



~~OFFICIAL USE ONLY - SECURITY-RELATED INFORMATION~~

UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

REGION II
245 PEACHTREE CENTER AVENUE NE, SUITE 1200
ATLANTA, GEORGIA 30303-1257

February 2, 2017

EA-17-013

Mr. Mano Nazar
President and Chief Nuclear Officer
Nuclear Division
Florida Power & Light Co.
Mail Stop: NT3/JW
15430 Endeavor Drive
Jupiter, FL 33478

SUBJECT: ST. LUCIE PLANT - NRC INSPECTION REPORT 05000335/2016012 AND
PRELIMINARY WHITE FINDING

Dear Mr. Nazar:

On December 19, 2016, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) completed an inspection at your St. Lucie Plant, Unit 1, and inspectors discussed the results of this inspection with Mr. Chris Costanzo, Site Vice President, and other members of your staff. On January 27, 2017, the resident inspectors presented the preliminary safety significance to Mr. Dan Deboer, Site Director, and other members of the licensee's staff. The results of this inspection are documented in the enclosed report.

Section 40A3 of the enclosed report documents a finding that the NRC has preliminarily determined to be White, with low-to-moderate safety significance. This finding involved a failure to maintain configuration control of the Unit 1 main generator inadvertent energization lockout relay circuitry, which resulted in a reactor trip and loss of offsite power (LOOP) on August 21, 2016. We assessed the significance of the finding using the significance determination process (SDP) and readily available information.

We intend to issue our final significance determination in writing, within 90 days from the date of this letter. The NRC's significance determination process (SDP) is designed to encourage an open dialogue between your staff and the NRC; however, neither the dialogue nor the written information you provide should affect the timeliness of our final determination.

As described in NRC Inspection Manual Chapter 0612, a finding may or may not be associated with regulatory non-compliance and, therefore, may or may not result in a violation. Based on the review of this issue and in accordance with NRC Inspection Manual Chapter 0612, the NRC determined that no violation of a regulatory requirement occurred.

~~Enclosure(s) transmitted herewith contain(s) SUNSI. When separated from enclosure(s), this transmittal document is decontrolled.~~

~~LIMITED INTERNAL
DISTRIBUTION PERMITTED~~

~~OFFICIAL USE ONLY - SECURITY-RELATED INFORMATION~~

M. Nazar

2

Before we make a final decision, you may choose to communicate your position on the facts and assumptions used to arrive at the finding and assess its significance by either (1) attending and presenting at a regulatory conference, or (2) submitting your position in writing. The focus of a regulatory conference is to discuss the significance of the finding. Written responses should reference the inspection report number and enforcement action number associated with this letter in the subject line.

If you request a regulatory conference, it should be held within 40 days of your receipt of this letter. Please provide information you would like us to consider or discuss with you at least ten days prior to any scheduled conference. If you choose to attend a regulatory conference it will be open for public observation. If you decide to submit only a written response, it should be sent to the NRC within 40 days of your receipt of this letter. If you choose not to request a regulatory conference or to submit a written response, you will not be allowed to appeal the NRC's final significance determination.

Please contact LaDonna B. Suggs, Chief, Reactor Projects Branch 3, at (404) 997-4539, or in writing, within ten days from the issue date of this letter to notify the NRC of your intentions. If we have not heard from you within ten days, we will continue with our significance determination.

This letter, its enclosure, and your response (if any) will be made available for public inspection and copying at <http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/adams.html> and at the NRC Public Document Room in accordance with 10 CFR 2.390, "Public Inspections, Exemptions, Requests for Withholding."

