

Wiebe, Joel

From: Samuel Miranda <sm0973@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, February 02, 2017 10:44 AM
To: Wiebe, Joel
Subject: [External_Sender] Re: RE: Re: November 15, 2016, Enforcement Petition Regarding Exelon's Byron and Braidwood Stations
Attachments: Byron-Ch-15-ML14363A495-IOECCS.pdf; P-2206-mtg.docx

Joel,

Thank you for the opportunity to present my petition, yesterday. I could have go on much longer; but I didn't want to put everyone to sleep. I think the petition is robust, and full of references, for those who wish to read it. For your reference, and distribution, I have attached a copy of my presentation, including the two handouts. I think you will see that the presentation supplements the petition.

I have also attached a copy of the Byron & Braidwood UFSAR chapter regarding the IOECCS. Page 15.5-2 lists the three requirements that the analyses must meet, and page 15.5-8 (reference 5) points to the water relief test report that states the tests that Byron & Braidwood relies upon to "qualify" their PSVs were not done (Section 4.2.3, in the report). I think these will establish the Licensee's written commitment, and its failure to meet it. This information pertains to Sara Kirkwood's questions.

My position, in one sentence, is that qualifying the PSVs for water relief, will do nothing to show that the non-escalation requirement is satisfied.

Regards,

Sam Miranda