



## CONVERSATION RECORD

1/25/2017

NAME OF PERSON(S) CONTACTED OR IN CONTACT WITH YOU

Brandon Thomas, Arsalan Nathani, and Gerry van Noordennen

DATE OF CONTACT

01/10/2017

TYPE OF CONVERSATION

☐

E-MAIL

☒

TELEPHONE

☒

INCOMING

☐

OUTGOING

E-MAIL ADDRESS

bdthomas@energysolutions.com

TELEPHONE NUMBER

(408) 558-3511

ORGANIZATION

EnergySolutions

DOCKET NUMBER(S)

71-9320

LICENSE NUMBER(S)

N/A

CONTROL NUMBER(S)

N/A

SUBJECT

1/10/2017, 11:00AM CONFERENCE CALL TO DISCUSS UPCOMING SUBMITTAL OF A REVISION OF THE CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE FOR THE MODEL NO. MIDUS (CAC NO. A33010)

SUMMARY

ATTENDEES:

NRC :

Norma García Santos, Project Manager

EnergySolutions:

Brandon Thomas

Arsalan Nathani, Project Manager

Gerry van Noordennen

**Continue on Page 2**

ACTION REQUIRED (IF ANY)

No actions.

**Continue on Page 3**

NAME OF PERSON DOCUMENTING CONVERSATION

Norma García Santos and Brandon Thomas

SIGNATURE

## CONVERSATION RECORD (continued)

SUMMARY: (Continued from page 1)

On January 10, 2017, NRC and EnergySolutions (the applicant) participated on a phone call to discuss logistics related to the upcoming submittal of a revision to the certificate of compliance (CoC) for the Model No. MIDUS. The applicant informed the NRC that it is currently fabricated six units for the Model No. MIDUS under CoC, Revision 3, and the minimum density specification for the depleted uranium is not available any longer. Therefore, the applicant will be submitting a revision request to the CoC for the Model No. MIDUS to address the change of the minimum density specification for the depleted uranium used as shielding material. The applicant mentioned that there was a need to complete this review by April 2017, based on communications with its customer. The staff pointed out that it could not make any regulatory decisions during this phone call including to ensure that the review will be completed by April 2017, since the case will needed to be discussed internally and a priority needs to be assigned. The staff suggested that the applicant clearly described the need and timing of the review in the transmittal letter for this licensing action request, review the guidance that the staff issued regarding prioritization of incoming work, and submit the necessary calculations to support this change. The applicant mentioned that I could submit the calculation packages related to the change as a courtesy and it was concerned about submitting this information in the docket because the applicant considered this information as proprietary. The staff mentioned that:

- If the information is needed as the basis for making a regulatory decision, the staff requests to submit it in the Docket for the licensing action under review;
- The NRC has a process in place to review information submitted as proprietary. The applicant must submit an affidavit stating the specific information (e.g., report) considered proprietary and the corresponding justification per 10 CFR 2.390. The document is profiled in ADAMS based on the findings of the staff's proprietary information review.

The applicant mentioned that it was familiar with the process and may consider submitting an affidavit, as appropriate. The applicant expects to submit its application by the end of January 2017.