Sincerely,

/RA/

Joel T. Munday, Director
Division of Reactor Projects

Docket No.: 50-335
License No.: DPR-67

Enclosure:
NRC IR 05000335/2016012
w/Attachments:
Supplemental Information
~~Detailed Risk Assessment (OUO-SRI)~~

cc Distribution via ListServ
(Cover letter and Report w/o Detailed Risk Assessment attachment)

OFFICIAL USE ONLY – SECURITY RELATED INFORMATION

M. Nazar

3

SUBJECT: ST. LUCIE PLANT - NRC INSPECTION REPORT 05000335/2016012 AND PRELIMINARY WHITE FINDING

DISTRIBUTION:

M. Franke, RII
 T. Gody, RII
 L. Suggs, RII
 T. Morrissey, RII
 L. Pressley, RII
 M. Kowal, RII
 S. Price, RII
 K. Sloan, RII
 L. Casey, NRR
 D. Jones, OE
 OEmail.Resource
 RIDSNRRDIRS
 PUBLIC (Cover letter and IR Report only)

DOCUMENT NAME: **REPORTS\2016 REPORTS\STL IR 2016 U1 LOOP WHITE Choice Letter Rev 6**
 ADAMS ACCESSION NUMBER: ML17034A050 (Cover letter w/ enclosures)
 ADAMS ACCESSION NUMBER: ML17033B599 (Cover letter & IR Report only)

Entire Report w/attachment: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SUNSI REVIEW COMPLETE By:Lundy Pressley		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SENSITIVE <input type="checkbox"/> NON-SENSITIVE	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NON-PUBLICLY AVAILABLE <input type="checkbox"/> PUBLICLY AVAILABLE	Keyword: MD. 3.4 Non Public A.3		
Cover Letter/Report Only <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SUNSI REVIEW COMPLETE By:Lundy Pressley		<input type="checkbox"/> SENSITIVE <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NON-SENSITIVE	<input type="checkbox"/> NON-PUBLICLY AVAILABLE <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PUBLICLY AVAILABLE	Keyword: SUNSI REVIEW COMPLETE		
OFFICE	RII:DRP	RII:DRP	RII:DRP	RII:DRP	RII:DRP	EICS
SIGNATURE	LP	via email TM	via email SR	LS	JTM	MK
NAME	L Pressley	T Morrissey	S Roberts	L Suggs	JMunday	M Kowal
DATE	2/1/17	2/1/17	2/1/17	2/1/17	2/ 2 /2017	2/1/17

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

U. S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

REGION II

Docket Nos: 50-335

License Nos: DPR-67

Report Nos: 05000335/2016012

Licensee: Florida Power & Light Company (FP&L)

Facility: St. Lucie Plant, Unit 1

Location: 6501 South Ocean Drive
Jensen Beach, FL 34957

Dates: August 21, 2016 - December 19, 2016

Inspectors: T. Morrissey, Senior Resident Inspector
S. Roberts, Resident Inspector
J. Hanna, Senior Reactor Analyst

Approved by: Joel T. Munday, Director
Division of Reactor Projects

SUMMARY

IR 05000335/2016012; August 21, 2016 - December 19, 2016; St. Lucie Nuclear Plant, Unit 1, Follow-up of Events and Notices of Enforcement Discretion

This report covers approximately a four-month period of inspection by resident inspectors. One finding with preliminary significance was identified by the inspectors. The significance of inspection findings are indicated by their color (Green, White, Yellow, Red) using Inspection Manual Chapter (IMC) 0609, issued April 29, 2015, "Significance Determination Process." The cross-cutting aspect was determined using IMC 0310, "Components Within the Cross-Cutting Areas," dated December 4, 2014. The NRC's program for overseeing the safe operations of commercial nuclear power reactors is described in NUREG-1649, "Reactor Oversight Process," Revision 6.

Cornerstone: Initiating Events

- To Be Determined (TBD). A self-revealing finding was identified for the licensee's failure to maintain configuration control of the inadvertent energization lockout relay manual synchronization circuitry as required by licensee procedures MA-AA-100 and ADM-08.12, during the October 2013 modification to the Unit 1 automatic main generator synchronization circuit.

The performance deficiency was more than minor because it was associated with the human performance attribute of the Initiating Events Cornerstone and it adversely affected the associated cornerstone objective of limiting the likelihood of events that upset plant stability and challenge critical safety functions because it resulted in an actual plant trip.

