

NRR-PMDAPEm Resource

From: Miller, Ed
Sent: Thursday, January 26, 2017 3:37 PM
To: Miller, Ed
Subject: Jan 25, 2017 Public Meeting With NEI on 10 CFR 50.69 - NRC Slides
Attachments: NRC Comments on Industry 1_16_17 Template 10 CFR 50 69 final slides.pdf

The NRC slides from the meeting are attached

Hearing Identifier: NRR_PMDA
Email Number: 3296

Mail Envelope Properties (0fb6469a5fc547cfa7851b8d209f2651)

Subject: Jan 25, 2017 Public Meeting With NEI on 10 CFR 50.69 - NRC Slides
Sent Date: 1/26/2017 3:37:12 PM
Received Date: 1/26/2017 3:37:13 PM
From: Miller, Ed

Created By: Ed.Miller@nrc.gov

Recipients:
"Miller, Ed" <Ed.Miller@nrc.gov>
Tracking Status: None

Post Office: HQPWMSMRS03.nrc.gov

| Files | Size | Date & Time | |
|---|------|----------------------|--------|
| MESSAGE | 46 | 1/26/2017 3:37:13 PM | |
| NRC Comments on Industry 1_16_17 Template 10 CFR 50 69 final slides.pdf | | | 179649 |

Options
Priority: Standard
Return Notification: No
Reply Requested: No
Sensitivity: Normal
Expiration Date:
Recipients Received:

NRC Staff Comments on Industry Proposed 10 CFR 50.69 APPENDIX A: 10 CFR 50.69 LICENSE AMENDMENT REQUEST TEMPLATE

**Public Meeting
January 25, 2017**



Timeline

- August 2016 - Industry provided draft template R0
- Public meeting held on August 18, 2016 to discuss Revision 0 of the template
- Industry revised template to address staff comments – Revision 0E (11/16/16)
- Industry provided a further revised template Appendix A: 10 CFT 50.69 License Amendment Request Template (1/16/17)
- This meeting discusses 1/16/17 Revision

50.69 Application Template



- Staff reviewed the proposed template against information that would be expected in a LAR
- Categorization process is fairly complex and flexible with alternative paths
- The text in the “template” should be viewed as descriptive of the type of information that should be included, not as “boilerplate” text that should simply be repeated
- Staff comments provided on each Section

50.69 Application Template



- Cover letter & Section 3
 - Reference to PRA quality information to be submitted in future LARs can not used - remove
- Section 2.3
 - Description of license condition incorporating 50.69 into license should include optional template language for a license specific text such as:

The licensee shall implement the items listed in [enclosure 1], Implementation Items of [licensee] letter [Reference], dated [Date], prior to categorizing systems under the process.

Overall Process

- Section 3.1.1 Overall Categorization Process – Template Proposal
 - Need to differentiate between bullets that are “clarifications” and that are “deviations”
 - Template follows pilot plant initial process not NEI 00-04
 - Categorize system functions HSS/LSS first using deterministic questions
 - Categorize components (SSCs) using PRAs - all Internal events PRA SSCs that are HSS remain HSS
 - All system functions supported by HSS SSCs become HSS functions
 - IDP must actively place any SSC in a HSS function into LSS
 - More efficient may be to follow NEI 00-04

Overall Process

- Section 3.1.1 Overall Categorization Process – Pilot License Condition via Implementation item modified from Template back to NEI 00-04
 - *Pilot shall revise the categorization procedure to match the guidance in NEI 00-04 regarding the identification of preliminary HSS components. Functions supporting HSS components are HSS functions. The Integrated Decision-making Panel (IDP) must intervene to assign any of these HSS Function components to LSS.*

Passive Categorization

- Section 3.1.2 Passive Categorization
 - Should describe the method because the EPRI method referenced in the Template is not developed for, nor generically endorsed for, 50.69 applications
 - Should include explanation and justification for any modifications to the referenced method(s) that are made to support 50.69 categorization
 - Should identify the limitations of the method, for example, referenced method only applies to Class 2 and 3 components

Fire Hazards

- Section 3.2.2 Fire Hazards
 - Fire PRA should be used if one has been developed at the site consistent with NEI 00-04 and RG guidance on using PRA when available
 - Otherwise, if using FIVE
 - Review of screened scenarios to identify SSCs important to screening
 - All SSCs in analysis are HSS
 - Section implies that improvements may be made to the FIVE analysis and/or plant modifications incorporated. Changes should be summarized.
 - LAR needs to demonstrate how the plant specific FIVE analysis conservatively identifies fire related HSS SSCs.

