


DK Central File



UNITED STATES  
ATOMIC ENERGY COMMISSION  
DIRECTORATE OF REGULATORY OPERATIONS  
REGION I  
631 PARK AVENUE  
KING OF PRUSSIA, PENNSYLVANIA 19405

NOV 14 1974

Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation  
Attention: Mr. R. R. Schneider  
Vice President  
300 Erie Boulevard, West  
Syracuse, New York 13202

Docket No. 50-410  
License No. CPPR-112  
Insp. No. 74-01

Reference: Your letter dated November 8, 1974  
In response to our letter dated October 16, 1974


Gentlemen:

Thank you for your letter informing us of your review of the documents submitted with the referenced letter.

In accordance with Section 2.790 of the AEC's "Rules of Practice", Part 2, Title 10, Code of Federal Regulations, a copy of your letter and this letter will be placed in the Public Document Room.

Please note that a typographical correction should be noted in the report Details, Paragraph 3.c, where PSAR section "R-D.7" should read "R-D.8."

Sincerely,

  
for Robert T. Carlson, Chief  
Facility Construction & Engineering  
Support Branch

cc: Eugene B. Thomas, Jr., Esquire

bcc: (1/Reference)  
RO Chief, FC&ES Branch  
RO:HQ (5)  
DL (13)  
DR Central Files  
RS (3)  
PDR  
Local PDR  
RO Files  
NSIC  
TIC

OGC  
State of New York

RO: Regulatory Review Room

BN



NIAGARA MOHAWK POWER CORPORATION

NIAGARA MOHAWK

300 ERIE BOULEVARD WEST  
SYRACUSE, N.Y. 13202

November 8, 1974

Mr. Robert T. Carlson  
Facility Construction & Engineering Support Branch  
United States Atomic Energy Commission  
Directorate of Regulatory Operations  
Region 1  
631 Park Ave  
King of Prussia PA 19406


Dear Mr. Carlson:

RE: Docket No. 50-410  
License No. CP-PR-112  
Inspection No. 74-01

We have reviewed the subject report and find no proprietary information which would necessitate petitioning a separation from this document under the rules of Section 2.790 of the AEC's "Rules of Practice", Part 2, Code of Federal Regulation.

We find one statement in the report which we feel is either misstated or misleading as stated. In the section titled "Details", paragraph 3-C, it is stated; "No design review meetings have yet been held in accordance with PSAR section R-D.7 and Project Procedure No. 7". Since design review meetings have been held and we have documentary evidence of the same, we feel it would be more accurate to state; "Design review meetings have been held but no systems have completed the design review cycle in accordance with PSAR section R-D.7 and Project Procedure No. 7". We request that you make an appropriate change in the final report.

Very truly yours,

  
R. R. Schneider  
Vice President -  
Electric Operations

JJB:RRS:ls