The inspectors screened the finding under the initiating events cornerstone using Attachment 4 (October 7, 2016) and Appendix A (June 19, 2012) of Inspection Manual Chapter 0609, "Significance Determination Process" (April 29, 2015). The inspectors determined the finding required a detailed risk evaluation because the finding caused a reactor trip and the loss of mitigation equipment relied upon to transition the plant from the onset of the trip to a stable shutdown condition (e.g. loss of condenser and loss of feedwater). A preliminary significance characterization of White has been assigned. The preliminary finding involved the cross-cutting area of human performance associated with the cross-cutting aspect of avoiding complacency because the individuals involved failed to recognize and plan for the possibility of mistakes, latent issues, and inherent risk and failed to implement human error reduction tools associated with configuration control. (H.12) (Section 4OA3).

REPORT DETAILS

4. OTHER ACTIVITIES

Cornerstones: Initiating Events, Mitigating Systems, and Barrier Integrity

4OA3 Followup of Events and Notices of Enforcement Discretion (71153)

.1 (Closed) Licensee Event Report (LER) 05000335/2016-003-00, Generator Lockout Relay Actuation During Power Ascension Results in Reactor Trip

a. Inspection Scope

On August 21, 2016, during a Unit 1 restart following a maintenance outage, the main generator inadvertent energization lockout relay unexpectedly actuated which caused the main generator to trip resulting in an automatic reactor trip. The relay actuation prevented the automatic transfer of station auxiliaries to the startup transformers and resulted in a LOOP, although offsite power remained available at the switchyard. The inspectors reviewed the LER and associated root cause evaluation (action request (AR) 2151217) to verify the accuracy and completeness of the LER and the appropriateness of the corrective actions. The LER was also reviewed to identify any licensee performance deficiencies (PDs) associated with the event. Documents reviewed are listed in the Attachment. This LER is closed.

b. Findings

Introduction: A self-revealing finding was identified for the licensee's failure to maintain configuration control of an electrical wire during the October 2013 installation of a digital upgrade to the Unit 1 automatic main generator synchronization circuit.

Description: On August 21, 2016, during a normal power ascension for Unit 1, the reactor tripped, from approximately 38 percent reactor power, when the inadvertent energization generator lockout relay actuated. The relay actuation prevented the automatic transfer of station auxiliaries to the startup transformers which resulted in a complicated trip (i.e. loss of main feedwater, main condenser, offsite power to the safety related buses and all reactor coolant pumps.) Offsite power remained available at the switchyard during this event. Both emergency diesel generators started and powered the safety related buses. Operators manually restored power to the buses after verifying availability.

The main generator inadvertent energization lockout relay was a protective relay that was designed to be armed when the main generator was offline and had a set point of 8000 amps. This protective feature was designed to prevent damage to the main generator, which could be incurred through motoring in the event the output breakers were closed unintentionally with the main generator offline. The synchronization circuit was designed to automatically bypass the inadvertent energization lockout relay when the main generator was synchronized to the grid, using either manual or automatic synchronization methods.

The licensee's investigation found that the inadvertent energization lockout relay remained armed following manual synchronization of the main generator to the electrical grid on August 21, 2016, due to a missing wire in the manual synchronization circuit. The missing wire prevented the relay from performing its disarming function as required

during main generator synchronization. The licensee replaced the missing wire and an appropriate post maintenance test was completed. The licensee performed a root cause evaluation (AR 2151217) and identified one root cause, which was; incorrect removal of a wire during an October 2013 modification to restore the automatic synchronization capabilities of the generator breakers. Corrective actions included: replacement of the missing wire, and implementing procedure guidance for both units to verify the inadvertent energization lockout relay was reset prior to exceeding 8000 amps.

The manual synchronization performed on August 21, 2016, was the first manual synchronization utilized since the digital modification was installed in October 2013. The missing wire did not impact the disarming function of the inadvertent energization lockout relay following automatic synchronization. From June 2010, until automatic synchronization was restored in October 2013, manual synchronization to the grid had been utilized successfully, therefore the wire in question had been properly installed prior to the modification in October 2013.

