



**UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION**
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

November 30, 2016

Mr. David A. Heacock
President and Chief Nuclear Officer
Virginia Electric and Power Company
5000 Dominion Blvd.
Glen Allen, VA 23060-6711

**SUBJECT: SURRY POWER STATION, UNIT NOS. 1 AND 2 – STAFF REVIEW OF
 MITIGATION STRATEGIES ASSESSMENT REPORT OF THE IMPACT OF THE
 REEVALUATED SEISMIC HAZARD DEVELOPED IN RESPONSE TO THE
 MARCH 12, 2012, 50.54(f) LETTER (CAC NOS. MF7881 AND MF7882)**

Dear Mr. Heacock:

The purpose of this letter is to provide the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC's) assessment of the seismic hazard mitigation strategies assessment (MSA), as described in a November 17, 2016, letter (Agencywide Documents Access and Management System (ADAMS) Accession No. ML16327A062) submitted by Virginia Electric and Power Company (Dominion, the licensee), for Surry Power Station, Unit Nos. 1 and 2 (Surry). The MSA confirms that the licensee has adequately addressed the reevaluated seismic hazard within its mitigating strategies for beyond-design-basis external events.

BACKGROUND

By letter dated March 12, 2012 (ADAMS Accession No. ML12053A340), the NRC issued a request for information pursuant to Title 10 of the *Code of Federal Regulations* (10 CFR), Section 50.54(f) (hereafter referred to as the 50.54(f) letter). The 50.54(f) letter was issued as part of implementing lessons learned from the accident at the Fukushima Dai-ichi nuclear power plant. Enclosure 1 to the 50.54(f) letter requested licensees reevaluate the seismic hazard using present-day methodologies and guidance. Concurrent with the reevaluation of seismic hazards, the NRC issued Order EA-12-049, "Order Modifying Licenses with Regard to Requirements for Mitigation Strategies for Beyond-Design-Basis External Events" (ADAMS Accession No. ML12054A736). The order requires holders of operating power reactor licenses and construction permits issued under 10 CFR Part 50 to develop, implement, and maintain guidance and strategies to maintain or restore core cooling, containment, and spent fuel pool cooling following a beyond-design-basis external event.

By letter dated March 31, 2014 (ADAMS Accession No. ML14092A414), the licensee provided its reevaluated seismic hazard for Surry in response to the 50.54(f) letter.

On December 10, 2015 (ADAMS Accession No. ML16005A621), the Nuclear Energy Institute (NEI) submitted Revision 2 to NEI 12-06, including guidance for MSAs regarding reevaluated hazard information. The NRC subsequently endorsed NEI 12-06, Revision 2, with exceptions, clarifications, and additions, in Japan Lessons-Learned Division (JLD) interim staff guidance (ISG) JLD-ISG-2012-01, Revision 1, "Compliance with Order EA-12-049, Order Modifying Licenses with Regard to Requirements for Mitigation Strategies for Beyond-Design-Basis External Events" (ADAMS Accession No. ML15357A163).

MITIGATION STRATEGIES ASSESSMENT

By letter dated December 15, 2016 (ADAMS Accession No. ML15335A093), the NRC staff documented its review of the licensee's reevaluated seismic hazard, also referred to as the mitigating strategies seismic hazard information. The staff confirmed the licensee's conclusion that its reevaluated seismic hazard is bounded by the current design basis at most frequencies above 1 Hertz (Hz). Minor exceedances were noted above the 9 Hz frequency range. However, as stated in an NRC letter dated October 27, 2015 (ADAMS Accession No. ML15194A015), these exceedances are considered "de minimis" (too minor to merit consideration). In addition, the staff concluded that the ground motion response spectrum determined by the licensee adequately characterizes the reevaluated seismic hazard for the Surry site.

Appendix H of NEI 12-06, Revision 2, describes acceptable methods for demonstrating that the reevaluated seismic hazard is addressed within the Surry mitigating strategies for beyond-design-basis external events. The licensee stated that the Surry MSA was performed consistent with NEI 12-06, Revision 2. The NRC staff confirmed that the licensee's seismic hazard MSA is consistent with the guidance in Appendix H.4.1 of NEI 12-06, Revision 2, as endorsed by JLD-ISG-2012-01, Revision 1. Therefore, the methodology used by the licensee is appropriate to perform an assessment of the mitigation strategies that addresses the reevaluated seismic hazard.


The NRC staff has reviewed the seismic hazard MSA for Surry. The NRC staff concludes that sufficient information has been provided to demonstrate that the licensee's plans for the development and implementation of guidance and strategies under Order EA-12-049 appropriately address the reevaluated seismic hazard information stemming from the 50.54(f) letter.

D. Heacock

- 3 -

If you have any questions, please contact me at (301) 415-1617 or via e-mail at Frankie.Vega@nrc.gov.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Frankie Vega', with a stylized flourish at the end.

Frankie Vega, Project Manager
Hazards Management Branch
Japan Lessons-Learned Division
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket Nos. 50-280 and 50-281

cc: Distribution via Listserv

D. Heacock

- 3 -

If you have any questions, please contact me at (301) 415-1617 or via e-mail at Frankie.Vega@nrc.gov.

Sincerely,

/RA/

Frankie Vega, Project Manager
Hazards Management Branch
Japan Lessons-Learned Division
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket Nos. 50-280 and 50-281

cc: Distribution via Listserv

DISTRIBUTION:

PUBLIC
JHMB R/F
RidsNrrDorILPL2-1 Resource
RidsNrrPMSurry Resource
RidsNrrLASLent Resource
RidsAcraAcnw_MailCTR Resource

FVega, NRR
BTitus, NRR
EBowman, NRR
GBowman, NRR

ADAMS Accession No. : ML16328A067 * via e-mail

OFFICE	NRR/JLD/JHMB/PM	NRR/JLD/LA	NRR/JLD/JHMB/BC(A)	NRR/JLD/JHMB/PM
NAME	FVega	SLent	GBowman	FVega
DATE	11/29/2016	11/28/2016	11/29/2016	11/30/2016

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY