

PR-001  
81FR69010

7

# PUBLIC SUBMISSION

<b>As of:</b> 11/4/16 11:05 AM <b>Received:</b> November 04, 2016 <b>Status:</b> Pending_Post <b>Tracking No.</b> 1k0-8su4-jhyo <b>Comments Due:</b> November 04, 2016 <b>Submission Type:</b> Web
---

**Docket:** NRC-2016-0185

NRC Enforcement Policy Revision; Processing Fitness-for-Duty Drug and Alcohol Cases

**Comment On:** NRC-2016-0185-0001

Processing Fitness-for-Duty Drug and Alcohol Cases; Policy Revision; Request for Comment

**Document:** NRC-2016-0185-DRAFT-0007

Comment on FR Doc # N/A

---

## Submitter Information

**Name:** Audrey Anonymous

---

## General Comment

I'm in favor of this revision. If the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission believes that they need to make improvements towards the supervision of their licensee's employee's than I believe it's a positive change. With this new rule change, I hope that the NRC will feel as if they can oversee drug and alcohol enforcement policies efficiently. It was noted in the docket that in March of 2008, the NRC found "Instances of individuals violating FFD D&A procedures at licensee sites. These types of cases result from a range of issues including failed drug tests, alleged attempts to subvert FFD testing, alleged possession or use of illegal drugs or alcohol, or alleged misuse or failure to report the use of prescription drugs". This explains that there's a need for the NRC to have more authority over this kind of safety, and I think the change will be a step in the right direction for better safety.