Licensee procedure MA-AA-100, "Conduct of Maintenance", Revision 15 (2013), Section 5.11, "Configuration Control," stated in part, that each site shall have a configuration control procedure that provided step by step instructions for conducting configuration changes in the plant. The procedure stated that configuration changes included the lifting of leads, and installing and removing electrical jumpers. A "wire" is considered the same as an electrical "lead" or "conductor." Licensee administrative procedure ADM-08.12, "Maintenance Configuration Control", Revision 2 (2013), Section 1.2, "Scope", included configuration changes such as lifting leads, and installing and removing electrical jumpers. Section 4.1, "Configuration Control", stated in part, to perform all component manipulations and changes per the following: detailed procedure or written instructions.

The digital automatic synchronization modification performed in October 2013 was installed under written instructions as work order (WO) 40038386, which implemented engineering change (EC) 274642, "SYNC/888: Auto Sync Not Working." The instructions directed the de-termination and removal of conductors in the main generator synchronization circuit, however the wire/conductor that was erroneously removed was not within the scope of the WO. The licensee concluded that the wire was removed erroneously. Therefore the removal was not performed in accordance with procedures MA-AA-100, "Conduct of Maintenance" and ADM-08.12, "Maintenance Configuration Control" which resulted in a loss of configuration control.

In the period between October 2013, and August 21, 2016, the licensee had only utilized the functional automatic synchronization circuit. Therefore when using this method for synchronization the licensee fully met Technical Specification (TS) 3.8.1.1, which required a minimum of two physically independent alternating current (AC) circuits between the offsite transmission network and the onsite Class 1E distribution system in addition to TS 3.8.1.2, for shutdown, that requires one circuit between the offsite and onsite distribution system. Therefore during this period the electrical power systems were capable of performing their specified safety functions as detailed in the Updated Final Safety Analysis Report (UFSAR), Chapter 8, Electric Power.

On August 21, 2016, when the licensee utilized the non-conforming manual synchronization circuit they unknowingly introduced the susceptibility of a LOOP. The manual synchronization was performed at approximately 1202 hours. The LOOP occurred at approximately 1926 hours with restoration of offsite power from one electrical bus at approximately 2012 hours and two electrical buses at approximately

2036 hours. However, up until the actual moment that the inadvertent relay actuation occurred the electrical system could have performed its specified safety function. TS 3.8.1.1 Limiting Condition of Operation (LCO) condition d, stated that with two of the required offsite AC circuits inoperable restore within 24 hours or be in Hot Standby with 6 hours. The licensee was in Hot Standby immediately following the reactor trip and restored the operability of two offsite AC circuits in approximately 8 hour and 34 minutes, from initial susceptibility until full restoration, and therefore, did not violate the TS and LCO requirements.

Analysis: The failure to maintain configuration control of the inadvertent energization lockout relay manual synchronization circuitry wire as required by licensee procedures MA-AA-100 and ADM-08.12 was a performance deficiency (PD). Specifically, this failure to maintain configuration control resulted in an erroneously removed wire from the manual synchronization circuitry which resulted in a reactor trip. The PD was more than minor because it was associated with the human performance attribute of the Initiating Events Cornerstone. The PD adversely affected the cornerstone objective of limiting the likelihood of events that upset plant stability and challenge critical safety functions because the PD resulted in an actual reactor trip with complications.

The inspectors screened the finding using Inspection Manual Chapter (IMC) 0609, "Initial Characterization of Findings," Attachment 4, (October 7, 2016), under the initiating events cornerstone because the event resulted in a transient with a reactor trip, loss of offsite power and loss of feedwater. Attachment 4 of IMC 0609, then routed the screening to IMC 0609, Appendix A, "The Significance Determination Process (SDP) for Findings At-Power," (June 19, 2012). Using IMC 0609, Appendix A, "Exhibit 1 – Initiating Events Screening Questions," under B. "Transient Initiators" the inspectors determined the finding required a detailed risk evaluation because the finding caused both a reactor trip and the loss of mitigation equipment relied upon to transition the plant from the onset of the trip to a stable shutdown condition (e.g. loss of condenser and loss of feedwater).

