall be visually inspected for operability every 31 days.





4.6.I Hydraulic Snubbers (cont'd)

3. The initial inspection shall be performed within 6 months from the date of issuance of these specifications. For the purpose of entering the schedule in Specification 4.6.I.1, it shall be assumed that the facility had been on a 6 month inspection interval.
4. Once each refueling cycle, a representative sample of 10 snubbers or approximately 10% of the snubbers, whichever is less, shall be functionally tested for operability including verification of proper piston movement, lock up and bleed. For each unit and subsequent unit found inoperable, an additional 10% or ten snubbers shall be so tested until no more failures are found or all units have been tested.
5. Once each refueling cycle at least two representative snubbers from a relatively severe environment shall be completely disassembled and examined for damage and abnormal seal degradation.



TABLE 3.6.I

Identification No.

Location

Elevation



## BASES:

### 3.6.I and 4.6.I

#### Hydraulic Snubbers

Snubbers are designed to prevent unrestrained pipe motion under dynamic loads as might occur during an earthquake or severe transient, while allowing normal thermal motion during startup and shutdown. The consequence of an inoperable snubber is an increase in the probability of structural damage to piping as a result of a seismic or other event initiating dynamic loads. It is therefore required that all hydraulic snubbers required to protect the primary coolant system or any other safety system or component be operable during reactor operation.

Because the snubber protection is required only during relatively low probability events, a period of 72 hours is allowed for repairs or replacements. In case a shutdown is required, the allowance of 36 hours to reach a cold shutdown condition will permit an orderly shutdown consistent with standard operating procedures. Since plant startup should not commence with knowingly defective safety related equipment, Specification 3.6.I.4 prohibits startup with inoperable snubbers.

All safety related hydraulic snubbers are visually inspected for overall integrity and operability. The inspection will include verification of proper orientation, adequate hydraulic fluid level and proper attachment of snubber to piping and structures.

The inspection frequency is based upon maintaining a constant level of snubber protection. Thus the required inspection interval varies inversely with the observed snubber failures. The number of inoperable snubbers found during a required inspection determines the time interval for the next required inspection. Inspections performed before that interval has elapsed may be used as a new reference point to determine the next inspection. However, the results of such early inspections performed before the original required time interval has elapsed (nominal time less 25%) may not be used to lengthen the required inspection interval. Any inspection whose results require a shorter inspection interval will override the previous schedule.

Experience at operating facilities has shown that the required surveillance program should assure an acceptable level of snubber performance provided that the seal materials are compatible with the operating environment.

Snubbers containing seal material which has not been demonstrated by operating experience, lab tests or analysis to be compatible with the operating environment should be inspected more frequently (every month) until material compatibility is confirmed or an appropriate changeout is completed.

Examination of defective snubbers at reactor facilities and material tests performed at several laboratories (Reference 1) has shown that millable gum polyurethane deteriorates rapidly under the temperature and moisture conditions present in many snubber locations. Although molded polyurethane exhibits greater resistance to these conditions, it also may be unsuitable for application in the higher temperature environments. Data are not currently available to precisely define an upper temperature limit for the molded polyurethane. Lab tests and in-plant experience indicate that seal materials are available, primarily ethylene propylene



BASES:

3.6.I and 4.6.I

Hydraulic Snubbers (cont'd)

compounds, which should give satisfactory performance under the most severe conditions expected in reactor installations.

To further increase the assurance of snubber reliability, functional tests should be performed once each refueling cycle. These tests will include stroking of the snubbers to verify proper piston movement, lock-up and bleed. Ten percent or ten snubbers, whichever is less, represents an adequate sample for such tests. Observed failures on these samples should require testing of additional units. Snubbers in high radiation areas or those especially difficult to remove need not be selected for functional tests provided operability was previously verified. To complement the visual external inspections, disassembly and internal examination for component damage and abnormal seal degradation should be performed. The examination of two units, each refueling cycle, selected from relatively severe environments should adequately serve this purpose. Any observed wear, breakdown or deterioration will provide a basis for additional inspections.

---

(1) Report, H. R. Erickson, Bergen Paterson to K. R. Goller, NRC, October 7, 1974  
Subject: Hydraulic Shock Sway Arrestors

