

50-410

NRC DISTRIBUTION FOR PART 50 DOCKET MATERIAL

FILE NUMBER

TO: S A Varga

FROM: St of NY Energy Office
Albany, NY
T K DeBoerDATE OF DOCUMENT
2-15-77DATE RECEIVED
2-17-77☒ LETTER
☒ ORIGINAL
☐ COPY☐ NOTORIZED
☒ UNCLASSIFIED

PROP

INPUT FORM

NUMBER OF COPIES RECEIVED
one signed

DESCRIPTION

Ltr re their 11-5-76 ltr....questioning reason
for for covering over of geologic feature in
the heater bay area.....

1p

NOTE: ORIGINALLY PROCESSED UNDER NRR-1467
BY M. GROFF.

PLANT NAME: Nine Mile Pt #1

ENCLOSURE

DO NOT REMOVE

ACKNOWLEDGED

SAFETY

FOR ACTION/INFORMATION

ENVIRO

2-23-77

ehf

ASSIGNED AD:	Vassallo	ASSIGNED AD:	V. Moore
BRANCH CHIEF:	Varga	BRANCH CHIEF:	Regan
PROJECT MANAGER:	Kane	PROJECT MANAGER:	Norris
LIC. ASST.:	Service	LIC. ASST.:	Duncan

INTERNAL DISTRIBUTION

REG-FILE	SYSTEMS SAFETY	PLANT SYSTEMS	SITE SAFETY &
NRC PDR	HEINEMAN	TEDESCO	ENVIRO ANALYSIS
I & E (2)	SCHROEDER	BENAROYA	DENTON & MULLER
OELD		LAINAS	
GOSSICK & STAFF	ENGINEERING	IPPOLITO	ENVIRO TECH.
MIPC	MACARRY	KIRKWOOD	ERNST
CASE	BOSNAK		BALLARD
HANAUER	SIHWEIL	OPERATING REACTORS	SPANGLER
HARLESS	PAWLICKI	STELLO	
			SITE TECH.
PROJECT MANAGEMENT	REACTOR SAFETY	OPERATING TECH.	GAMMILL
BOYD	ROSS	EISENHUT	STAPP
P. COLLINS	NOVAK	SHAO	HULMAN
HOUSTON	ROSZTOCZY	BAER	
PETERSON	CHECK	BUTLER	SITE ANALYSIS
MELTZ		GRIMES	VOLLMER
HELTEMES	AT & I		BUNCH
SKOVHOLT	SALTZMAN		J. COLLINS
	RUTBERG		KREGER

EXTERNAL DISTRIBUTION

CONTROL NUMBER

LPDR: Oswego, NY	NAT. LAB:	BROOKHAVEN NAT. LAB.
TIC:	REG V.IE	ULRIKSON (ORNL)
NSIC:	LA PDR	
ASLB:	CONSULTANTS:	
ACRS CYS HOLDING/SENT		

1718

St. 410
M.F. 7
67

VT-1-0

VT-1-0


VT-1-0

VT-1-0

VT-1-0



STATE OF NEW YORK
ENERGY OFFICE
SWAN STREET BUILDING
CORE 1 - 2ND FLOOR
EMPIRE STATE PLAZA
ALBANY, N.Y. 12223
(518) 474-8313



February 15, 1977

Mr. S. A. Varga, Chief
Light Water Reactors Branch 4
Division of Project Management
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D. C. 20555

50-410

Re: Nine Mile Point Unit 2
Docket 50-410

Dear Mr. Varga:

On November 5, 1976 I sent Mr. B. C. Rusche a New York State Geological Survey report relating to two geologic features in the excavations at the Nine Mile Point Unit 2 site and requested that the NRC keep me advised of all actions that are taken toward the resolution of this matter. You responded to my letter on December 6, 1976, enclosed a summary of the September 15, 1976 site visit by the NRC staff and stated that the NRC staff was reviewing the State Geological Survey report and would continue to advise me of all NRC actions taken to resolve this matter.

The State Geological Survey has now advised me that, contrary to their recommendation to the NRC, the geological feature in the heater bay area has been covered over. In view of the State Geological Survey's recommendation and your December 6 response, it is requested that you provide the bases upon which the NRC decision was made to permit the feature to be covered over and an explanation as to why we were not advised that this action was being or had been taken.


It is also requested that you send me the summary of the Staff's November 4, 1976 site visit that you referred to in your letter.

Sincerely,

T. K. DeBoer, Director
Technological Development Programs

TKD:mfh

cc: Mr. Gerald K. Rhode
Dr. James F. Davis



1718

1. The first part of the document is a list of names and addresses, which are arranged in a columnar format. The names are written in a cursive script, and the addresses are written in a more formal, printed style. The list is organized into several groups, with each group separated by a blank line. The names are written in a cursive script, and the addresses are written in a more formal, printed style. The list is organized into several groups, with each group separated by a blank line.

2. The second part of the document is a list of names and addresses, which are arranged in a columnar format. The names are written in a cursive script, and the addresses are written in a more formal, printed style. The list is organized into several groups, with each group separated by a blank line. The names are written in a cursive script, and the addresses are written in a more formal, printed style. The list is organized into several groups, with each group separated by a blank line.

3. The third part of the document is a list of names and addresses, which are arranged in a columnar format. The names are written in a cursive script, and the addresses are written in a more formal, printed style. The list is organized into several groups, with each group separated by a blank line. The names are written in a cursive script, and the addresses are written in a more formal, printed style. The list is organized into several groups, with each group separated by a blank line.

4. The fourth part of the document is a list of names and addresses, which are arranged in a columnar format. The names are written in a cursive script, and the addresses are written in a more formal, printed style. The list is organized into several groups, with each group separated by a blank line. The names are written in a cursive script, and the addresses are written in a more formal, printed style. The list is organized into several groups, with each group separated by a blank line.

5. The fifth part of the document is a list of names and addresses, which are arranged in a columnar format. The names are written in a cursive script, and the addresses are written in a more formal, printed style. The list is organized into several groups, with each group separated by a blank line. The names are written in a cursive script, and the addresses are written in a more formal, printed style. The list is organized into several groups, with each group separated by a blank line.