

As of: 1/17/17 10:32 AM Received: January 12, 2017 Status: Pending_Post Tracking No. 1k1-8u4p-8y4t Comments Due: January 20, 2017 Submission Type: Web

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

Docket: NRC-2016-0179

Revisions to Transportation Safety Requirements and Compatibility with International Atomic Energy Agency Transportation Requirements

Comment On: NRC-2016-0179-0005

Revisions to Transportation Safety Requirements and Compatibility with International Atomic Energy Agency Transportation Standards; Notice of Issues Paper, Public Meeting, and Request for Comment

Document: NRC-2016-0179-DRAFT-0019

Comment on FR Doc # 2016-27944

Submitter Information

Name: Jan Boudart

Address:

1132 W Lunt Ave
Chicago, IL, 60626

Email: janunaj@hotmail.com

General Comment

From Jan Boudart, Chicago

To make U.S. regulations for the transportation of radioactive waste less citizen-health-conscious would be going the wrong way even if (especially if) the IAEA regulations are taken as an example.

Do not follow their regulations but make the U.S. regulations better than they are now. Better means "that which has greater excellence or is preferable or wiser".¹ When it comes to wisdom, the IAEA is a very poor standard to follow.²

We don't need to harmonize with the IAEA. For example, we must pay attention to groups like Physicians for Social Responsibility, letting the U.S. develop its own rules as a standard for other nuclear states to follow. It's time to focus on the health of citizens not ways to turn over tax- and rate-payer money to atomic industrialists.

¹ <http://bit.ly/2jB2yTw>

² ". . . IAEA's main role has been to promote the interests of the nuclear power industry worldwide, and it has

used the agreement (1959, with WHO) to suppress the growing body of scientific information on the real health risks of nuclear radiation." <http://bit.ly/2iMaHEs>