Seismic Hazards

- Section 3.2.3 Seismic Hazards
 - Seismic analysis must be updated based on the latest available hazard curves.
 - Plant specific approach should be explained, all SSCs credited in the safe shutdown equipment list are HSS, SSCs not in the margins shutdown paths are candidate LSS
 - Section implies that improvements may be made to the Margins analysis and/or plant modifications incorporated. Changes should be summarized.
 - LAR needs to demonstrate how the plant specific Margin analysis conservatively identifies Seismic related HSS SSCs.

Seismic Hazards PRA



- RG 1.200, Rev 2 endorses ASME/ANS RA-Sa-2009, Part 5 (aka “Addendum A”)
- RG 1.200, Rev 2 does not endorse “Addendum B” of Part 5
- Licensees wishing to use Addendum B for 50.69 LARs should address the issues raised by NRC staff in 2011 letter to ASME (ML111720067)

External Hazards

- Section 3.2.4 Other External Hazards
 - Accepted methods identify all system/structure functions and associated SSCs that are involved in protecting against the external hazard (e.g., tornado protections and credited safe shutdown path)
 - All credited SSCs are HSS
 - Section implies that improvements may be made to the IPEEE analysis and/or plant modifications incorporated. Changes should be summarized.
 - GL 88-20 insufficient, other external hazards are screened out using the criteria in ASME/ANS RA-Sa-2009 for screening external hazards.
 - LAR needs to describe how the plant specific equipment for each unmodelled external hazard has been identified and assigned HSS.

PRA Review Process

- Section 3.3 PRA Review Process
 - For each PRA model, peer review history and F&Os should be submitted
 - Upgrades should all have been Peer reviewed
 - PRA Model of Record Should reflect current as-operated, as-built plant
 - Peer review consistent with RG 1.200 Revision 2 is needed consistent with RIS 2007-06

Deterministic Questions

A Section describing the licensee planned implementation of the deterministic questions should be provided

- Each question that will be used should be discussed with description of how IDP will be instructed to interpret each question
- Two of the seven deterministic questions in NEI 00-04 ask whether an active function/SSC provides the “sole means” of accomplishing a specific mitigation function.
- Specification that alternative to a “sole means” can only be credited if proceduralized and included in operator training
 - Extension to NEI 00-04 guidance learned from pilot
 - Briefly mentioned in template Section 3.1.1

Enclosure 1

- Attachment 1: List of Categorization Prerequisites
 - Not fully consistent with 3.1.1 (e.g., functions supporting PRA HSS components are HSS)
 - Presumably requested before “first procedure is written”
 - Some prerequisites may need to be license conditions
 - Should include documentation requirements

Enclosure 1

- Attachment 2 (PRA results) should provide the risk from all hazards
- The expected use of Attachment 3 and Attachment 4 (FIVE and Seismic analysis changes) is unclear, what are they explaining/justifying?
- Attachment 5, F&O's is standard Risk-Informed LAR
- Attachment 6 (Comparison between old/new standards) is not needed, LAR should confirm review has been carried out using RG 1.200 Rev.2

Enclosure 1



- Attachment 7 and 8 (External Hazards) needs additional explanation/NRC Staff review

Program Documentation

- Template should address requirements for program documentation. NEI 00-04 guidance references 50.69(f) which requires documentation of the basis for SSC categorization. The pilot application identified the following documentation:
 - (1) program procedures describing the process
 - (2) system functions, identified and categorized with the associated bases;
 - (3) mapping of components to supported function(s);
 - (4) PRA model results, including sensitivity studies;
 - (5) hazards analyses, as applicable;
 - (6) passive risk assessment results and bases;
 - (7) categorization results including all associated bases and RISC classifications;
 - (8) component critical attributes;
 - (9) results of periodic reviews and SSC performance evaluations,
 - (10) IDP meeting minutes and qualification and training records of the IDP members.