A preliminary significance characterization has been assigned, however the characterization is not yet finalized. The finding does not represent an immediate safety concern because the main generator synchronization circuit has been restored to allow automatic bypassing of the inadvertent energization lockout relay during manual as well as automatic synchronizations.

A Regional SRA performed the detailed risk assessment by using the NRC's Standardized Plant Analysis Risk (SPAR) model for St. Lucie Unit 1 and setting the Plant Centered LOOP frequency equal to 1.0 because the event actually occurred. The initial result was approximately $2E-5$ (Yellow). The dominant risk sequence was a Plant Centered LOOP 02-11 where CST depletion occurs and long term heat removal fails. This type of sequence contributed 80 percent ($1.4E-5 / 1.73E-5$) of the total internal events Δ CDF. Through a visit to the St. Lucie site involving simulator observations, plant walkdowns, procedure reviews and interaction with NextEra risk staff, the analyst further refined the analysis and gave additional credit for operator actions for:

- Condensate Storage Tank refill from one of a number of potential sources, including crosstie capability from Unit 2, depressurization of the steam generators and using tools and apparatus as described in 10 CFR 50.54(hh)(2), commonly known as B.5.(b) equipment, or Mitigating Strategies-FLEX equipment. A comprehensive Human Error Probability representing any/all of

those three potential sources was created using the SPAR-H methodology and assigned a value of 1E-2.

- Propping open doors and installing temporary ventilation for the Reactor Auxiliary Building electrical equipment rooms. Certain accident sequences in the risk results had failures of the 5A and 5B exhaust fans which would ultimately cause failure of electrical equipment and consequently the mitigating system equipment which is powered by it. A Human Error Probability which would limit room heat up < 120F was created using the SPAR-H methodology and assigned a value of 1E-1.

When applied to the cutset results, these two additional factors reduced the calculated Δ CDF to 2E-6. The detailed risk evaluation was completed on December 5, 2016, and was peer reviewed by another SRA on December 14, 2016. Please refer to the Detailed Risk Assessment in the Attachment accompanying this inspection report for the complete analysis.

Subsequently the licensee performed additional analyses that appeared to show the risk was less than 1E-6 (Green). The SRA continued to engage the licensee in discussions and perform sensitivity analyses based on the licensee's input to verify the initial result continued to be valid, i.e., White. The factors that the licensee asserted should be given additional credit for in the risk model (and which the NRC agreed with) were:

- Condensate Storage Tank unavailability was changed from 1.6E-1 to 8E-3, based on historical data provided by the licensee from the last 3 years.
- Recovery factor for Loss of Offsite Power Sequences was set equal to 1E-1. (Historically the SPAR models have included recovery credit for Station Blackout sequences, but not for LOOP sequences.)
- Basic event representing the operators failing to initiate "feed and bleed" primary injection cooling was changed from 2E-2 to 1E-2. This change was made based on the lower decay heat level in the reactor core at 40 percent reactor power (when the performance deficiency would cause a LOOP), thus providing the operators approximately 50 percent more time to complete the action.

When applying these additional recovery credits, and when applying additional credit to the CST and emergency ventilation recovery actions mentioned above (one order of magnitude decrease in each case) the risk result remained greater than 1E-6 (White).

The preliminary finding involved the cross-cutting area of human performance and was associated with the cross-cutting aspect of avoiding complacency because the individuals involved failed to recognize and plan for the possibility of mistakes, latent issues, and inherent risk and failed to implement appropriate error reduction tools. Specifically the licensee failed to plan for the inherent risk of errors during the removal of unnecessary conductors in the circuit and failed to implement human error reduction tools associated with configuration control. [H.12].

Enforcement: The inspectors did not identify a violation of regulatory requirements associated with this finding. Because the finding did not involve a violation of regulatory requirements and the significance has not been determined, it is identified as FIN 05000335/2016012-01, "Failure to Maintain Component Configuration Control Resulted in a Complicated Reactor Trip"

4OA6 Meetings, Including Exit

On December 19, 2016, the resident inspectors discussed the inspection results with Mr. Chris Costanzo, Site Vice President, and other members of the licensee's staff. On January 27, 2017, the resident inspectors presented the preliminary safety significance to Mr. Dan Deboer, Site Director, and other members of the licensee's staff. The inspectors verified that no proprietary information was retained by the inspectors or documented in this report.

ATTACHMENTS:
SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION
DETAILED RISK ASSESSMENT

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

KEY POINTS OF CONTACT

Licensee Personnel

T. Summers, Regional Vice President
D. Deboer, Site Director
C. Costanzo, Site Vice President
D. Cecchett, Licensing Engineer
K. Frehafer, Licensing Engineer
M. Jones, Engineering Director
W. Parks, Operations Director
D. Pitts, Maintenance Director
R. Sciscente, Licensing Engineer
M. Snyder, Licensing Manager
R. Wright, Plant General Manager

NRC Personnel

L. Pressley, Senior Project Engineer
J. Hanna, Senior Reactor Analyst

LIST OF ITEMS OPENED, CLOSED, AND DISCUSSED

Opened

05000335/2016012-01	FIN	Failure to Maintain Component Configuration Control Resulted in a Complicated Reactor Trip (Section 4OA3)
---------------------	-----	---

Closed

05000335/2016-003-00	LER	Generator Lockout Relay Actuation During Power Ascension Results in Reactor Trip (Section 4OA3)
----------------------	-----	---

LIST OF DOCUMENTS REVIEWED

Section 40A3: Follow-up of Events and Notice of Enforcement Discretion

Miscellaneous:

LER 05000335, 2016-003-00, Generator Lockout Relay Actuation during Power Ascension Results in Reactor Trip
“PRA Analysis of St. Lucie Unit 1 August 21, 2016 Generator Lockout Event,” dated January 13, 2017

Procedures:

MA-AA-100, Conduct of Maintenance, Revision 15
ADM-08.12, Maintenance Configuration Control, Revision 2
1-ARP-01-G00, “Control Room Panel G RTGB 102,” Revision 42
1-AOP-25.02, “Ventilation Systems,” Revision 6
2-EOP-01, “Standard Post Trip Actions SPTA,” Revision 35
2-EOP-09, “Loss of Offsite Power/Loss of Forced Circulation,” Revision 20
2-EOP-10, “Station Blackout,” Revision 25
1-FSG-02, “Alternate AFW Suction Source,” Revision 2
1-FSG-03, “Alternate Low Pressure Feedwater,” Revision 2
1-FSG-06, “Alternate CST Makeup,” Revision 2

Drawings:

8770-B-327, sheet 1790, Inadvertent Energization Generator Protection
8770-B-327, sheet 886, Generator Breakers 1E & 1M
8770-B-327, sheet 888, Gen. Auto & MAN. Synchronization

Miscellaneous:

WO 40038386, EC 274642, SYNC/888: Auto Sync Not Working
Job Performance Measure 0121245, “Supply Demineralized Water from the Treated Water Storage Tank to the Unit 1(2) Condensate Storage Tank,” Revision 0
Job Performance Measure 0321227, “Align Unit 2 CST to Supply 1C AFW Pump,” Revision 6
Job Performance Measure 0521517, “Restoration of Electrical Equipment Room Ventilation-Unit 1,” Revision 3
Operations Training Document, “Align the Unit 1A and 1B AFW Pumps to the Unit 2 CST,” Revision 4
Root Cause Analysis, “Lockout Relay Automatic Reactor Trip (Complicated)”
Licensee Decay Heat Calculations Assuming 38% Power, dated November 30, 2016
Enercon Calculation, “Plant St. Lucie Unit 1 Electrical Equipment Room Loss of HVS-5A/B Heatup Evaluation,” Revision 0